1	Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540)			
2	Peter T. Sato (SBN 238486)			
3	YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI An Association of Independent Law Corporations			
4	9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, California 90212			
5	Telephone: 310.623.1926 Facsimile: 310.623.1930			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs,			
7	Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.			
8	CUREDIOD COURT OF T			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	COUNTY OF	F LOS ANGELES		
11	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.,	CASE NO. BC580857		
12	in the interest of the Public,			
13	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]		
14	v.	Complaint filed: May 4, 2015		
15		Complaint filed: May 4, 2015 Department: 69		
16	OLIVIER NAPA VALLEY, INC., a California Corporation; THE TJX	Judge: Hon. William Fahey		
17	COMPANIES, INC., a Delaware			
18 19	Corporation; T.J. MAXX of CA, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; and DOES 1-20;			
20	Defendants.			
21	2 01011011105			
22	1. INTRODUCTION			
23	1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Consumer			
24	Advocacy Group, Inc. ("CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the public, and defendant OLIVIER NAPA VALLEY, INC. ("Olivier"). CAG and Olivier are referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as "Parties." 1.2 It is alleged that Olivier employs ten or more persons, is a person in the course of			
25				
26				
27				
28	doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986,			
	1			
	CONSENT JUDG	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]		

California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"), and manufactured, distributed, and/or sold Vinegar which includes but is not limited to, OLIVIER Napa Valley®

RASPBERRY BALSAMIC VINEGAR; Net Wt. 6.75oz. (200mL); T.J. Maxx 85-4641-237553-

000799-08-2; COMPARE AT \$10.50; \$7.99; UPC: 7 65230 01204 8 before the Effective Date of this Consent Judgment.

1.3 Notice of Violation.

- 1.3.1 On or about January 9, 2015 CAG served Olivier, The TJX Companies, Inc., T.J. Maxx of CA, LLC and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn consumers in California of exposures to Lead allegedly contained in the Covered Products (as defined herein).
- 1.3.2 No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.4 Complaint.

On May 4, 2015, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC580857, alleging that Olivier violated Proposition 65 by failing to give consumers clear and reasonable warnings of exposures to Lead allegedly contained in the Covered Products (the "Action").

1.5 Consent to Jurisdiction

While otherwise disputed, for purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties consent that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Olivier as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the City and County of Los Angeles and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment..

1.6 No Admission

1.6.1 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed by Olivier. For the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation, the Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which

4

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

23

24

21

25

26 27

28

were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the prior conduct of the Parties or on the facts alleged in the Complaint or arising therefrom or related to such allegations. This Consent Judgment shall not constitute an admission with respect to any material allegation of the Complaint, each and every allegation of which Olivier denies including jurisdiction, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as evidence of any wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of Olivier.

- 1.6.2 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.
- 1.6.3 This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties, for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action, including future compliance by Olivier with Section 3 of this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means all Vinegar which includes but is not limited to, OLIVIER Napa Valley® RASPBERRY BALSAMIC VINEGAR; Net Wt. 6.75oz. (200mL); T.J. Maxx 85-4641-237553-000799-08-2; COMPARE AT \$10.50; \$7.99; UPC: 7 65230 01204 8 sold, distributed, processed, packaged, produced, manufactured, and/or handled by Olivier.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.
 - 2.3 "Lead" means Lead and Lead Compounds.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF/REFORMULATION

3.1 Within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date, Olivier shall not sell, offer for sale in California, or ship for sale in California any Covered Products unless Olivier has either reformulated the Covered Products to the point where the level of Lead does not exceed more than 34 ppb (parts per billion) or if the Covered Products exceed 34 ppb, provided a Proposition 65 compliant warning on the Covered Products. Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices

as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. The Parties agree that product labeling stating that:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer or birth defects or other reproductive harm;

shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the Lead in the Covered Products distributed and/or sold by Olivier, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Releasees (as defined herein)..

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

Total Payment: Olivier shall pay a total of fifty-five thousand dollars (\$55,000.00) as follows:

4.1 Civil Penalties. Olivier shall issue two separate checks for a total amount of three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12: (a) one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) in the amount of \$2,250.00 representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. in the amount of \$750.00 representing 25% of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: The first 1099 shall be issued to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$2,250.00. The second 1099 shall be issued in the amount of \$750.00 to CAG and delivered to: Yeroushalmi & Yeroushlami, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

4.2 Payments in Lieu of Civil Penalties

Olivier also shall separately pay two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00) to CAG as a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(b). CAG will use this payment for investigation of the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals through various means, laboratory fees for testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals, expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retained experts who assist

with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation, as well as administrative costs incurred during the litigation, in order to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals, thereby addressing the same public harm as allegedly in the instant Action.

- **4.3 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs:** Olivier shall pay fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000.00) to "Yeroushalmi & Associates" as reimbursement for the investigation fees and costs, testing costs, expert fees, attorney fees, and other litigation costs and expenses for all work performed through the approval of this Consent Judgment.
- **4.4** Payments pursuant to 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212 within the time agreed upon by the Parties.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG (on behalf of itself, in the name of the public, and in the public interest) and Olivier and its officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies, agents, contractors, vendors, licensors, and their respective successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and each of their suppliers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, including but not limited to The TJX Companies, Inc. and T.J. Maxx of CA, LLC, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), for all claims alleged, or that could have been alleged, in the Complaint against Olivier, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on the failure to warn about the alleged exposure to Lead from Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Olivier, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees prior to the Effective Date. Olivier and Defendant Releasees'

compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposure to Lead from Covered Products.

- 5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Olivier, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any allegations of violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead from Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Olivier, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees.
- 5.3 In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Lead from Covered Products, CAG, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead from Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM, MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Claims arising from any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead from Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure

to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to Lead from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Defendant or the Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

6. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

- **6.1** The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto by means of noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Los Angeles County.
- 6.2 Notice of Violation. Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce any alleged violation of Section 3.1 of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to Olivier. The NOV shall include for each of the Newly Alleged Products ("Newly Alleged Products" means any Covered Product for which CAG alleges a violation of the Consent Judgment after the Effective Date): the date(s) the alleged violation(s) was observed and the location at which the Newly Alleged Products were offered for sale, and shall be accompanied by all test data obtained by CAG regarding the Newly Alleged Products, including an identification of the component(s) of the Newly Alleged Products that were tested. Before any destructive testing of any Newly Alleged Products is conducted by or on behalf of CAG, CAG shall give Olivier an opportunity to inspect and verify at reasonable times and places the authenticity of any Newly Alleged Product in violation of this Consent Judgment.
 - 6.2.1 **Non-Contested NOV.** CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation if, within 60 days of receiving such NOV, Olivier serves a Notice of Election ("NOE") that meets one of the following conditions:

- (a) The Newly Alleged Products were shipped by Olivier for sale in California before the Effective Date, or
- (b) Since receiving the NOV Olivier has taken corrective action by either (i) requesting that its customers in California remove the Newly Alleged Products identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Newly Alleged Products to Olivier, or (ii) providing a clear and reasonable warning for the Newly Alleged Products identified in the NOV pursuant to 27 Cal. Code Regs. § 25603.
- 6.2.2 **Contested NOV.** Olivier may serve an NOE informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within 60 days of receiving the NOV.
- (a) In its election, Olivier may request that the sample(s) of Covered Products tested by CAG be subject to additional confirmatory testing at an EPA-accredited laboratory.
- (b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Newly Alleged Products do not contain Lead in excess of the level allowed in Section 3.1, CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 3.1, Olivier may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1.
- (c) If Olivier does not withdraw an NOE to contest the NOV, the Parties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 30 days before CAG may seek an order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- **6.3** In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of this Consent Judgment.

7. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Olivier waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

8. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT AND RIGHTS THEREUNDER

- **8.1** This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. Any Party may waive in writing any right it may have under this Consent Judgment.
- **8.2** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement, enforce or modify the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

10. DUTIES LIMITED TO CALIFORNIA

This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold outside the State of California.

11. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

11.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both Parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the Parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ATTORNEY FEES 12.

Except as specifically provided in Section 4.3 and 6.3, each Party shall bear its own 12.1 costs and attorney fees in connection with this action.

13. **GOVERNING LAW**

- The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 13.2 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

14. **EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS**

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (PDF), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

15. **NOTICES**

15.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery or First Class Mail.

If to CAG:

Reuben Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212 (310) 623-1926

If to Olivier Napa Valley, Inc.

		·
	्र	
1	Michael R. Leslie	
2	Alison Mackenzie Caldwell Leslie & Pro	
3	725 South Figueroa S Los Angeles, CA 900	
4	16. AUTHORITY TO S	
5		y to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
6		ents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf
7	of the Party represented and	legally to bind that Party.
8		
9	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
10	Date: 03/04/16	, 2016 Date: 3/11/6., 2016
11		
12	7, 14	
13	By: What U	lam By:
14	Plaintiff CONSUMER ADV	OCACY Defendant OLIVIER NAPA VALLEY, INC.
15	GROUP, INC.	
16		
17	·	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.	: · · · .
19		
20	Date:	
21		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
22		
23		
24		·
25		
26		
27		
28		11
.		CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]
•	•	I .