1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Abigail Blodgett, State Bar No. 278813 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com ablodgett@lexlawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
12			
13	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG 15-770932	
13	Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] CONSENT	
15	v.	JUDGMENT AS TO PROVAPEINCORPORATED	
16	SPACE JAM JUICE LLC, et al.,)	
17	Defendants.		
18) .)	
19			
20	1. INTRODUCTION		
21		nto by Plaintiff Center for Environmental	
22	Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and ProVape Incorporated ("Settling Defendant") to		
23	settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint		
24	in the matter <i>Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al.</i> , Alameda County		
25	Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action	Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred	
26	to collectively as the "Parties."		
27			
28			
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		1-	

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PROVAPE INCORPORATED – CASE NO. RG 15-770932

- 1.2. On February 27, 2015, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") relating to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of nicotine in liquid for use with electronic cigarette devices manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- **1.3.** Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notice and Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct related to Settling Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Settling Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense any of the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent

ON RECYCLED PAPER

Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- **2.1.** "Covered Products" means nicotine-containing liquid for use with electronic cigarette devices manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant in California.
- **2.2.** "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment.
- **2.3.** "Manufacture Date" means the date the Covered Product was manufactured and as may be indicated on a tag attached to the Covered Product.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1. Clear and Reasonable Warnings for Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, no Covered Product may be manufactured for sale, distributed or sold in California unless such Covered Product has a clear and reasonable warning on the outer label of the product. The warning shall state the following:

WARNING: This product contains nicotine, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. To the extent that other warning statements are included on the outer label of a Covered Product, the warning required herein shall be separated from the other warnings by a line that is at least the same height as a line of text on the label. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present and cannot see a warning displayed on the Covered Product prior to purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood as being applicable to the Covered Product being purchased prior to the authorization of or actual payment. Placement of

ON RECYCLED PAPER

the warning statement at the bottom of	an internet webpage that	at offers multiple p	products for sale
does not satisfy the requirements of this	s Section.		

- 3.1.1. Warnings for Covered Products in the Stream of Commerce. In an effort to ensure that consumers receive clear and reasonable warnings in compliance with Proposition 65 for Covered Products that have not been labeled in accordance with Section 3.1, within thirty (30) days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide warning materials by certified mail to each of its California retailers or distributors to whom Settling Defendant reasonably believes sold Covered Products that contained nicotine on or after. Such warning materials shall include a reasonably sufficient number of stickers in order to permit the retailer or distributor to affix the warning on each Covered Product such customer has purchased from Settling Defendant. The warning stickers shall contain the warning language set forth in Section 3.1 above. The warning materials shall also include a letter of instruction for the placement of the stickers, and a Notice and Acknowledgment postcard.
- **3.2. Optional Additional Injunctive Provisions.** In order for Settling Defendant to be eligible for a waiver of the additional penalty/payment in lieu of penalty payments set forth in Section 4.1.5 below, Settling Defendant shall undertake one or more of the additional actions below. A Settling Defendant opting to be bound by this Section must provide CEH with a written election stating which optional provision(s) it is agreeing to implement.
- **3.2.1. Product Safety Requirements.** A Settling Defendant opting to participate in Section 3.2.1 shall make the following change to the Covered Products to increase the safety of such Products: Within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date, all Covered Products manufactured for sale in California shall be manufactured with child proof caps.
- **3.2.2. Prohibition on Sales to Minors.** A Settling Defendant opting to participate in Section 3.2.2 shall not sell Covered Products to persons younger than eighteen (18) years of age and shall take reasonable steps to prevent the sale of Covered Products to such persons, including, but not limited to: implementing one or more systems for checking the age of persons who purchase Covered Products on the Internet or in person. The system shall include

age verification by requiring and checking an official government identification card or verifying through a reputable service, such as IDology, the age of anyone who purchases Covered Products on the Internet, or of anyone under twenty-six (26) years old who purchases in person. The system shall be put into place within ninety (90) days of the Effective Date. Settling Defendant shall retain all records of potential underage purchasers from any identification card verification services utilized and make all such records regarding how such potential purchasers were addressed available for inspection by CEH within thirty (30) days of any written request made by CEH to Settling Defendant.

3.2.3. Prohibition on Advertising. A Settling Defendant choosing to participate in Section 3.2.3 shall not sell flavored cartridges or any substance to use with Covered Products or other e-cigarettes that are targeted to appeal to minors including, but not limited to, cereal, fruit and candy flavors and shall not use advertisements that target minors. Specifically, Settling Defendant will not use models or images of people that appear to be younger than twenty-eight (28) years of age, cartoons, art, fashion, or music that is intended and designed to appeal to people under the legal smoking age in advertisements or promotional materials that appear in California, including on the Internet. Additionally, Settling Defendant will not: (a) advertise in any media that has more than 25% under 18 readership; (b) utilize any form of outdoor advertising within 1,000 feet of any school or playground; (c) advertise using Instagram; and (d) sponsor any athletic, musical or other cultural events unless such events are designated as prohibiting patrons under the age of 18.

3.2.4. Prohibition on Smoke Cessation Claims. A Settling Defendant opting to participate in Section 3.2.4 shall not advertise Covered Products for smoking-cessation. This prohibition includes a commitment to remove any claims or testimonials about quitting smoking, or using e-cigarettes as a treatment for tobacco dependence or addiction that are posted by a third party on its website within a reasonable time.

3.2.5. Prohibition on Health and Safety Claims. A Settling Defendant opting to participate in Section 3.2.5 shall not make health and or safety claims unless such claims have

-5-

1	been reviewed and approved by the Federal Food and Drug Administration. Examples of
2	prohibited claims include the following:
3	3.2.5.1. Settling Defendant shall not make any claim that the
4	Covered Products do not contain carcinogens or are better or safer than tobacco.
5	3.2.5.2. Settling Defendant shall not make any claim that the
6	Covered Products produce no second hand smoke.
7	4. PAYMENTS
8	4.1. Settling Defendant shall initially pay to CEH the total sum of \$30,000, which shall
9	be allocated as follows:
10	4.1.1. \$400 as a civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §
11	25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with California Health &
12	Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of
13	Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). The payment required under this section shall be
14	made by a separate check payable to CEH.
15	4.1.2. \$600 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to California Health &
16	Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use
17	such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic
18	chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent
19	Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's Products to confirm compliance. In
20	addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four
21	percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to
22	educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of
23	such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment required
24	under this section shall be made by a separate check payable to CEH.
25	4.1.3. \$29,000 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys'
26	fees and costs. This amount shall be divided into two checks: (1) a check for \$26,000 shall be
27	
28	

6

5.

5.1.

11

1213

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

6.

7.

6.1.

7.1.

2122

__

23

24

25

26

27

28 Document Prepared

ON RECYCLED PAPER

-8-

in the public interest and Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's parents, officers, directors,

("Defendant Releasees") and all entities to whom they distribute or sell or have distributed or sold

shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their respective successors and assigns

purchase and test Settling Defendant's Products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its

Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such

the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be

found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.

ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect

Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH

shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results and

related supporting documentation, including all laboratory results, quality assurance reports and

quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Products, which purportedly support

CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's

anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing

Settling Defendant with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged

or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be

entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or

Settling Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Settling Defendant as provided by law.

application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion

This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting

CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior

Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims alleged in the Complaint in this Action arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Settling Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to nicotine in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

- **7.2.** CEH, for itself, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims alleged in the Complaint against Settling Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to warn about exposure to nicotine in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- **7.3.** Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and the Downstream Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about nicotine in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.
- **7.4.** Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by a Settling Defendant constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to nicotine in Settling Defendant's Covered Products.

8. PROVISION OF NOTICE

- **8.1.** When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by certified and electronic mail as follows:
- **8.1.1. Notices to Settling Defendant.** The persons for Settling Defendant to receive notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:

ProVape, Inc. c/o Ronald J. Meltzer Sinsheimer & Meltzer, Inc., P.S.

-9-

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

11. **ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

- This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of CEH and Settling Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- **11.2.** There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and Settling Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- **11.3.** No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.
- **11.4.** No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.
- **11.5.** No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

13.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

26

21

22.

23

24

25

27

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS	
2	14.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim	
3	against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.	
4	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS	
5	15.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by	
6	means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.	
7		
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
10		
11	Charlie Pizarro	
12	Associate Director	
13		
14	PROVAPE INCORPORATED	
15		
16	Signature	
17		
18	Printed Name	
19	Printed Name	
20 21	Title •	
22		
23	IT IS SO ODDEDED.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
25		
26	Dated: , 2015	
27	Judge of the Superior Court	
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-12-	
ı	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PROVAPE INCORPORATED – CASE NO. RG 15-770932	

1	14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS
2	14.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
3	against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.
4	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS
5	15.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
6	means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.
7	
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
9	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
10	
11	
12	Charlie Pizarro Associate Director
13	
14	PROVAPE INCORPORATED
15	Della
16	Jam Hagg
17	Signature
18	David Flagg
19	Printed Name
20	CEO
21	Title
22	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED:
24	
25	
26	Dated:, 2015
27	Judge of the Superior Court
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-12-

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO PROVAPE INCORPORATED – CASE NO. RG 15-770932