| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Abigail Blodgett, State Bar No. 278813 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com ablodgett@lexlawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| 9 | SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG 15-770932 | | |
| 13 | Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] CONSENT | | |
| 14 | y. JUDGMENT AS TO SPACE JAM U. JUICE LLC | | |
| 15 | SPACE JAM JUICE LLC, et al., | | |
| 16 | Defendants. | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 20 | 1. INTRODUCTION | | |
| 20 | 1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental | | |
| 22 | Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Space Jam Juice LLC ("Settling Defendant") to | | |
| 23 | settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint | | |
| 24 | in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Space Jam Juice LLC, et al., Alameda County | | |
| 25 | Superior Court Case No. RG-15770932 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred | | |
| 26 | to collectively as the "Parties." | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER | -1- | | |

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO SPACE JAM JUICE LLC – CASE NO. RG 15-770932

- 1.2. On February 27, 2015, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") relating to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of nicotine in liquid for use with electronic cigarette devices manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- **1.3.** Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.4. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notice and Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.5. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct related to Settling Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Settling Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense any of the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent

Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- **2.1.** "Covered Products" means nicotine-containing liquid for use with electronic cigarette devices manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant in California.
- **2.2.** "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1. Clear and Reasonable Warnings for Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, no Covered Product may be manufactured for sale, distributed or sold in California unless such Covered Product has a clear and reasonable warning on the outer label of the product. The warning shall state the following:

WARNING: Use of this product will expose you to nicotine, a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm and to other chemicals known to cause cancer and birth defects or reproductive harm.

The warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. To the extent that other warning statements are included on the outer label of a Covered Product, the warning required herein shall be separated from the other warnings by a line that is at least the same height as a line of text on the label. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present and cannot see a warning displayed on the Covered Product prior to purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood as being applicable to the Covered Product being purchased prior to the authorization of or actual payment. Placement of

the warning statement at the bottom of an internet webpage that offers multiple products for sale does not satisfy the requirements of this Section.

- 3.1.1. Warnings for Covered Products in the Stream of Commerce. In an effort to ensure that consumers receive clear and reasonable warnings in compliance with Proposition 65 for Covered Products that have not been labeled in accordance with Section 3.1, within thirty (30) days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide warning materials by certified mail to each of its California retailers or distributors to whom Settling Defendant reasonably believes sold Covered Products that contained nicotine on or after the Effective Date. Such warning materials shall include a reasonably sufficient number of stickers in order to permit the retailer or distributor to affix the warning on each Covered Product such customer has purchased from Settling Defendant. The warning stickers shall contain the warning language set forth in Section 3.1 above. The warning materials shall also include a letter of instruction for the placement of the stickers, and a Notice and Acknowledgment postcard.
- **3.2. Optional Additional Injunctive Provisions.** In order for Settling Defendant to be eligible for a waiver of the additional payment pursuant to Health and Safety Code §25249.7, set forth in Section 4.1.5 below, Settling Defendant shall undertake the additional actions below. A Settling Defendant opting to be bound by this Section must provide CEH with a written election.
- **3.2.1. Product Safety Requirements.** A Settling Defendant opting to participate in Section 3.2 shall make the following change to the Covered Products to increase the safety of such Products: Within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date, all Covered Products manufactured for sale in California shall be manufactured with child proof caps.
- **3.2.2. Prohibition on Sales and Advertising to Minors.** A Settling Defendant opting to participate in Section 3.2 shall take reasonable steps to prevent the sale of Covered Products to persons younger than eighteen (18) years of age, including, but not limited to the following measures:
- **3.2.2.1.** Settling Defendant shall implement one or more systems for checking the age of persons who purchase Covered Products on the Internet or in person. The

system shall include age verification by requiring and checking an official government identification card or verifying through a reputable credit agency the age of anyone who purchases Covered Products on the Internet, or of anyone under twenty-six (26) years old who purchases in person. The system shall be put into place within ninety (90) days of the Effective Date.

3.2.2.2. Settling Defendant shall not sell flavored cartridges or any substance to use with Covered Products or other e-cigarettes that are targeted to appeal to minors including, but not limited to, cereal and candy flavors.

3.2.2.3. Settling Defendant shall not use advertisements that target minors. Specifically, Settling Defendant will not use models or images of people that are younger than twenty-eight (28) years of age, cartoons, art, fashion, or music that is obviously intended and designed to appeal to people under the legal smoking age in advertisements or promotional materials that appear in California, including on the Internet. Additionally, Settling Defendant will not: (a) advertise in any media that is specifically targeted to under 18 readership; (b) utilize any form of outdoor advertising in California within 1,000 feet of any school or playground; and (c) sponsor any athletic, musical or other cultural events in California that specifically target an audience that is under 18 years of age.

3.2.3. Prohibition on Health and Safety Claims. A Settling Defendant opting to participate in Section 3.2 shall not make health and or safety claims in the United States unless such claims have been reviewed and approved by the Federal Food and Drug Administration. Examples of prohibited claims include the following:

3.2.3.1. Settling Defendant shall not advertise Covered Products in the United States as smoking-cessation devices. This prohibition includes any claims or testimonials about quitting smoking, using e-cigarettes as a treatment for tobacco dependence or addiction.

3.2.3.2. Settling Defendant shall not make any claim in the United States that the Covered Products do not contain carcinogens or are better or safer than tobacco.

3.2.3.3. Settling Defendant shall not make any claim in the United States that the Covered Products produce no second hand smoke.

3.2.3.4. This section shall not apply to internet media or other forms of advertising specifically directed to the any market outside the United States.

4. PAYMENTS

- **4.1.** Settling Defendant shall initially pay to CEH the total sum of \$95,000, which shall be allocated as set forth in this Section and in more detail on Exhibit A:
- **4.1.1.** \$4,950 as a payment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).
- 4.1.2. \$7,425 as a payment in lieu of payment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code \$ 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, \$ 3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's Products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.
- **4.1.3.** \$82,625 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. This amount shall be divided into two amounts: (1) \$73,125 to the Lexington Law Group; and (2) \$9,500 to the Center for Environmental Health.
- **4.1.4.** The payments required under Sections 4.1.1-4.1.3 shall be made in accordance with the payment schedule attached hereto as Exhibit A. The payments required pursuant to Sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 shall each be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health. The payment required pursuant to Section 4.1.3 shall be made payable to Lexington Law

Group and the Center for Environmental Health. All checks shall be delivered to Mark Todzo at Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in Section 8.1.2.

- **4.1.5.** In the event that Settling Defendant elects not to certify its compliance with Section 3.2 in accordance with that Section, within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant must make an additional payment for each provision not certified, as follows: (i) \$12,000 if Settling Defendant elects to not participate in Section 3.2.1; (ii) \$11,500 if Settling Defendant elects to not participate in Section 3.2.2; and (3) \$11,500 if Settling Defendant elects to not participate in Section 3.2.3. Each of these payments shall be paid in two (2) separate checks, each payable to the Center for Environmental Health, to be allocated as set forth on Exhibit A and as follows:
- **4.1.5.1.** Forty percent (40%) shall constitute a payment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).
- 4.1.5.2. Sixty percent (60%) shall constitute a payment in lieu of payment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's Products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant with a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and Settling Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Settling Defendant as provided by law.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 7.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting in the public interest and Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's parents, officers, directors, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their respective successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees") and all entities to whom they distribute or sell or have distributed or sold Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims alleged in the Complaint in this Action arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Settling Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to nicotine in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- **7.2.** CEH, for itself, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims alleged in the Complaint against Settling Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to

9. COURT APPROVAL

- **9.1.** This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.
- **9.2.** If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1. The terms and obligations arising from this Consent Judgment shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

- 11.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of CEH and Settling Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- 11.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and Settling Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- 11.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.
- **11.4.** No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.

| 1 | SPACE JAM JUICE LLC |
|--|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | Signature Chapter |
| 5 | Signature |
| 6 | \mathcal{M}_{i} |
| 7 | Michael Crawford Printed Name |
| 8 | THROU NAME |
| 9 | |
| 10 | President |
| Ĭ1 | Title |
| 12 | |
| 13 | IT IS SO ORDERED: |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| | |
| 16 | Dated:, 2016 |
| | Dated:, 2016 Judge of the Superior Court |
| 16 | Dated:, 2016 Judge of the Superior Court |
| 16 17 | Dated:, 2016 Judge of the Superior Court |
| 16 17 18 | Dated:, 2016 Judge of the Superior Court |
| 16 17 18 19 | Dated:, 2016 Judge of the Superior Court |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | Dated:, 2016 Judge of the Superior Court |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Dated: |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Dated: |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Dated: |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Dated:, 2016 Judge of the Superior Court |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | Dated: |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 | Dated: |

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO SPACE JAM JUICE LLC – CASE NO. RG 15-770932

| 1 | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|--|
| 1 | EXHIBIT A Settlement Payments and Allocations | | |
| 2 | Settlement I ayments and Anocations | | |
| 3 | Total Settlement Payment: \$130,000 | | |
| 5 | Payment 1: Total \$35,000 - Due 10 Days After the Effective Date | | |
| 6 | Payment 1 Allocations: | | |
| 7 | Penalty Pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b): | \$4 950 | |
| | Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty: | \$7,425 | |
| 8 | Attorneys' Fees and Costs to CEH: | \$9,500 | |
| 9 | Attorneys' Fees and Costs to LLG: | \$13,125 | |
| 10 | Payment 2: Total \$60,000 (or \$25,000 if all additional payments are waived pursuant to Section 4.1.5) - Due 90 Days After the Effective Date | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | Payment 2 Allocations: | | |
| 13 | Attorneys' Fees and Costs to LLG: | \$25,000 | |
| 14 | Waivable Penalty: | \$14,000 total | |
| 15 | Waivable Payment in Lieu of Civil Penalty: | \$21,000 total | |
| 16 | Payment 3: Total \$35,000- Due 180 Days After the Effective Date | | |
| 17 | Payment 3 Allocation: | | |
| 18 | Attorneys' Fees and Costs to LLG: | \$35,000 | |
| 19 | Thiomeys Tees and Costs to 220. | 422,000 | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 1103131/24671446v.1 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER | -13- | | |
| | CONSENT HIDGMENT AS TO SPACE IAM HIJCE LLC – CASE | NO RG 15-770932 | |

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO SPACE JAM JUICE LLC – CASE NO. RG 15-770932