1 2	Robert B. Hancock (SBN 179438) PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER	
3	50 California Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, California 94111	
4	Tel: (415) 310-1940	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT	OF CALIFORNIA
11	COUNTY OF SA	N FRANCISCO
12		
13	ERIKA MCCARTNEY, in the public interest,	CIVIL ACTION NO. CGC-15-546493
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT
15	v. {	[Cal. Health and Safety Code
16	THEO CHOCOLATE, INC., a Washington ) corporation; and DOES 1 through 500, inclusive, )	Sec. 25249.6, et seq.]
17	Defendants.	
18	)	
19	{	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
- 1	4 =	

# 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This Action arises out of the alleged violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq. (also known as and hereinafter referred to as "Proposition 65") regarding the following product (hereinafter collectively the "Covered Product": Theo Roasted Cacao Nibs.
- 1.2 Plaintiff ERIKA MCCARTNEY ("MCCARTNEY") is a California resident acting as a private enforcer of Proposition 65. MCCARTNEY brings this Action in the public interest pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249. MCCARTNEY asserts that she is dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals and substances, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.3 Defendant Theo Chocolate, Inc., is a Washington corporation, and is referred to hereinafter as "THEO CHOCOLATE." THEO CHOCOLATE asserts that, as the nation's first organic and Fair Trade cocoa product manufacturer, its founding principle is that cocoa products should be produced in an entirely ethical, sustainable fashion, and THEO CHOCOLATE further asserts that it implements a business model to achieve the company's mission of environmental sustainability, and support and protection of workers' rights.
  - 1.4 THEO CHOCOLATE manufactures, distributes and sells the Covered Product.
- 1.5 MCCARTNEY and THEO CHOCOLATE are hereinafter sometimes referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.6 On or about April 9, 2015, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d)(1), MCCARTNEY served a 60-Day Notice of Violations of Proposition 65 ("Notice of

Violations") on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and THEO CHOCOLATE.

A true and correct copy of the Notice of Violations is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

- 1.7 After more than sixty (60) days passed since service of the Notice of Violations, and no designated governmental agency filed a complaint against THEO CHOCOLATE with regard to the Covered Product or the alleged violations, MCCARTNEY filed a complaint (the "Complaint") for injunctive relief and civil penalties. The Complaint is based on the allegations in the Notice of Violations.
- manufactured, distributed, and/or sold in California the Covered Product, which contains cadmium, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as causing birth defects or other reproductive harm, and exposed consumers thereto. Further, the Complaint and Notice of Violations allege that use of the Covered Product exposes persons in California to cadmium without first providing clear and reasonable warnings, in violation of California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. THEO CHOCOLATE generally denies all material and factual allegations of the Notice of Violation and the Complaint, and specifically denies that the Plaintiff or California consumers have been harmed or damaged by its conduct. THEO CHOCOLATE further asserts that the cadmium levels in the Covered Product is naturally occurring as the result of natural geological and plant processes in the areas where the cocoa plants, from which the cocoa beans are sourced, are grown. MCCARTNEY and THEO CHOCOLATE each reserves all rights to allege additional facts, claims, and affirmative defenses if the Court does not approve this Consent Judgment.
- 1.9 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent

Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding. Provided, however, nothing in this Section shall affect the enforceability of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment.

## 2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

The Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein.

# 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING, AND WARNINGS

3.1 Beginning on the Effective Date, THEO CHOCOLATE shall be permanently enjoined from offering for sale to a consumer in California, directly selling to a consumer in California, or "Distributing into California" any of the Covered Product without Court modification of this Consent Judgment. "Distributing into California" or "Distribute into California" means to ship any of the Covered Product to California for sale or to sell any of the Covered Product to a

distributor that THEO CHOCOLATE knows or has reason to know will sell the Covered Product in California.

#### 4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 THEO CHOCOLATE shall make a total payment of \$60,000, except as otherwise provided in Section 4.4 below, within thirty days of the Effective Date, which shall be in full and final satisfaction of any and all civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, and attorneys' fees and costs.
- 4.2 The payment will be in the form of separate checks sent to counsel for MCCARTNEY, Robert B. Hancock, Pacific Justice Center, 50 California Street, San Francisco, California 94111. The checks shall be payable to the following parties and the payment shall be apportioned as follows:
- 4.3 \$15,000 as civil penalties pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b)(1). Of this amount, \$11,250 shall be payable to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and \$3,750 shall be payable to MCCARTNEY. (Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d)). MCCARTNEY's counsel will forward the civil penalty check to OEHHA.
- 4.4 \$45,000 payable to Robert B. Hancock as reimbursement of MCCARTNEY's attorneys' fees, costs, investigation and litigation expenses ("Attorney's Fees and Costs"), which shall discharge all obligations by THEO CHOCOLATE to pay Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and related expenses, incurred by Pacific Justice Center or other attorneys, in this matter except as set forth in Sections 5 and 6 below. MCCARTNEY and her counsel shall be responsible on their own to establish in the Motion for Court Approval that such Attorney's Fees and Costs are appropriate

24

25

26

for the work done in this matter. MCCARTNEY and her counsel agree not to seek more than \$45,000. THEO CHOCOLATE shall not oppose the amount of Attorney's Fees and Costs for which MCCARTNEY seeks approval as long as the request does not exceed \$45,000.

### 5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) Written agreement and 5.1 stipulation of the Parties and upon having such stipulation entered as a modified Consent Judgment by the Court; or (ii) Upon entry of a modified Judgment by the Court pursuant to a motion by one of the Parties after exhausting the meet and confer process set forth as follows. If either Party requests or initiates a modification, then it shall meet and confer with the other Party in good faith before filing a motion with the Court seeking to modify it. MCCARTNEY is entitled to reimbursement of all reasonable attorneys' fees and costs regarding the Parties' meet and confer efforts for any modification requested or initiated by THEO CHOCOLATE. Similarly, THEO CHOCOLATE is entitled to reimbursement of all reasonable attorney's fees and costs regarding the Parties' meet and confer efforts for any modification requested or initiated by MCCARTNEY. If, despite their meet and confer efforts, the Parties are unable to reach agreement on any proposed modification the party seeking the modification may file the appropriate motion and the prevailing party on such motion shall be entitled recover its reasonable fees and costs associated with such motion. One basis, but not the exclusive basis, for THEO CHOCOLATE to seek a modification of this Consent Judgment is if Proposition 65 is changed, narrowed, limited, or otherwise rendered inapplicable in whole or in part to the Covered Product or cadmium due to legislative change, a change in the implementing regulations, court decisions, or other legal basis.

# 6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 Subject to Section 6.3, any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party in any such motion or application may request that the Court award its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.
- shall provide THEO CHOCOLATE with 30 (thirty) days written notice of any alleged violations of the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. As long as THEO CHOCOLATE cures any such alleged violations within the 30 (thirty) day period (or if any such violation cannot practicably be cured within 30 days, it expeditiously initiates a cure within 30 days and completes it as soon as practicable), then THEO CHOCOLATE shall not be in violation of the Consent Judgment.

### 7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties and their respective officers, directors, successors and assigns, and it shall benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns.

///

| ///

26

### 8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between 8.1 MCCARTNEY, on behalf of herself and in the public interest, and THEO CHOCOLATE, of any and all direct or derivative violations (or claimed violations) of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure to cadmium from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Product and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this Action up to and including the Effective Date for alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for the Covered Product regarding cadmium as alleged in the Notice of Violations and in the Complaint. MCCARTNEY, on behalf of herself and in the public interest, hereby forever releases and discharges, THEO CHOCOLATE and its past and present officers, directors, owners, shareholders, employees, agents, attorneys, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, private labelers, co-packers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities and persons in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), from any and all claims and causes of action and obligations to pay damages, restitution, fines, civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties and expenses (including but not limited to expert analysis fees, expert fees, attorney's fees and costs) (collectively, "Claims") arising under, based on, or derivative of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations up through the Effective Date based on alleged exposure to cadmium from the Covered Product and/or failure to warn about cadmium, as set forth in the Notice of Violations and the Complaint.

8.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by any Released Party with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures to cadmium from the Covered Product as set forth in the Notice of Violations and the Complaint.

8.3 It is possible that other Claims not known to MCCARTNEY arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice of Violations or the Complaint and relating to cadmium in the Covered Product that were manufactured, sold or Distributed into California before the Effective Date will develop or be discovered. MCCARTNEY, on behalf of herself only, acknowledges that the Claims released herein include all known and unknown Claims and waives California Civil Code Section 1542 as to any such unknown Claims. California Civil Code Section 1542 reads as follows:

"A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR."

MCCARTNEY, on behalf of herself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

8.4 MCCARTNEY, on one hand, and THEO CHOCOLATE, on the other hand, each release and waive all Claims they may have against each other for any statements or actions made or undertaken by them in connection with the Notice of Violations or the Complaint. However, this shall not affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

# 9. CONSTRUCTION AND SEVERABILITY

9.1 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully

26

discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. In any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, the terms and conditions shall not be construed against any Party.

- 9.2 In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 9.3 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

### 10. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by: (a) first-class, registered, (b) certified mail, (b) overnight courier, or (c) personal delivery to the following:

# For Erika McCartney:

Melvin B. Pearlston Robert B. Hancock PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER 50 California Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, California 94111

### For Theo Chocolate, Inc.:

Dennis Macray, Chief Operating Officer Theo Chocolate, Inc. 3400 Phinney Avenue North Seattle, WA 98103

Ann G. Grimaldi, Esq. GRIMALDI LAW OFFICES 50 California Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, California 94111

## 11. COURT APPROVAL

11.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, MCCARTNEY shall notice a Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment.

- 11.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion.
- 11.3 If, despite the Parties' best efforts, the Court does not approve this Stipulated Consent Judgment it shall be null and void and have no force or effect.

### 12. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Stipulated Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed as valid and as the original signature.

## 13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

///

25|| ///

1	13.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
2	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly
3	provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.
4	14. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS AND FOR APPROVAL
5	14.1 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties.
7	The parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed
8	regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:
9	(a) Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a good
١0	faith settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter has been
[1	diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and
12	(b) Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section
13 14	25249.7(f)(4), and approve the Settlement, and this Consent Judgment.
15	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
16	Dated: 2/23/17 ENGE
17	Dated: Erika McCartney
18	
19	Dated: 2/25/2017 THEO CHOCOLATE, INC.
20	Name:
21	Title:
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	ORDER AND JUDGMENT
2	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent
3	Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.
5	
6	Dated:, 2017  Judge of the Superior Court
8	Judge of the Superior Court
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	