1 2 3 4	Brian C. Johnson, State Bar N THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118	No. 235965	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER		
6 7	'		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
11			
12	RUSSELL BRIMER,	į	Case No. 115CV281917
13	Plaintiff,		[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
14	v.		(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
15	SUREFIRE, LLC, et al.,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer ("Brimer"), and SureFire, LLC ("SureFire"), with Brimer and SureFire each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Brimer is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

SureFire employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Brimer alleges that SureFire manufactures, imports, sells, and distributes for sale in California, earplugs with vinyl/PVC cords that contain di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"), and that it does so without first providing the exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are earplugs with vinyl/PVC cords containing DEHP that are manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale in California by SureFire, including, but not limited to, the *EarPro EP5 Sonic Defenders Max Full-Block Earplugs, UPC #0 84871 31793 1*, hereinafter the "Products."

1.6 Notice of Violation

On or about March 31, 2015, Brimer served SureFire, and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice"), alleging that the SureFire violated Proposition 65 when it failed to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Products

expose users to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On June 16, 2015, Brimer commenced the instant action ("Complaint"), naming SureFire as a defendant for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

1.8 No Admission

SureFire denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all of the products it has sold and distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, the same being denied by SureFire. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect SureFire's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over SureFire as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Santa Clara, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court grants the motion for approval of the Parties settlement contemplated by Section 5.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION

2.1 Reformulated Products

Commencing on the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, SureFire shall only import for sale, purchase for sale or manufacture for sale in California, "Reformulated Products." For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Reformulated Products" are defined as Products containing a maximum

DEHP concentration of 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) as measured by gas chromatographymass spectrometry using testing method CPSC-CH-C1001-09.3 according to the Standard Operating
Procedure for Determination of Phthalates, dated April 1, 2010, of the US Consumer Product Safety
Commission, or equivalent methodologies used by state or federal agencies for measuring DEHP
content in a solid substance.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payments

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), and in settlement of all claims referred to in the Notice, Complaint, or this Consent Judgment, SureFire will pay \$18,000 in civil penalties in accordance with this Section 3.1. Each civil penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d) with seventy-five percent (75%) of the payment amount remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty retained by Brimer. Brimer's counsel shall be responsible for delivering OEHHA's portion of any penalty payment(s) made under this Consent Judgment to OEHHA.

3.1.1 Initial Civil Penalty

SureFire shall make an initial civil penalty payment of \$6,000. It shall deliver its payment in a single check made payable to "Russell Brimer, Client Trust Account."

3.1.2 Final Civil Penalty

On December 31, 2016, SureFire shall make a final civil penalty payment of \$12,000. Pursuant to title 11 California Code of Regulations, section 3203(c), Brimer agrees that the final civil penalty payment shall be waived in its entirety if, no later than December 15, 2016, an officer of SureFire provides Brimer with a written signed declaration certifying that all of the Products it is selling or distributing for sale in California as of the date of its declaration are Reformulated Products as defined by Section 2.1, and that SureFire will continue to only offer Reformulated Products in California in the future. The option to provide a signed declaration certifying completed reformulation in lieu of making the final civil penalty payment required by this Section is a material term, and time is of the essence.

3.2 Adjudication of Brimer's Attorney's Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Brimer and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled, or through an ancillary proceeding including, but not exclusively, mediation. However, upon reaching an agreement on all other terms essential to their settlement, the Parties negotiated SureFire's reimbursement of the compensation to be paid to Brimer and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5. For all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment, including obtaining court approval of the same, SureFire shall pay Brimer \$30,500 as reimbursement for all fees and costs incurred investigating, bringing this matter to SureFire's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

3.3 Payment Timing; Payments Held in Trust

The initial civil penalty and fee reimbursement payments required by Sections 3.1.1 and 3.2 of this Consent Judgment shall be tendered within fifteen (15) days of the date that this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties, and held in trust by SureFire's counsel until, and disbursed to Brimer's counsel within five (5) business days after, the Effective Date. SureFire's counsel shall provide written confirmation to Brimer's counsel upon its receipt of the settlement funds. In the event the final civil penalty required by Section 3.1.2 is not waived and becomes due to prior to the Effective Date, SureFire shall deliver the payment to its counsel to hold in trust until, and disburse within five (5) business days after the Effective Date.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Brimer's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Brimer, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases SureFire and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom it directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, but not limited to, it's downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Releasees") for any violation arising under Proposition 65 regarding the failure to warn about exposures to DEHP from Products sold by SureFire prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the failure to warn about the health hazards associated with exposures to DEHP in Products sold by SureFire after the Effective Date.

4.2 Brimer's Individual Release of Claims

Brimer, in his individual capacity only and *not* in any representative capacity, also provides a release to SureFire, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of Brimer of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in Products sold or distributed for sale by SureFire before the Effective Date.

4.3 SureFire's Release of Brimer

SureFire, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

4.4 Reservation of all Defenses

This Consent Judgment shall be deemed cumulative to any and all affirmative defenses available to SureFire for any future violation or alleged violation of Proposition 65 relative to the

Products. With respect to any alleged violation of this Consent Judgment, SureFire shall be deemed to have complied with all terms of this Consent Judgment if SureFire can prove by a preponderance of the evidence that within the 12 months preceding the violation or alleged violation of this Consent Judgment SureFire tested the Products in accordance with Section 2.1 *supra*.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such later date to which the Parties mutually agree to in writing.

6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then SureFire may provide written notice to Brimer of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For SureFire:

John Matthews, Chief Executive Officer SureFire, LLC 18300 Mount Baldy Circle Fountain Valley, CA 92708

with a copy to:

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

George Moschopoulos, Esq.
The Law Offices of George Moschopoulos, APC
34197 Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 100
Dana Point, CA 92629

Kurt Summers, Esq. Callari & Summers 600 Anton Boulevard, 11th Floor Costa Mesa, CA 92626

For Brimer:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion Brimer shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion, responding to any objection or opposition to the settlement made by any third-party, and appearing at the hearing before the Court, if so requested.

27

28

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

12. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

AGREED TO:

AGREED TO:

Date: 5/12/2018

1 3/12/2019

By: RUSSELL BRUMER

Date: MAY 10, 2016

By: Joel Smith, Chief Administration Officer

SUREFIRE, LLC