	II.					
1	TANYA A. GULESSERIAN (CBN 198640)					
2	CHRISTINA M. CARO (CBN 250797) ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZ	0				
3	601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000					
4	South San Francisco, CA 94080-7037 Telephone: (650) 589-1660					
	Facsimile: (650) 589-5062					
5	Email: tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com					
6	ccaro@adamsbroadwell.com					
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC. ANTHONY J. CORTEZ (CBN 251743)					
8						
9	GREG SPERLA (CBN 278062) GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP					
10	1201 K Street, Suite 1100					
11	Sacramento, CA 95814-3938 Telephone: (916) 442-1111					
12	Facsimile: (916) 448-1709					
13	Email: cortezan@gtlaw.com sperlag@gtlaw.com					
14	Attorney for Defendants VITAQUEST INTERNATIONAL LLC;					
15	VITAQUEST INTERNATIONAL INC.; WINDMILL HEALTH PRODUCTS, LLC; WINDMILL HEALTH PRODUCTS, LLC dba GARDEN GREENS					
16						
17						
18	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
19	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA					
20	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH	Case No. RG16801018				
	CENTER, INC. a non-profit California corporation,	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO:				
21	corporation,	JUDGE BRAD SELIGMAN				
22	Plaintiff,	DEPARTMENT 30				
23	v.	STIPULATED CONSENT				
24	VITAQUEST INTERNATIONAL, LLC, a	JUDGMENT				
25	Delaware Limited Liability Company; VITAQUEST INTERNATIONAL, INC., a	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.				
26	Delaware Corporation; WINDMILL HEALTH	• • •				
	PRODUCTS, LLC, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company; WINDMILL HEALTH	Action Filed: January 21, 2016 Trial Date: None set				
27	PRODUCTS, LLC dba GARDEN GREENS, a					
28	New Jersey Limited Liability Company,					
	Defendants.					
	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	CASE NO RG16801018				
	1					
	1					

1. INTRODUCTION

1

2 1.1 On January 21, 2016, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), a non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer, and in the public interest, initiated this action by 3 filing a Complaint for Injunctive Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint") pursuant to the 4 5 provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"). against VITAQUEST INTERNATIONAL, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; 6 7 VITAQUEST INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware Corporation; WINDMILL HEALTH 8 PRODUCTS, LLC, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company; and WINDMILL HEALTH 9 PRODUCTS, LLC dba GARDEN GREENS, a New Jersey Limited Liability Company (hereinafter referred to individually as "Defendant" or collectively as "Defendants"). In this 10 11 action, ERC alleges that a number of products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendants 12 contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and 13 expose consumers to this chemical at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These 14 products (referred to hereinafter individually as a "Covered Product" or collectively as 15 "Covered Products") are: 16 Garden Greens Essential Greens 3000; **a**. 17 b. Garden Greens Essential Greens Garden Greens Blend Very Berry Flavor; 18 Garden Greens 24 Hour Inner Cleanse 7 Day Intestinal Cleansing Formula, a C. 19 kit containing the below products: 20i. Garden Greens 24 Hour Inner Cleanse 7 Day Intestinal Cleansing 21 Formula Daytime Formula; and 22 ü. Garden Greens 24 Hour Inner Cleanse 7 Day Intestinal Cleansing 23 Formula Nighttime Formula. 24 1.2 ERC and Defendants are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or 25 collectively as the "Parties." 26 1.3 ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous 27 28

and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and
 encouraging corporate responsibility.

I.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that each Defendant is a
business entity each of which has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action,
and qualifies as a "person in the course of business" within the meaning of Proposition 65.
Defendants manufacture, distribute, and sell the Covered Products.

1.5 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notice of Violation
dated February 13, 2015, that was served on the California Attorney General, other public
enforcers, and Defendants ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the Notice is attached as
Exhibit A and is hereby incorporated by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the
Notice was mailed and uploaded to the Attorney General's website, and no designated
governmental entity has filed a complaint against Defendants with regard to the Covered
Products or the alleged violations.

14 1.6 ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposes
 15 persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation
 16 of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Defendants deny all material allegations
 17 contained in the Notice and Complaint.

18 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. 19 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of 20 21 the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, 22 23 distributors, wholesalers, or retailers. Except for the representations made above, nothing in 24 this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, issue of 25 law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an 26 admission by the Parties of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, at any time, for any 27 purpose.

1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall
 2 prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any
 3 other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.

1.9 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

6

2.

4

5

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7 For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become 8 necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter 9 jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction 10 over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, 11 and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Compliance Date (as that term is defined in Section 3.1 12 below) which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the 13 14 Notice and Complaint.

15

3.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

3.1 Any Covered Products that are manufactured six (6) months after the Effective
Date (the "Compliance Date") that Defendants thereafter distribute into the State of California,
offer for sale to a third party for retail sale in California, or directly sell in the State of
California, shall either (1) contain no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as calculated
pursuant to Section 3.1.2, excluding allowances pursuant to Section 3.3, and as validated by the
quality control methodology described in Section 3.4; or (2) meet the warning requirements
under Section 3.2.

3.1.1 As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State
of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in
California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that Defendants know will sell the
Covered Product in California.

27 28

CASE NO RG16801018

3.1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Exposure
 Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula:
 micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the
 product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings
 of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage
 appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

If Defendants are required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the following warning must be utilized:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Defendants shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the warning only if the maximum daily dose
recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to
the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4.

The warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the container or label of each
Covered Product. Or, for Covered Products sold over Defendants' website, the warning may
appear on Defendants' checkout page on their website for California consumers marketing any
Covered Product, or appear prior to completing checkout on Defendants' website when a
California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product.

The warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety
warnings also appearing on its website or on the label or container of Defendants' product
packaging and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters. No other statements about
Proposition 65 or lead may accompany the warning.

Defendants must display the above warnings with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or design of the label or container, as applicable, to render the warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

28

7

8

9

10

3.3 Reformulated Covered Products

A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the Daily Lead Exposure Level when
the maximum suggested dose is taken as directed on the Reformulated Covered Product's label,
contains no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the quality control
methodology described in Section 3.4, excluding amounts of naturally occurring lead in the
ingredients listed in the table below, in accordance with the Attorney General's Stipulation
Modifying Consent Judgments in *People v. Warner Lambert, et al.*, San Fran. Sup. Ct. Case No.
984503.

NATURALLY OCCURING AMOUNT OF LEAD
0.8 micrograms/gram
0.4 micrograms/gram
8.0 micrograms/gram
0.4 micrograms/gram
0.332 micrograms/gram
0.4 micrograms/gram
0.8 micrograms/gram
1.1 micrograms/gram

If, at any time after the Compliance Date, ERC tests a Covered Product and the test
 results indicate that the Daily Lead Exposure Level is greater than 0.5 micrograms per day,
 Defendants agree to confidentially supply to ERC within 30 days a list of ingredients, including
 the percentage of each ingredient ("Ingredient List"), of that particular covered product so that
 ERC may be able to calculate the daily exposure based on the allowances contained in the table
 above.

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to compliance with the terms of this
Consent Judgment as to any contribution from naturally occurring lead levels under the Section,
the Parties shall first meet and confer in an effort to fully resolve any dispute. If the meet and

28

confer process is unsuccessful, any Party may elect to proceed pursuant to the enforcement
 provisions of Section 5.4 below. In the event that Court intervention is sought by any Party, the
 Parties shall employ good faith efforts to seek entry of a protective order by the Court that limits
 public access to and disclosure of the Ingredient List provided prior to disclosure of the
 Ingredient List in any enforcement proceedings before the Court.

6

3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

7 3.4.1 Beginning within one year of the Compliance Date, Defendants shall 8 arrange for lead testing of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of three consecutive years by arranging for testing of five randomly selected samples of each of the 9 10 Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user, which Defendants intend to sell 11 or are manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into California." The testing requirement does not apply to any of the Covered 12 13 Products for which Defendants have provided the warning specified in Section 3.2. If tests 14 conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no warning is required for a Covered 15 Product during each of three consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section 16 will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. Defendants shall retain all test results 17 and documentation for a period of five years from the date of each test.

3.4.2 For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level", the highest
lead detection result of the five (5) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be
controlling.

3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a
laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate
for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that
meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS")
achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg or any other testing
method subsequently agreed to in writing by the Parties.

3.4.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an
independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory

Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the
 United States Food & Drug Administration.

3.4.5 Beginning on the Compliance Date and continuing for a period of three
years, upon demonstration by ERC of test results showing a Daily Lead Exposure Level above
0.5mcg/day, Defendants shall arrange for copies of all laboratory reports for the product in
question showing lead content results under Section 3.4.1 to be sent to ERC within ten days
after receipt of ERC's written request.

8

4.

SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

9 4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil
10 penalties, attorney's fees, and costs, Defendants shall make a total payment of \$85,000.00
11 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC within 5 days of the Effective Date. Defendants shall
12 make this payment by wire transfer to ERC's escrow account, for which ERC will give
13 Defendants the necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be
14 apportioned as follows:

4.2 \$28,010.00 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and
Safety Code §25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$21,007.50) of the civil penalty to the
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe
Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety
Code §25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$7,002.50) of the civil penalty.

4.3 \$880.14 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable
costs incurred in bringing this action.

4.4 \$21,130.84 shall be distributed to ERC in lieu of further civil penalties, for the
day-to-day business activities such as (1) continued enforcement of Proposition 65, which
includes work, analyzing, researching and testing consumer products that may contain
Proposition 65 chemicals, focusing on the same or similar type of ingestible products that are
the subject matter of the current action; (2) the continued monitoring of past consent judgments
and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with Proposition 65; and (3) giving a

28

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

donation of \$1056.00 to the Center for Environmental Health to address reducing toxic
 chemical exposures in California.

4.5 \$24,448.95 shall be distributed to Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo, and
\$2,422.50 shall be distributed to Law Office of Christina M. Caro as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, while \$8,107.57 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees.

6 7

8

9

5.

3

4

5

MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only (i) by written stipulation of the Parties or pursuant to Section 5.4 and (ii) upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.

10 5.2 If Defendants seek to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then Defendants must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks 11 12 to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must 13 provide written notice to Defendants within thirty days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If 14 ERC notifies Defendants in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the 15 Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and 16 confer. Within thirty days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC 17 shall provide to Defendants a written basis for its position. The Parties shall continue to meet 18 and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. 19 20 Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the 21 meet-and-confer period.

5.3 In the event that Defendants initiate or otherwise request a modification under
Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application of the
Consent Judgment, Defendants shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for
the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion or application.

265.4Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or27application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek

judicial relief on its own to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Stipulated
 Consent Judgment after its entry by the Court pursuant to any applicable provision of
 California law. In such a situation, the prevailing Party may seek to recover costs and
 reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party"
 means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the
 other party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the
 dispute that is the subject of the modification.

8

9

6.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

10 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate
11 this Consent Judgment.

12 6.2 If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Reformulated Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no warning has been provided), then ERC shall 13 inform Defendants in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information 14 15 sufficient to permit Defendants to identify the Covered Products at issue. Defendants shall, within thirty days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information, from an 16 independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4, 17 demonstrating Defendants' compliance with the Consent Judgment, if warranted. The Parties 18 shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action. 19

20

7.

APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their
respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries,
divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors,
wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no
application to Covered Products which are distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of
California and which are not used by California consumers.

27

1

8.

BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

2 8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Defendants and their respective officers, 3 directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, 4 suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of 5 6 Defendants), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities 7 in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors and assigns 8 of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"). ERC hereby fully releases and discharges the 9 Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted from the 10 handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products, as to any alleged violation of 11 Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations arising from the failure to provide Proposition 12 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding lead up to and including the Compliance Date. 13

14 8.2 ERC on its own behalf only, on one hand, and Defendants on their own
15 behalf only, on the other, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against
16 each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing
17 enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice or Complaint up through and
18 including the Compliance Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or
19 limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

8.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts 20 alleged in the Notice or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Products will develop or be 21 discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, on one hand, and Defendants, on the other hand, 22 acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such 23 claims up through the Compliance Date, including all rights of action therefore. ERC and 24 Defendants acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 above may include 25 unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such 26 unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows: 27

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE 1 CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER 2 FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS 3 OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR. 4 5 ERC on behalf of itself only, on the one hand, and Defendants, on the other hand, acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of 6 7 California Civil Code section 1542. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to 8 8.4 9 constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any release regarding alleged exposures to lead 10 in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice and the Complaint. 11 8.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any of Defendants' 12 products other than the Covered Products. 13 SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS 14 9. 15 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected. 16 **GOVERNING LAW** 17 10. 18 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in 19 accordance with the laws of the State of California. **PROVISION OF NOTICE** 20 11. 21 All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail. Courtesy copies via 22 23 email may also be sent. FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.: 24 25 Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director, Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 26 San Diego, CA 92108 Tel: (619) 500-3090 27 Email: chris erc501c3@yahoo.com 28

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

CASE NO RG16801018

1	With a copy to:			
2	TANYA A. GULESSERIAN (CBN 198640)			
3	CHRISTINA M. CARO (CBN 250797) ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZO			
4	601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000			
5	South San Francisco, CA 94080-7037 Telephone: (650) 589-1660			
6	Facsimile: (650) 589-5062			
7	Email: tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com ccaro@adamsbroadwell.com			
8	VITAQUEST INTERNATIONAL LLC and			
9	VITAQUEST INTERNATIONAL INC			
	Scott Yagoda, General Counsel			
10	8 Henderson Drive			
11	West Caldwell, NJ 07006			
12	WINDMILL HEALTH PRODUCTS, LLC and			
13	WINDMILL HEALTH PRODUCTS, LLC dba GARDEN GREENS			
14	Philip Piscopo, Chief Financial Officer			
15	6 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006			
16				
17	With a copy to:			
18	GREG SPERLA			
19	GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP 1201 K Street, Suite 1100			
20	Sacramento, CA 95814-3938			
21	T: (916) 442-1111 F: (916) 448-1709			
22				
23				
	12. COURT APPROVAL			
24	12.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a			
25	Motion for Approval of Stipulated Consent Judgment. The Parties shall use their best efforts to			
26	support entry of this Consent Judgment.			
27				
28				
	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT CASE NO RG16801018			
	13			
	1			

If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, 12.2 the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible 2 prior to the hearing on the motion.

If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be 12.3 void and have no force or effect.

EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS 13.

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed as valid as the original signature.

DRAFTING 14.

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each 11 Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and 12 conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and 13 construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, 14 and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact 15 that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any 16 portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated 17 equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment. 18

19

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent 20 Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet in person or by telephone and endeavor to 21 resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of 22 such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. In the event an action or motion is 23 filed, however, the prevailing party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As 24 used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in 25 obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing 26 during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such enforcement 27 28 action.

•	1		

16.

ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

16.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and
understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all
prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No
representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have
been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to
herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

8 16.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
9 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as
10 explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

11 12

19

20

21

23

25

26

27

28

17. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The
Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed
regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

16 (1) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and
17 equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has
18 been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and

(2) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section
 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment.

22 || IT IS SO STIPULATED:

24 Dated: February 25, 2016

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.

By:

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

1 Dated: Feb. 24 , 2016 VITAQUEST INTERNATIONAL LLC 2 3 By: Scott Yagoda 4 Its: General Counsel 5 Dated: Feb . 24 VITAQUEST INTERNATIONAL INC. ,2016 6 7 By: Scott Yagoda 8 Its: General Counsel 9 10 WINDMILL HEALTH PRODUCTS, LLC 2016 Dated: FEVS 11 12 13 By: Philip A. Piscopo Its: Chief Financial Officer 14 15 ,2016 WINDMILL HEALTH PRODUCTS, LLC Dated: Fres 24 dba GARDEN GREENS 16 17 By: Philio Piscope 18 Its: Chief Financial Officer 19 APPROVED AS TO FORM: 20 Dated: Elizary 24, 2016 ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & 21 CARDOZA 22 By: 23 Tanya A. Gulesserian Christina M. Caro 24 Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental 25 Research Center, Inc. 26 27 28 **CASE NO RG16801018** STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT 16

1	Dated: February 24, 2016	GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP			
2	J				
		By:			
		Anthony J. Cortez Greg Sperla			
		Attorney for Defendants Vitaquest International, LLC, Vitaquest			
		International, Inc., Windmill Health			
,		Products, LLC and Windmill Health Products, LLC dba Garden Greens			
3					
,					
0					
2					
3	ORDER AND JUDGMENT				
t	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation	, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is			
5	approved and Judgment is hereby entered a				
5	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND				
7					
3	Dated:, 2016				
9	Dated, 2010	Honorable Brad Seligman			
)		Judge of the Superior Court			
ĺ					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					

EXHIBIT A

LAW OFFICE OF CHRISTINA M. CARO

2506 Haste St. Berkeley, CA 94704 Tel: (510) 545-9346 Fax: (510) 295-2649 christina@ccarolaw.com www.ccarolaw.com

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current CEO or President Windmill Health Products, LLC 6 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006

Current CEO or President Windmill Health Products, LLC 100 Lehigh Drive Fairfield, NJ 07004

Current CEO or President Windmill Health Products, LLC 21 Dwight Place Fairfield, NJ 07004

Current CEO or President Windmill Health Products, LLC dba Garden Greens 6 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006

Current CEO or President Windmill Health Products, LLC dba Garden Greens 100 Lehigh Drive Fairfield, NJ 07004

Current CEO or President Windmill Health Products, LLC dba Garden Greens 21 Dwight Place Fairfield, NJ 07004

Current CEO or President Vitacost International LLC 8 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006

Current CEO or President Vitacost International Inc. 8 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Angela Vanhouten (Windmill Health Products, LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 6 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006

Angela Vanhouten (Windmill Health Products, LLC dba Garden Greens's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 6 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006

Scott Yagoda, Esquire (Vitaquest International LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 8 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006

Scott Yagoda, Esquire (Vitaquest International Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 8 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006

Corporation Service Company (Vitaquest International LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400 Wilmington, DE 19808

VIA ONLINE SUBMISSION

Office of the California Attorney General

VIA PRIORITY MAIL

District Attorneys of All California Counties and Select City Attorneys (See Attached Certificate of Service)

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.* February 13, 2015 Page 2

Dear Addressees:

I represent the Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC") in connection with this Notice of Violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is codified at California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.* and also referred to as Proposition 65.

ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by bringing about a reduction in the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

The names of the Companies covered by this notice that violated Proposition 65 (hereinafter the "Violators") are:

Vitaquest International LLC Vitaquest International Inc. Windmill Health Products, LLC Windmill Health Products, LLC dba Garden Greens

The products that are the subject of this notice and the chemicals in those products identified as exceeding allowable levels are:

- Garden Greens Essential Greens 3000- Lead
- Garden Greens Essential Greens Garden Greens Blend Very Berry Flavor Lead
- Garden Greens 24 Hour Inner Cleanse 7 Day Intestinal Cleansing Formula-Lead

*******(kit contains the below products)

a. Garden Greens 24 Hour Inner Cleanse 7 Day Intestinal Cleansing Formula Daytime Formula

b. Garden Greens 24 Hour Inner Cleanse 7 Day Intestinal Cleansing Formula Nighttime Formula

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical known to cause developmental toxicity, and male and female reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as chemicals known to cause cancer.

This letter is a notice to each of the Violators and the appropriate governmental authorities of the Proposition 65 violations concerning the listed products. This notice covers all violations of Proposition 65 involving the Violators currently known to ERC from the information now available. ERC may continue to investigate other products that may reveal further violations. A summary of Proposition 65, prepared by the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, is enclosed with the copy of this letter to each of the Violators.

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.* February 13, 2015 Page 3

Each of the Violators has manufactured, marketed, distributed, and/or sold the listed products, which have exposed and continue to expose numerous individuals within California to the identified chemical, lead. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and/or recommended use of these products by consumers. The primary route of exposure to lead has been through ingestion, but may have also occurred through inhalation and/or dermal contact. Proposition 65 requires that a clear and reasonable warning be provided prior to exposure to lead. The method of warning should be a warning that appears on the product's label. Each of the Violators violated Proposition 65 because they failed to provide an appropriate warning to persons using and/or handling these products that they are being exposed to lead. Each of these ongoing violations has occurred on every day since February 13, 2012, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users.

Pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action sixty days after effective service of this notice unless each of the Violators agrees in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) reformulate the listed products so as to eliminate further exposures to the identified chemicals; (2) pay an appropriate civil penalty; and (3) provide clear and reasonable warnings compliant with Proposition 65 to all persons located in California who purchased the above products in the last three years. Consistent with the public interest goals of Proposition 65 and my client's objectives in pursuing this notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution to this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to the identified chemicals and expensive and time consuming litigation.

ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall, and is located at 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400, San Diego, CA 92108; Tel. 619-500-3090. ERC has retained me in connection with this matter. We suggest that communications regarding this Notice of Violations should be directed to my attention at the above listed law office address and telephone number.

Sincerely,

Christina M. Caro

Attachments

Certificate of Merit Certificate of Service

OEHHA Summary (to Vitaquest International LLC; Vitaquest International Inc.; Windmill Health Products, LLC; Windmill Health Products, LLC dba Garden Greens; and their Registered Agents for Service of Process only) Additional Supporting Information for Certificate of Merit (to AG only)

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: Environmental Research Center, Inc.'s Notice of Proposition 65 Violations by Vitaquest International LLC; Vitaquest International Inc.; Windmill Health Products, LLC; and Windmill Health Products, LLC dba Garden Greens

I, Christina Caro, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notice violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who have reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the notice.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultants, and on other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged Violators will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. Along with the copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General is attached additional factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

Dated: February 13, 2015

Christina M. Caro

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.* February 13, 2015 Page 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742. I am a resident or employed in the county where the mailing occurred. The envelope or package was placed in the mail at Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

On February 13, 2015, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY" on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a U.S. Postal Service Office with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current CEO or President Windmill Health Products, LLC 6 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006

Current CEO or President Windmill Health Products, LLC 100 Lehigh Drive Fairfield, NJ 07004

Current CEO or President Windmill Health Products, LLC 21 Dwight Place Fairfield, NJ 07004

Current CEO or President Windmill Health Products, LLC dba Garden Greens 6 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006

Current CEO or President Windmill Health Products, LLC dba Garden Greens 100 Lehigh Drive Fairfield, NJ 07004

Current CEO or President Windmill Health Products, LLC dba Garden Greens 21 Dwight Place Fairfield, NJ 07004

Current CEO or President Vitacost International LLC 8 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006 Current CEO or President Vitacost International Inc. 8 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006

Angela Vanhouten (Windmill Health Products, LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 6 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006

Angela Vanhouten (Windmill Health Products, LLC dba Garden Greens's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 6 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006

Scott Yagoda, Esquire (Vitaquest International LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 8 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006

Scott Yagoda, Esquire (Vitaquest International Inc.'s Registered Agent for Service of Process) 8 Henderson Drive West Caldwell, NJ 07006

Corporation Service Company (Vitaquest International LLC's Registered Agent for Service of Process) 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400 Wilmington, DE 19808 Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. February 13, 2015 Page 6

On February 13, 2015, I electronically served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR CERTIFICATE OF MERIT AS REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)(1) on the following party by uploading a true and correct copy thereof on the California Attorney General's website, which can be accessed at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/add-60-day-notice:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On February 13, 2015, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service with the postage fully prepaid for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on February 13, 2015, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Mbery Copehrat

Tiffany Capehart

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. February 13, 2015 Page 7 Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive, Suite 245 Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 346 Fifth Street Suite 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street 4th Floor Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 940 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Suite 18000 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 550 W. Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County Post Office Box 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

District Attorney, Napa County Post Office Box 720 Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 West Civic Center Drive Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Suite 322 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County 222 E. Weber Ave. Rm. 202 Stockton, CA 95202

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1035 Palm St, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95354

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Blvd., Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Ave, Suite 314 Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street, Suite 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Suite 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco, City Attorney City Hall, Room 234 1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett PL San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor San Jose, CA 95113