1	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP					
2	Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Lucas Williams, State Bar No. 264518					
3	503 Divisadero Street					
4	San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800					
5	Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com					
6	lwilliams@lexlawgroup.com					
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH					
8						
9						
10	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
11	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA					
12						
13	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, )	Case No. RG 15-774917				
14	Plaintiff, )	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO BEARD VAPE				
15	v. ))	CO., LLC				
16	VAPE REVOLUTION LLC, et al., )					
17	Defendants. )					
18	)					
19						
20	1. INTRODUCTION					
21	<b>1.1.</b> This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental					
22	Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Beard Vape Co., LLC ("Settling Defendant") to					
23	settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint					
24	in the matter <i>Center for Environmental Health v. Vape Revolution LLC, et al.</i> , Alameda County					
25	Superior Court Case No. RG 15-774917 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred					
26	to collectively as the "Parties."					
27						
28	-1-					
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO BEARD VAPE CO., LLC – CASE NO. RG 15-774917					

1.2. On February 5, 2015, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice")
 relating to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California
 Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") on Settling Defendant, the California
 Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City
 Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The
 Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of nicotine in liquid for
 use with electronic cigarette devices manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

8 1.3. Plaintiff alleges that Settling Defendant employed ten (10) or more persons and
9 manufactured, distributed, and/or sold Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of
10 California. Settling Defendant disputes all allegations and Plaintiff's assertion that Settling
11 Defendant employed 10 or more persons during the applicable time period. Settling Defendant
12 further contends that it ceased all operations and was dissolved in September 19, 2016.

13 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this
14 Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notice and Complaint
15 and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii)
16 venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this
17 Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been
18 raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint with respect to
19 Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

20 1.5. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all 21 claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct 22 related to Settling Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and 23 agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or 24 violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an 25 admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Settling Defendant 26 denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and expressly 27 denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this

1 Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense any 2 of the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent 3 Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for 4 purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action. 5 2. DEFINITIONS 6 2.1. "Covered Products" means nicotine-containing liquid for use with vapor products 7 where such liquid was manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant in California. 8 2.2. "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent 9 Judgment. 10 **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF** 3. 11 3.1. Clear and Reasonable Warnings for Covered Products. As of the Effective 12 Date, no Covered Product may be manufactured for sale, distributed or sold by Settling 13 Defendant in California unless such Covered Product has a clear and reasonable warning on the 14 outer label of the product. The warning shall state the following: 15 **WARNING**: Use of this product will expose you to nicotine, a chemical 16 known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive 17 harm. 18 The warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any additional words or phrases 19 that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The warning statement shall be 20 prominently displayed on the Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with 21 other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary 22 individual prior to sale. To the extent that other warning statements are included on the outer 23 label of a Covered Product, the warning required herein shall be separated from the other 24 warnings by a line that is at least the same height as a line of text on the label. For internet, 25 catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present and cannot see a warning 26 displayed on Covered Product prior to purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be 27 displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood as being applicable to the 28 -3Covered Product being purchased prior to the authorization of or actual payment. Placement of
 the warning statement at the bottom of an internet webpage that offers multiple products for sale
 does not satisfy the requirements of this Section.

4 **3.1.1. Warnings for Covered Products in the Stream of Commerce.** For any 5 Covered Products that have not been labeled in accordance with Section 3.1, within ninety (90) 6 days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide warning materials by certified 7 mail to each of its California retailers or distributors to whom Settling Defendant reasonably 8 believes sold Covered Products prior to the Effective Date. Such warning materials shall include 9 a reasonably sufficient number of stickers in order to permit the retailer or distributor to affix the 10 warning on each Covered Product such customer has purchased from Settling Defendant. The 11 warning stickers shall contain the warning language set forth in Section 3.1 above. The warning 12 materials shall also include a letter of instruction for the placement of the stickers, and a Notice 13 and Acknowledgment postcard.

Additional Injunctive Provisions. If Settling Defendant resumes sales of
 Covered Products in California, Settling Defendant shall undertake each of the actions below.

3.2.1. Product Safety Requirements. Settling Defendant shall make the
following change to the Covered Products to increase the safety of such Products:

3.2.1.1. Within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date, all
Covered Products manufactured for sale in California shall be manufactured with safety features,
such as child proof caps and flow restrictions, in accordance with all applicable federal laws and
regulations.

3.2.2. Prohibition on Sales and Advertising to Minors. Within ninety (90)
days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not sell Covered Products to persons
younger than eighteen (18) years of age and shall take reasonable steps to prevent the sale of
Covered Products to such persons, including, but not limited to the following measures:

3.2.2.1. Settling Defendant shall implement one or more systems for
checking the age of persons who purchase Covered Products on the Internet or in person in a

manner consistent with all federal laws and regulations. To the extent applicable, such system
shall include age verification by requiring and checking an official government identification card
or verifying through a reputable third party age verification process such as but not limited to,
VERATAD, PIPL, Westlaw, Lexis or similar age verification software systems. The system
shall be put into place within ninety (90) days of the Effective Date.

3.2.2.2. Settling Defendant shall not sell flavored cartridges or any
substance to use with Covered Products or other e-cigarettes that are targeted to appeal to minors
unless such sales are in compliance with all applicable federal and state laws and regulations.

9 3.2.2.3. Settling Defendant shall not use advertisements that 10 intentionally target minors. Specifically, Settling Defendant shall undertake reasonable efforts to 11 ensure that its advertisements or promotional materials that appear in California regarding 12 Covered Products are not intentionally designed to appeal to people under the legal smoking age, 13 such as by refraining from using models or images of people under twenty-eight (28) years of 14 age. Additionally, Settling Defendant will not: (a) utilize any form of outdoor advertising within 15 1,000 feet of any school or playground; or (b) sponsor any youth athletic, musical or other 16 cultural events unless such events are designated as prohibiting patrons under the age of 18.

3.2.3. Prohibition on Health and Safety Claims. Within ninety (90) days
following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not make health and or safety claims unless
such claims have been reviewed and approved by the Federal Food and Drug Administration.
Examples of prohibited claims include the following:

3.2.3.1. Settling Defendant shall not advertise Covered Products as
 smoking-cessation devices. This prohibition includes any claims or testimonials about quitting
 smoking, using e-cigarettes as a treatment for tobacco dependence or addiction.

3.2.3.2. Settling Defendant shall not make any claim that the
Covered Products do not contain carcinogens.

26

27

### 4. **PAYMENTS**

4.1. Settling Defendant shall pay to CEH the total sum of \$20,000, which shall be
allocated as follows:

4 4.1.1. \$2,629 as a civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §
25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with California Health &
6 Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of
7 Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).

8 **4.1.2.** \$1,971 as an additional settlement payment ("ASP") in lieu of civil penalty 9 to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, 10 Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to place these funds in CEH's Toxics and Youth Fund and use 11 them to: (1) support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about nicotine, 12 formaldehyde, and acetaldehyde in electronic cigarettes and other toxic chemicals in consumer 13 products that are marketed to youth; (2) expand its use of social media to communicate with 14 Californians about the risks of exposures to nicotine, formaldehyde, and acetaldehyde and other 15 toxic chemicals in the products they and their children use and about ways to reduce those 16 exposures; and (3) work with industries that market products to youth to reduce exposures to 17 nicotine, formaldehyde, and acetaldehyde and other toxic chemicals, and thereby reduce the 18 public health impacts and risks of exposures to nicotine, formaldehyde, and acetaldehyde and 19 other toxic chemicals in consumer products that are marketed to youth in California. CEH shall 20 obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and 21 CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any 22 request from the Attorney General.

4.1.3. \$15,400 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys'
fees and costs.

4.1.4. The payments required under Sections 4.1.1-4.1.3 shall be made in three
(3) separate checks, all to be delivered within thirty (30) days following the Effective Date. The
payments required pursuant to Sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 shall be made payable to the Center for

Environmental Health. The payment required pursuant to Section 4.1.3 shall be made payable to
 the Lexington Law Group. All checks shall be delivered to Mark Todzo at Lexington Law Group
 at the address set forth in Section 8.1.2.

4

#### **ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT**

4.2. 5 CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior 6 Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. 7 Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH 8 shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which 9 purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding 10 the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, 11 including providing Settling Defendant with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days 12 to cure any alleged violation. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent 13 Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such 14 motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

15

5.

6.

#### MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and
Settling Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Settling Defendant as provided by law.

18

#### CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

6.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting
in the public interest and Settling Defendant regarding the failure to warn about exposure to
nicotine in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to
the Effective Date as alleged in Plaintiff's Complaint.

6.2. CEH, for itself, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims alleged
in the Complaint against Settling Defendant arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that
have been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to warn about exposure to nicotine in
connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior
to the Effective Date.

1	<b>6.3.</b> Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant, shall				
2	constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, with respect to any alleged				
3	failure to warn about nicotine in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling				
4	Defendant after the Effective Date.				
5	7. PROVISION OF NOTICE				
6	7.1. When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the				
7	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail as follows:				
8	7.1.1. Notices to Settling Defendant. The persons for Settling Defendant to				
9	receive notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:				
10	Phillip Daman				
11	Daman and Associates, LLP 1455 Pennsylvania Ave, Suite 400				
12	Washington, D.C., 20004				
13	<ul><li>phillip.daman@damanllp.com</li><li>7.1.2. Notices to Plaintiff. The persons for CEH to receive notices pursuant to</li></ul>				
14	this Consent Judgment shall be:				
15					
16	Mark Todzo Lexington Law Group				
17	503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117				
18	mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com				
19	7.2. Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by				
20	sending the other Parties notice by first class and electronic mail.				
21	8. COURT APPROVAL				
22	<b>8.1.</b> This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided				
23	however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and				
24	Settling Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.				
25	<b>8.2.</b> If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or				
26	effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any				
27	purpose.				
28	-8-				
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO BEARD VAPE CO., LLC – CASE NO. RG 15-774917				

9.

### **GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION**

9.1. The terms and obligations arising from this Consent Judgment shall be construed
and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

4

5

6

7

8

#### **10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

**10.1.** This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of CEH and Settling Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.

9 10.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and
10 Settling Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise,
11 express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been
12 made by any Party hereto.

10.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or
otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements
specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind
any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.

17 10.4. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent
18 Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.

19 10.5. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or
20 shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall
21 such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

22

25

26

27

28

#### 11. **RETENTION OF JURISDICTION**

23 11.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the
24 Consent Judgment.

12.

#### AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

12.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

## 

### 13. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

**13.1.** Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.

#### 14. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

**14.1.** The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

#### IT IS SO STIPULATED:

#### CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

# 16 Charlie Pizarro Associate Director 17

#### -10-

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO BEARD VAPE CO., LLC -- CASE NO. RG 15-774917

1	BEARD VAPE CO., LLC		
2			
3	Colbey Pfund		
4	Signature		
5			
6	Colbey Pfund		
7	Printed Name		
8			
9	Co founder		
10	Title		
11			
12	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
13			
14			
15	Dated:	, 2017	Judge of the Superior Court
16			sudge of the Superior Court
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
20			-11- VAPE CO., LLC – CASE NO. RG 15-774917