### State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1501 (03-01)

Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

### REPORT OF SETTLEMENT

Please <sub>i</sub>	print or type required information	Original Filing D Suppleme	ntal Filing Corrected Filing	
	PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV LLC			
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	Galderma Laboratories L			
SE -O-	COURT DOCKET NUMBER CIV 1504055	CC M	OURTNAME arin County Superi	or Court
SC	SHORT CASE NAME Shefa LMV LLC v. Galderma Laboratories LP, et			
	Notive Relief Reformulation			
REPORT INFO	PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY \$16,000  WILL SETTLEMENT BE   IFYES, AF	PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS FEES \$22,000  TER ENTRY OF JUDGMENT BY	PAYMENT: OTHER  0.00  DATE SETTLEMENT SIGNED	Use Only
REPO	Yes No MUST BES	EPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT SUBMITTED TO ATTORNEY GENERAL TTLEMENT MUST	5 /23/2016 BE ATTACHED	For Internal Use Only
	NAMEOFCONTACT Daniel N. Greenbaum			
	ORGANIZATION  Law Office of Danie			TELEPHONE NUMBER
FILER INFO	ADDRESS			( 818) 809-2199  FAXNUMBER 242 7600
	7120 Hayvenhurst Av	STATE ZIP	E-MAIL ADDRESS	( 424) 243-7698
	Van Nuys	CA 91406	dgreenbaum@green	nbaumlawfirm.com

**FILING INSTRUCTIONS:** This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the settlement to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUI Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104)	M	
2	The Hathaway Building 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue		
3	Suite 320		
4	Van Nuys, CA 91406 Telephone: (818) 809-2199		
5	Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC		
7	DENTONS US LLP		
8	Gary Roberts, Esq.		
9	Sarah Choi, Esq. 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500		
10	Los Angeles, CA 90017		
11	gary.roberts@dentons.com sarah.choi@dentons.com		
12	Attorneys for Defendant GALDERMA LABORATORIES LP		
13			
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15	COUNTY	Y OF MARIN	
16	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
17			
18	SHEFA LMV, LLC.,	) Case No. CIV 1504055	
19	Plaintiff,	) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
20	VS.	) AS TO GALDERMA ) LABORATORIES LP	
21	GALDERMA LABORATORIES LP; and		
22	DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	Action Filed: Sept. 10, 2015	
23	Defendants.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		Page 1 AS TO GALDERMA LABORATORIES LP	
	[TROTOSED] CONSENT JODGMENT	110 TO GILDERWILL ENDORGHONDO EL	

WHEREAS Plaintiff has issued a Notice and filed a Complaint against Settling

Defendant regarding the presence of benzophenone in Covered Products, as further described in this Consent Judgment; and

WHEREAS the Parties acknowledge that the Notice to Settling Defendant was intended to cover all of Settling Defendant's Covered Products; and

WHEREAS the Parties, therefore, wish to resolve all Proposition 65 claims regarding benzophenone in Settling Defendant's Covered Products, they hereby agree as follows:

### 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Parties

This consent judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between plaintiff
Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa" or "Plaintiff") and Defendant Galderma Laboratories, LP ("Settling
Defendant"), with Shefa and Settling Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and
individually as a "Party."

### 1.2 Plaintiff

Shefa is a California Limited Liability Company that seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products. Shefa alleges Settling Defendant employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65").

### 1.3 Defendant and Listed Chemical

Settling Defendant manufactures, and/or distributes, and/or sells personal care products that are labeled as having a Sun Protection Factor Value (SPF Products) (See 21 C.F.R. § 352.3). One ingredient used in such products to enhance their ability to provide protection from the sun is octocrylene, an active ingredient approved for use in sunscreens by the Federal Food & Drug Administration ("FDA") (See 76 Fed. Reg. 35620; 21 C.F.R. §§ 352.10, 352.20 (stayed)). Octocrylene can at times contain benzophenone. Benzophenone (CAS # 119-61-9) is a chemical

listed under The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 *et seq.* (commonly known as "**Proposition 65**") as a chemical "known to the state to cause cancer" as Proposition 65 defines that phrase. 27 CCR § 25000.

### 1.4 Products Covered

This Consent Judgment covers and applies to all personal care products, including but not limited to the categories described in Section 1.3, that are manufactured and/or distributed for sale in California and/or sold in California and that contain benzophenone. All sizes, types, brands, packaging, formulations, delivery forms (e.g., sprays or lotions applied by hand), intended uses (e.g., "faces," children's products, "sport," "moisturizing," cosmetic purposes) are included. Examples of the products subject to this Consent Judgment are Cetaphil w/ SPF, including but not limited to Cetaphil w/SPF 15. The products described in this Section 1.4 shall be referred to herein as "Covered Products". The Parties agree that the Notice to Settling Defendant covers all of Settling Defendant's Covered Products. This Consent Judgment, and all of its terms, applies to all Covered Products, including without limitation new products and brands introduced, developed, or acquired in the future by Settling Defendant which would today meet the definition of Covered Products if they currently were being manufactured or distributed for sale, or being sold, in California. The term Covered Product, as used hereafter in this Consent Judgment, includes such future products and brands.

### 1.5 General Allegations

Plaintiff alleges in the Complaint that Settling Defendant manufactured, and/or distributed for sale in California, and/or sold in California, Covered Products containing benzophenone without "a clear and reasonable warning" as Proposition 65 defines that phrase, and continues to do so. Plaintiff asserts this settlement is necessary to assure compliance with Proposition 65 now and in the future and to settle Plaintiff's alleged claims.

### 1.6 Notice of Violation

On April 15, 2015, Plaintiff served Settling Defendant and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice"), alleging that Settling Defendant was in

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1.7

Complaint

On September 10, 2015 Plaintiff filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the 6 7 County of Marin against Concept II Cosmetics, LLC and DOES 1-150, alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on exposures to benzophenone contained in 8 personal care products sold by Settling Defendant in the State of California. The complaint shall 9 be deemed amended by this Consent Judgment to include the allegations set forth in the 10 Supplemental Notices on the day that the sixty-day notice period has passed if no authorized 11 12 public prosecutor has, prior to that date, filed a Proposition 65 enforcement action with respect to 13 the allegations in the Supplemental Notices. On November 6, 2015, Shefa filed the present action in the Superior Court in and for the County of Marin, Shefa LMV, LLC v. Galderma 14 Laboratories, LP, et al., Marin Superior Court Case No. CIV 1504055 alleging violations of 15

# 1.8 No Admission

Settling Defendant denies all the respective material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint. Settling Defendant maintains that all of its Covered Products have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission against interest by Settling Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission against interest by Settling Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Settling Defendant's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on the alleged exposures to benzophenone

contained in personal care products sold in the State of California.

violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers in California that its personal care

products exposed users to benzophenone. The Notice applicable to Settling Defendant or its

Covered Products is attached at Exhibit A. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public

enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

28

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, Settling Defendant agrees that it employs or has employed ten or more persons during time periods relevant to the Complaint, and that this Court has jurisdiction over the Parties to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date that Plaintiff serves notice on Settling Defendant that this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

## 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION STANDARD; NOTIFICATION

### 2.1 Reformulation Standard

- (a) Whereas, Settling Defendant, based on inquiry for purposes of this Consent Judgment, has not identified any ingredient in its Covered Products other than octocrylene that is a source of detectable benzophenone in such Covered Products. Further, based upon inquiry for purposes of this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant represents that it has investigated and concluded that there are only a few major suppliers of octocrylene for the domestic market and that time and phasing is needed for the marketplace of octocrylene suppliers to make the adjustments necessary to deliver octocrylene with benzophenone meeting the Octocrylene Reformulation Standards.
- (b) As of June 1, 2018, Settling Defendant shall only manufacture, or cause to be manufactured, either Covered Products containing no more than (i) 50 parts per million ("ppm") benzophenone in the finished Covered Products; or (ii) 500 ppm of benzophenone in the ingredient octocrylene used in the finished Covered Products. These first standards are interim standards.

(c)

Standards."

(d)

(e)

Standard dates.

2.2.

9

7

10

12

11

14

15

13

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

2627

28

2020.

2.3 <u>Compliance with Reformulation Standard</u>

Standard with respect to any Covered Product.

**Notifications** 

Page 6

As of June 1, 2020, Settling Defendant shall only manufacture or cause to be

The dates and reformulations of the Covered Products as listed in Section 2.1 (b)

manufactured, either Covered Products containing no more than (i) 35 ppm benzophenone in the

finished Covered Product; or (ii) 350 ppm of benzophenone in the ingredient octocrylene used in

and (c) shall be referred to collectively as the "Reformulation Standards," consisting of either

Defendant may at any time, at its own election, comply with either, both, or any combination of

The Reformulation Standards shall apply to Covered Products which are

Settling Defendant shall provide, no later than fourteen (14) days after the Effective Date,

written notice (the "Octocrylene Supplier Letter") to its current respective octocrylene supplier

or suppliers, informing said supplier or suppliers of the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard and

urging each supplier to use reasonable efforts to provide expeditiously only octocrylene which

complies with the Octocrylene Reformulation Standards. Settling Defendant shall not include

statements in the Octocrylene Supplier Letter that will encourage a supplier to delay compliance

with the Octocrylene Reformulation Standards. Settling Defendant shall include a statement in

its Octocrylene Supplier Letter requesting that its supplier use any and all commercially

reasonable efforts to achieve an Octocrylene Reformulation Standard of 200 ppm by June 1,

the Sections 2.1 (b)(i) and (c)(i) (the "Finished Product Reformulation Standards") or

Sections 2.1 (b)(ii) and (c)(ii) (the "Octocrylene Reformulation Standards"). Settling

the applicable Finished Product Reformulation Standard or the Octocrylene Reformulation

manufactured by or on behalf of Settling Defendant on or after the applicable Reformulation

the finished Covered Products. These second standards are the "Final Reformulation

- (a) Should Settling Defendant elect to meet the Finished Product Reformulation
  Standard, it may, at its option, either (i) test the Covered Product pursuant to a scientifically
  appropriate application of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A,
  8270C, or any other scientifically appropriate methodology for determining the benzophenone
  content in a substance of the form of the specific Covered Product being tested, or (ii) may use
  the appropriate mathematical calculation based on octocrylene percentage in the Covered
  Product and the benzophenone concentration in the lot of octocrylene used in the finished
  Covered Product, based either on testing of the octocrylene lot or on a certificate of analysis
  documenting benzophenone content from the octocrylene supplier (the "Certificate of
  Analysis") at Settling Defendant's option.
- (b) Should Settling Defendant elect to meet the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard, it shall obtain a Certificate of Analysis or analytical testing report for each lot of octocrylene used in the manufacture of Covered Products. If, after Settling Defendant has advised its octocrylene suppliers to include a Certificate of Analysis with each lot of delivered octocrylene, an octocrylene supplier fails to include a Certificate of Analysis, Settling Defendant may correct the lapse upon discovery.
- (c) Settling Defendant may, absent grounds to question the accuracy, demonstrate compliance with either Reformulation Standard by relying in good faith on an octocrylene supplier's Certificate of Analysis or comparable verified quantitative benzophenone content information. Such good faith reliance establishes compliance with the Reformulation Standards. Octocrylene suppliers shall rely on any scientifically appropriate testing methodology for determining the benzophenone content of octocrylene.
- (d) Settling Defendant shall retain compliance documentation for three years after delivery of a lot of octorylene and compliance documentation shall be made available within 30 days of a written request by Plaintiff, who may make no more than two such requests annually.

### 3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), Settling Defendant shall pay initial civil penalties of \$16,000.00 and, if applicable, final civil penalties in the amounts identified in Section 15.1. Settling Defendant shall issue two (2) separate checks for the total amount of \$16,000.00 penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12: (a) one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of \$12,000.00, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to Shefa LMV, LLC in the amount of \$4,000.00, representing 25% of the total penalty. The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered to: Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Attn.: Mike Gyurics, Fiscal Operations Branch Chief, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010. The payment to Shefa shall be delivered to: Shefa LMV, LLC c/o Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum, 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320, Van Nuys, CA 91406.

- **3.1.1 Initial Civil Penalty.** Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date Settling Defendant shall issue checks in the amounts identified in 3.1 as the Initial Civil Penalty.
- 3.1.2 Final Civil Penalty. On or before June 30, 2018 Settling Defendant shall pay a final civil penalty (the "Final Civil Penalty") in the amount of \$16,000.00. However, the Final Civil Penalty shall be waived in its entirety if Settling Defendant certifies that all Covered Products subject to this Consent Judgment manufactured by or on behalf of that Settling Defendant on or after June 1, 2018 meets a Final Reformulation Standard. A responsible official with personal knowledge, after due inquiry, of Settling Defendant that has exercised this election shall provide Plaintiff with a written certification confirming compliance with the above conditions on or before June 15, 2018.

## 3.2 Reimbursement of Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Shefa and its counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled.

### 4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

### 4.1 Plaintiff's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of all claims that were or could have been asserted in the Complaint arising out of Settling Defendant's alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for exposures to benzophenone in its Covered Products.

Plaintiff, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases Settling Defendant and its respective parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under (full or partial) common ownership, manufacturers, suppliers and the directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and predecessors, successors or assigns of each of them ("Releasees") and each entity to whom Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Covered Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees, and including, without limitation, and including any and all subsidiaries, parents, marketplace retailers and/or affiliates of the foregoing retailers (collectively, the "Distribution Chain Releasees") for violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to benzophenone from the Covered Products by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date. Plaintiff's release of claims applies to all Covered Products which Settling Defendant (or its manufacturer) either manufactured, and/or distributed and/or sold prior to the

Effective Date, regardless of the date any person distributes or sells the subject Covered Products.

Upon entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, going forward, Settling Defendant's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to benzophenone in that Settling Defendant's prior, current and future Covered Products.

### 4.2 Plaintiff's Individual Release of Claims

Plaintiff, in its individual capacity only and *not* in its representative capacity, also provides a release to Settling Defendant, Releasees, and Distribution Chain Releasees, which release shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Plaintiff of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to benzophenone in Settling Defendant's Covered Products prior to the Effective Date.

## 4.3 Settling Defendant's Release of Shefa

Settling Defendant, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Shefa and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Shefa and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Covered Products up through the Effective Date.

### 4.4 Release and Dismissal of Retailer Defendant

This Consent Judgment provides a "downstream" release which resolves all claims in the Complaint for all Covered Products manufactured by, or on behalf of, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant. Any retailer who has been named in the Complaint (a "Retailer Defendant") due to its sale of one or more such Covered Products shall be dismissed without prejudice unless, prior to the date this Consent Judgment was lodged, that Retailer Defendant

# 5. FORCE MAJEURE

downstream release.

In the event that it is not feasible for Settling Defendant to obtain conforming octocrylene necessary so as to comply with any Reformulation Standard due to an Act of God (including fire, flood, earthquake, storm, hurricane or other natural disaster) or loss of adequate supplier ability to supply octocrylene on an uninterrupted basis compliant with the applicable Octocrylene Reformulation Standard, the provisions of this paragraph will dictate whether the applicable dates for meeting the Reformulation Standards shall be extended. The criteria for determining whether it is feasible to obtain conforming octocrylene shall include the following factors: availability and reliability of supply that meets the applicable Octocrylene Reformulation Standard, cost of such conforming octocrylene and resulting increase in manufacturers' prices resulting from the use of conforming octocrylene, performance characteristics of conforming octocrylene and of the resulting Covered Products, including but not limited to formulation, performance, safety, efficacy, consumer acceptance, and stability.

had also received a Notice that identified an exemplar product not manufactured or supplied by

either Settling Defendant or an entity that has previously resolved Plaintiff's claims with a

Settling Defendant shall provide notice to Plaintiff and to JAMS mediator Judge James Warren, or if he is not available, another mediator from JAMS mutually agreed to by the Parties or, if necessary, as referred by the Court. Included in the notice shall be the specific reason or reasons for invoking the Force Majeure clause, along with a reasonable estimate of the time period during which Settling Defendant will be unable to comply with the applicable Reformulation Standard. During the time invoked by Settling Defendant, the Reformulation Standard shall be revised to 100 ppm for the Finished Product Reformulation Standard and 1,000 ppm for the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard.

If the Parties disagree as to whether Settling Defendant has a valid reason to invoke the Force Majeure clause or disagree as to the length of time necessary for Settling Defendant to comply with the Reformulation Standard, they shall attempt to resolve their differences through

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

# mutually agreed to by the Parties or, if necessary, as referred by the Court. Shefa's reasonable fees and costs of the mediation sessions under this Section shall be borne solely by the participating Settling Defendant unless otherwise allocated by Judge Warren or other mediator from JAMS, who shall consider whether mediation was necessary and/or whether a Party asserted unreasonable or extreme positions. If the Parties cannot reach resolution via a meet and confer or the JAMS process, an aggrieved Party may move the Court via a noticed motion on all Parties, with a copy to the Office of the Attorney General, for such additional relief as that Party deems necessary.

### **COURT APPROVAL**

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree in writing.

### 7. **SEVERABILITY**

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be void or unenforceable, or the Parties agree to modify any terms due to input from the Office of the Attorney General or after a hearing before the Court in connection with Shefa's Motion to Approve, or for other good cause, each Party to be bound by any such modified terms must re-execute the modified Consent Judgment and such modified Consent Judgment then shall be presented to the Court for approval by Shefa; provided, however, that if a provision of this Consent Judgment declared void or unenforceable is material to the Party for whom such term provided a benefit or protection, that Party can seek other remedies, including, without limitation, rescission or reformation, based on the provision being declared void or unenforceable.

### 8. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of

28

# 9. <u>FUTURE FEDERAL REGULATION OF OCTOCRYLENE OR</u> BENZOPHENONE

California.

If FDA adopts new regulations or Congress enacts new laws governing octocrylene and/or benzophenone content in any Covered Products, then the Parties shall meet and confer regarding the effect of such changes in the law on the obligations of this Consent Judgment. If necessary to reach agreement, the Parties may refer any specific issue for consideration by Judge Warren or other JAMS mediator agreed to by the Parties or, if necessary, as appointed by the Court.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, if FDA authorizes the percentage of octocrylene to increase above the current limit of 10% in Covered Products, then this Consent Judgment shall by operation of law be amended to allow benzophenone in finished Covered Products to rise in proportion to the percentage increase. Settling Defendant shall notify Plaintiff of the date this Section operates to change any Finished Product Reformulation Standard. This notice obligation shall sunset on June 1, 2023. Even if FDA changes the level of permissible octocrylene prior to June 1, 2018, the civil penalty provisions of Section 3.1.2 shall apply as written, not to any standards as modified by this Section 9. Settling Defendant represent that other than as described in the proceedings and papers referenced in their briefs in these consolidated cases, they are not aware that the FDA currently has published or made public plans to raise the allowable levels of octocrylene in the Covered Products.

### 10. NOTICE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) overnight courier on any party by the other at the following addresses:

To Settling Defendant:

To Shefa:

Gary Roberts, Esq. Dentons US LLP 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Daniel N. Greenbaum Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406

Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

## 11. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or pdf signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.

# 12. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

## 13. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f), Shefa is obligated to file a noticed motion to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Settling Defendant agrees to urge the Court to approve this Consent Judgment. If any third party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Plaintiff and Settling Defendant agree to work together to the extent appropriate, and shall appear at any hearing before the Court to urge the Court to approve the Consent Judgment.

### 14. MODIFICATION

28

This Consent Judgment may only be modified by a written instrument executed by the Party or Parties to be bound thereby, and after approval by the Court upon a noticed motion.

Any motion to modify shall be served on all Parties and the Office of the Attorney General.

### 15. ENFORCEMENT

### 15.1 Settling Defendant

In order to assert a potential violation of the Consent Judgment, Plaintiff shall provide notice to Settling Defendant as set forth in this paragraph ("Notice of Breach"): (a) Plaintiff shall provide all results of testing conducted on a specific Covered Product during the three month period for which the violation is alleged; (b) such testing must be of no less than five (5) of the same Covered Product (irrespective of the volume size of the container) collected within the three (3) month period, from five different retail vendors; (c) the average of all test results for that period exceed the Finished Product Reformulation Standard; and (d) Plaintiff shall provide the alleged violator a copy of (i) the purchase information for the allegedly violating Covered Product and (ii) a digital image of the allegedly violating Covered Product showing the SKU/UPC and, if present on the container, the Lot/Batch number(s).

Settling Defendant and Plaintiff shall, within thirty (30) days of receipt of the Notice of Breach, meet and confer regarding the alleged violation, during which time Plaintiff shall not file any motion, application, action, or pleading regarding the alleged violation.

For the first alleged violation as to any specific Covered Product for which Plaintiff provides Notice of Breach, Settling Defendant may demonstrate compliance by providing (1) a Certificate of Analysis or comparable verified quantitative benzophenone content information for five (5) units of the Covered Product or for the lot(s) of octocrylene from the supplier(s) of the octocrylene in the Covered Product at issue showing levels of benzophenone meeting the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard, or (2) a prior test result, using scientifically appropriate test methodologies, of the lot(s) of octocrylene used in the finished product which is the subject of the Notice of Breach, showing levels of benzophenone meeting the Octocrylene

Reformulation Standard. If Settling Defendant cannot demonstrate compliance, it must pay a stipulated civil penalty of \$25,000 to be allocated according to Section 3.1.

In the event that, thereafter, Plaintiff provides a Notice of Breach pertaining to a second alleged violation for the same Covered Product, he must do so in accordance with this Section. For the second alleged violation noticed by Plaintiff of the same Covered Product, Settling Defendant may demonstrate compliance with the terms of the Consent Judgment by providing test results, using scientifically appropriate test methodologies, conducted on five (5) units of the Covered Product or on the first three (3) lots of octocrylene received more than 30 days after receipt of the written response showing compliance with the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard received from the supplier of the octocrylene used to make the finished product which was the subject of the first Notice of Breach, and used to manufacture that finished product. If fewer than three (3) lots are received during the relevant time period, testing is required only for such lots as were received. Such a showing shall constitute compliance.

In the event that Settling Defendant cannot demonstrate compliance in the manner set forth above after receipt of a second Notice of Breach for the same Covered Product, and Plaintiff thereafter provides notice in accordance with the provisions in this Section of a third alleged violation for the same Covered Product, Settling Defendant shall pay a stipulated penalty of \$50,000 for each such second or subsequent violation.

### 15.2 Retailer Defendant

If Plaintiff sends a Notice of Breach to a Retailer Defendant, that Retailer Defendant shall be allowed to tender such notice to the manufacturer, distributor or seller of the subject Covered Product who is Settling Defendant. Thereafter, Plaintiff shall proceed with such Settling Defendant in accordance with Section 15.1 in lieu of the Retailer Defendant.

### 16. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:
8	Date: 05/23/2016 Date: 5-22-16
9	By: Short INVILLE By: Quinte Capacity
10	Shefa LMV, LLC GALDERMA LABORATORIES LP
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Page 17 [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO GALDERMA LABORATORIES LP

1	ORDER AND JUDGMENT		
2	Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Galderma		
3	Laboratories LP, the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in		
4	accordance with the terms herein.		
5			
6	Dated:		
7			
8			
9	Judge of the Superior Court		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17	*		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	Page 18		
- 1	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO GALDERMA LABORATORIES LP		

### **60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION**

### SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)

**DATE:** April 15, 2015

**To:** Amazon.com, Inc.; Drugstore.com; Pro Concepts; Sun Bum LLC; Galderma Laboratories, LP; Australian Gold, Inc.; Panama Jack, Inc.; S&G Hampton Sun, LLC; Child & Associates; Walgreens Co.

California Attorney General's Office;

District Attorney's Office for 58 Counties; and City Attorney's Office for Los Angeles, San Diego, San Jose and San Francisco.

From: Shefa LMV, LLC

### I. INTRODUCTION

Our name is Shefa LMV, LLC. We are citizens and a Limited Liability Company of the State of California acting in the interest of the general public. We seek to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals in products sold in California and, if possible, to improve public health and safety by reducing the hazardous substances contained in such items. This Notice is provided to the parties listed above pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.6, et seq. ("Proposition 65"). The violations alleged by this Notice consist of product exposures, routes of exposures, and types of harm that may potentially result from exposures to the toxic chemical ("listed chemical") identified below:

Product Exposure: See Section VII, Exhibit A

Listed Chemical: Benzophenone; Routes of Exposure: Dermal absorption;

Ingestion; and Inhalation

Types of Harm: Carcinogen

### II. NATURE OF ALLEGED VIOLATION (PRODUCT EXPOSURE)

The specific type of product that is causing consumer and occupational exposures in violation of Proposition 65, and which is the subject of this Notice, is listed under "Product Category/Type" in Exhibit A in Section VII below. All products within the type covered by this Notice shall be referred to hereinafter as the "products." The sales of these products in California dating at least as far back as **June 23, 2013** are subject to this Notice. As a result of the sales of these products, exposures to the listed chemical have been occurring without clear and responsible warnings as required by Proposition 65. Without proper warnings regarding the toxic effects of exposures to the listed chemical, resulting from contact with the products from dermal and ingestion exposure as well as inhalation, California citizenry lack the information necessary to make informed decisions on whether and how to eliminate (or reduce) the risk of exposure to the toxic chemical from the reasonably foreseeable use of the products.

Through the act of buying, acquiring and using the products, citizens of California are exposed to the listed chemical in homes, workplaces and elsewhere throughout California where these products are

their children. Exposure to consumers includes, but is not limited to, when handling the product Benzophenone is absorbed through dermal, and other migration pathways, including but not limited to incidental ingestion after one touch's the chemical and then touch's food or otherwise places his hands on or near his lips where his tongue can or will continue this pathway to ingestion exposure. Exposure may continue to occur for a significant period after the initial contact. People likely to be exposed are women, men, infants and children. These violations and threatened violations pertain to Proposition 65 chemicals that are listed by the state as known to cause cancer.

### III. CONTACT INFORMATION

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to us through our counsel's offices at the following address:

Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406

Main: (818) 809-2199 Cell: (310) 200-2631 Fax: (424) 243-7689

Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com

### IV. PROPOSITION 65 INFORMATION

For general information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, please feel free to contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900. For the alleged Violator(s), I have attached a copy of "Proposition 65 in Plain Language" which has been prepared by OEHHA.

### V. RESOLUTION OF NOTICED CLAIMS

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, we intend to file a citizen enforcement lawsuit against the alleged Violator(s), unless such Violator enters into a binding written agreement to: (1) recall products already sold or undertake best efforts to ensure that the requisite health hazard warnings are provided to those whom have received such products; (2) provide clear and reasonable warnings for products sold in the future, or reformulate such products to eliminate the Benzophenone exposures.

If the alleged Violator is interested in resolving this dispute without resorting to time-consuming and expensive litigation, please feel free to contact my counsel identified in Section III. It should be noted that neither my counsel nor I can: (1) finalize any settlement until after the 60-day Notice period elapses; nor (2) speak for the Attorney General or any District Attorney or City Attorney who received this Notice. Therefore, while reaching an agreement that will resolve my claims, such an agreement may not be approved by the Attorney General after referral to them, or by the court as the last voice on settlements.

### VI. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

**Product** 

Retailer(s)

Sunscreen; Face Soap

Amazon.com; Drugstore.com; Pro Concepts

Manufacturer(s)/Distributor(s)

Sun Bum LLC; Galderma Laboratories, LP; Australian Gold, Inc.; Panama Jack, Inc.; S&G Hampton Sun, LLC; Child & Associates; Walgreens Co.

### VII. EXHIBIT A

Identified below are named products which contain the alleged chemicals at issue, and this reference is supportive of the material facts that are a part of my counsels Certificate of Merit that is attached hereto as being available for purchase or use in California that is within the category or type of offending product covered by this Notice. Based on publicly available information, the retailers, distributors and/or manufacturers of the example within the category or type of product are also provided below. I believe and allege that the sale of the offending products also has occurred without the requisite Proposition 65 "clear and reasonable warnings" at one or more locations and/or via other means including, but not limited to, transactions made over-the-counter, business to business, through the internet, and/or via catalog by the alleged Violator(s) and other distributors and retailers of the product.

Product				
Category	Specific Product	UPC	Sold by	Manufacturer/Distributor
Sunscreen	Sun Bum SPF 70	871760002098	Pro Concepts/Amazon	Sun Bum, LLC
Face Soap	Cetaphil w/ SPF 15	302993928041	Drugstore.com	Galderma Laboratories, L.P.
	Australian Gold SPF 50+ Continuous			
Sunscreen	Spray Sport	054402260463	Amazon.com	Australian Gold, Inc.
Sunscreen	Panama Jack SPF 70 continuous spray	045336041709	Drugstore.com	Panama Jack, Inc.
Sunscreen	Hampton Sun Broad Spectrum SPF 35	184573000398	Drugstore.com	S & G Hampton Sun LLC.
Sunscreen	Maui Mike's Hawaiian Sunstick SPF 30	025301500189	Drugstore.com	Childs & Associates
Sunscreen	Walgreens Sunscreen Stick	049022764989	Drugstore.com	Walgreens Co.

### PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this case or action. My business address is: 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320, Van Nuys, CA 91406

A True and Correct copy of the documents entitled 60 DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (PROPOSITION 65): A SUMMARY will be served or was served in the manner stated below:

I. <u>Interested Parties (Served via Certified Mail)</u>: On April 15, 2015, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows:

Amazon.com, Inc.	ATTN: CEO or President	410 Terry Avenue N, Seattle, WA 98109
Corporation Service Company	c/o Amazon.com, Inc.	300 Deschutes Way SW, Suite 304, Seattle, WA 98501
Drugstore.com	ATTN: CEO or President	12835 Old Virginia Rd., Reno, NV 89521
Sun Bum, LLC	ATTN: CEO or President	5421 Avenida Encinas Ste J, Carlsbad, CA 92008-4410
Sun Bum	ATTN: CEO or President	P.O. Box 320598, Cocoa Beach FL 32932
Sun Bum LLC	ATTN: Dustin Smith	101 N. ORLANDO AVE., COCOA BEACH, FL 32931
Sun Bum LLC	ATTN: CEO or President	4940 Cascade Road, Suite # 210, Grand Rapids, MI 49546
CT Corporation System	Sun Bum Suncare LLC	967 Spaulding Ave., Suite B, Ada MI 49301
Galderma Laboratories, L.P.	ATTN: Quentin Cassady	14501 North Freeway, Fort Worth, TX 76177
Galderma Laboratories, L.P.	ATTN: CEO or President	14501 North Freeway, Fort Worth, TX 76177
Australian Gold	ATTN: CEO or President	6270 Corporate Dr., Indianapolis, IN 46278-2921
Corporation Service Company	c/o Australian Gold	251 E. Ohio Street, Suite 500, Indianapolis IN 46204
Panama Jack, Inc.	ATTN: CEO or President	230 Ernestine St., Orlando, FL 32801-3622
Panama Jack, Inc.	ATTN: Jack Katz	230 Ernestine St., Orlando, FL 32801-3622
S & G HamptonSun LLC.	ATTN: CEO or President	123 W 18th St Fl 8, New York, NY 10011-4127
National Registered Agents	c/o S&G HamptonSun	111 Eighth Ave., New York NY 11011
Childs & Associates	ATTN: CEO or President	Po Box 3595, San Rafael, CA 94902
Childs & Associates	ATTN: Barry H. Lawrence	C/O KAYE, SCHOLER ET AL, 1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS, #1600, LOS ANGELES, CA 90067
Walgreens Co.	ATTN: CEO or President	108 Wilmot Rd., Deerfield IL, 60015-4681
Illinois Corporation Service	c/o Walgreens	801 Adlai Stevenson Dr., Springfield IL 62703

- II. <u>California Attorney General (via website Portal):</u> On April 15, 2015, I uploaded a true and correct copy thereof as a PDF file via the California Attorney General's website.
- III. <u>District and City Attorneys (via U.S. Mail)</u>: On April 15, 2015, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States Mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows **See attached pages for full service list**

April 15, 2015	Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq.	Inillin
Date	Printed Name	Signature

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is

true and correct.

District Attorney ALAMEDA COUNTY 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney ALPINE COUNTY PO Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney AMADOR COUNTY 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney
BUTTE COUNTY
25 County Center Drive —
Administration Building
Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney CALAVERAS COUNTY 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney COLUSA COUNTY 346 5th Street, Suite. 101 Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney CONTRA COSTA COUNTY 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney DEL NORTE COUNTY 450 H Street, Room 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney
EL DORADO COUNTY
515 Main Street
Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney FRESNO COUNTY 2220 Tulare Street, Suite. 1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney GLENN COUNTY PO Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney HUMBOLDT COUNTY 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney
IMPERIAL COUNTY
940 West Main Street, Suite. 102
El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney INYO COUNTY 168 North Edwards Independence, CA 93526

District Attorney KERN COUNTY 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301

District Attorney KINGS COUNTY 1400 West Lacey Blvd. Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney LAKE COUNTY 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney LASSEN COUNTY 220 S. Lassen Street, Suite. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney LOS ANGELES COUNTY 210 W. Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney MADERA COUNTY 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney MARIN COUNTY 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney MARIPOSA COUNTY PO BOX 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney MENDOCINO COUNTY PO BOX 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney MERCED COUNTY 550 West Main Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney MODOC COUNTY 204 S. Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101

District Attorney MONO COUNTY PO BOX 2053 Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546 District Attorney MONTEREY COUNTY PO BOX 1131 Salinas, CA 93902

District Attorney NAPA COUNTY PO BOX 720 Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney NEVADA COUNTY 201 Commercial Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney ORANGE COUNTY 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

District Attorney PLACER COUNTY 10810 Justice Center Drive Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney PLUMAS COUNTY 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney RIVERSIDE COUNTY 3960 Orange Street Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney SACRAMENTO COUNTY 901 G Street Sacramento, CA 95812

District Attorney SAN BENITO COUNTY 419 4th Street Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY 303 W. Third Street San Bernardino, CA 92415

District Attorney SAN DIEGO COUNTY 330 W. Broadway, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY 880 Bryant Street, Third Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

District Attorney SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY PO BOX 990 Stockton, CA 95202 District Attorney SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY Courthouse Annex, 4th Floor San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney SAN MATEO COUNTY 400 County Center, Third Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney SANTA BARBARA COUNTY 1112 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney SANTA CLARA COUNTY 70 West Hedding Street, West Wing San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney SANTA CRUZ COUNTY 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney SHASTA COUNTY 1355 West Street Redding, CA 96001

District Attorney SIERRA COUNTY 100 Courthouse Square Downieville, CA 95936

District Attorney SISKIYOU COUNTY PO BOX 986 Yreka, CA 96097 District Attorney SOLANO COUNTY 675 Texas Street, Suite 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney SONOMA COUNTY 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney STANISLAUS COUNTY 832 12th Street, Suite 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney SUTTER COUNTY 446 Second Street, Suite 102 Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney TEHAMA COUNTY PO BOX 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney TRINITY COUNTY PO BOX 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney TULARE COUNTY 221 South Mooney Blvd., Suite 224

Visalia CA 02201

Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney TUOLUMNE COUNTY 423 No. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370 District Attorney VENTURA COUNTY 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney YOLO COUNTY 301 Second Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney YUBA COUNTY 215 Fifth Street, Suite. 152 Marysville, CA 95901

Mike Feuer City Attorney CITY OF LOS ANGELES 200 N. Main Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

Jan Goldsmith City Attorney CITY OF SAN DIEGO 1200 Third Avenue, 3rd Floor San Diego, CA 92101

Richard Doyle City Attorney CITY OF SAN JOSE 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113

Dennis J. Herrera
City Attorney
CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO
City Hall, Room 234
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

# **CERTIFICATE OF MERIT**

- I, Daniel N. Greenbaum, hereby declare:
- (1) This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice(s) in which it is alleged the parties identified in the notices have violated Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
- (2) I am the attorney for the noticing party.
- (3) I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the alleged exposure to the listed chemical that is the subject of the action.
- (4) Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiffs' case can be established and the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- (5) The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

April 15, 2015 Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq.		Ini las	
Date	Printed Name	Signature	