# State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1501 (03-01) Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

## REPORT OF SETTLEMENT

Please	print or type required information	Original Filing Supple	mental Filing	Corrected Filing	ng	
	PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV LLC					
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN SETTLEMEN Australian Gold, I:	T nc.				
N E	COURT DOCKET NUMBER CIV 1503341		COURTNAME Marin (	County Sup	erior Court	
5≥	SHORT CASE NAME Shefa Concept II Cosmetics					
0	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF Reformulation	I	I=	T. OTHER		
REPORT INFO	\$2,000	\$17,900	0.00	T: OTHER	e Only	
	SUBMITTED TO COURT? COURT, R  Yes No MUST BE	FTER ENTRY OF JUDGMENT BY REPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT SUBMITTED TO ATTORNEY GENER	RAL 3	TETA CLUED	For Internal Use Only	
	NAME OF CONTACT					
FILER	Daniel N. Greenbaur ORGANIZATION				TELEPHONE NUMBE	 R
	Law Office of Daniel Greenbaum				FAX NUMBER	( 818 809-2199 FAX NUMBER
" -	7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320 CITY STATE ZIP			( 424 243-7698 E-MAIL ADDRESS		
	Van Nuys	CA 91406	dgr	eenbaum@gr	eenbaumlawfi	m.com

**FILING INSTRUCTIONS:** This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the settlement to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) The Hathaway Building 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406 Telephone: (818) 809-2199 Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: dgreenbaum@greenbaumlawfirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff SHEFA LMV, LLC Frederick J. Ufkes, Esq. Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP 11601 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 800 Los Angeles, California, 90025	
10	Telephone: 310-909-8058 Facsimile: 310-909-8001	
11	Email: FUfkes@hinshawlaw.com	
12	Attorneys for Defendant AUSTRALIAN GOLD	
13		
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	
16	COUNTY OF	•
17	UNLIMITED CIVIL .	JURISDICTION
18		
19	SHEFA LMV, LLC.,	Case No. CIV 1503341
20	Plaintiff, )  vs. )	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO AUSTRALIAN GOLD, INC.
21	CONCEPT II COSMETICS, LLC; and DOES 1)	Action Eilad, Cont. 10, 2015
22	through 50, inclusive,	Action Filed: Sept. 10, 2015
23	Defendants.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Page 1  [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT A	
	Trotogon Longin Londing M	io 10 110011a1biiii 00bb, iiio.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Parties

This consent judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between plaintiff Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa" or "Plaintiff") and Australian Gold, Inc. ("AGI" or "Settling Defendant"), with Shefa and AGI individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

#### 1.2 Plaintiff

Shefa is a limited liability company in California that seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

## 1.3 Settling Defendant

AGI employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

## 1.4 Products Covered

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are sunscreen products alleged to contain benzophenone that are manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California by AGI including, but not limited to, Australian Gold SPF 50+ Continuous Spray Sport (UPC: 054402260463) (collectively, "Covered Products").

#### 1.5 General Allegations

Shefa alleges that AGI manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes, for sale in the state of California, sunscreen products that contain benzophenone without first providing a clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65. Benzophenone (CAS # 119-61-9) is a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a chemical "known to the state to cause cancer" as Proposition 65 defines that term. 27 CCR 25000. AGI denies these allegations.

#### 1.6 Notice of Violation

On April 15, 2015, Shefa served AGI, others, and the requisite public enforcement

agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice") alleging that AGI violated Proposition 65 when it failed to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Covered Products expose users to benzophenone. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

# 1.7 Complaint

On September 10, 2015, Shefa filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Marin against Concept II Cosmetics, LLC and DOES 1-150, alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on exposures to benzophenone contained in certain products containing sunscreen sold in the State of California (the "Complaint"). On April 26, 2016, Shefa filed a DOE Amendment under Code Civ. Proc. § 474, listing AGI as DOE 25.

#### 1.8 No Admission

AGI denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all of the products it has manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California, including the Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by AGI of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by AGI of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, the same being specifically denied by AGI. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect AGI's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, the Settling Defendant agrees that it employs or has employed ten or more persons during time periods relevant to the Complaint and that this Court has jurisdiction over the Parties to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to

Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

2

# 1.10 Effective Date

3

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date the Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

"Reformulated Products" are defined as those Covered Products containing

benzophenone in concentrations less than or equal to 12.5 parts per million ("ppm") when

analyzed pursuant to a scientifically reliable application of U.S. Environmental Protection

methodology for determining the benzophenone content in a substance of the form of the

that it has actively engaged its suppliers of raw materials to comply with its reformulation

Covered Products herein. As of the date of execution of this Consent Judgment, AGI represents

efforts. Commencing on May 1, 2017, and continuing thereafter, AGI shall not order, or cause

to be ordered, the raw ingredient octocrylene, used to manufacture the Covered Products to be

distributed, sold and/or offered for sale in California, unless the octocrylene contains levels of

benzophenone that will result in benzophenone concentrations in the Covered Products that are

octocrylene suppliers meet AGI's standards, AGI shall obtain an initial Certificate of Analysis

("COA") from each supplier of octocrylene, and thereafter obtain a COA from each octocrylene

receipt. Copies of these COAs shall be provided to Shefa upon request. Unless AGI chooses to

eliminate the use of octocrylene in the Covered Products, then AGI shall conduct at least one test

per year for benzophenone in the Covered Products for a period of two (2) years, and test results

supplier for each subsequent shipment, and keep the COAs for a period of two years from

below the level stated above. In order to ensure that the process for verifying that the

Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or any other scientifically reliable

4

# 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: COMPLIANT PRODUCTS AND WARNINGS

5 6

## 2.1 Reformulation Standards

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# shall be provided to Shefa upon request. 2.2 Reformulation

Commencing on May 1, 2017, and continuing thereafter, AGI shall not manufacture, or

cause to be manufactured, for sale in California, or order for distribution or sale in California, Covered Products unless they are Reformulated Products pursuant to Section 2.1 above.

#### 3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

## 3.1 Civil Penalty Payments

AGI agrees to an assessment of \$2,000 as a civil penalty. Such penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% of the penalty amount paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty paid to Shefa. The civil payment is allocated as follows: (a) \$1,500 payable to OEHHA; and (b) \$500 payable to Shefa LMV LLC.

# 3.2 Reimbursement of Attorney Fees and Costs

The Parties reached an accord on the compensation due to Shefa and its counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed in this matter. Under these legal principles, \$17,900 shall be payable by AGI to the Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum for all fees and costs through execution of this Consent Judgment, including fees and costs incurred investigating, bringing this matter to the attention of AGI's management, and negotiation of this settlement.

#### 4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

## 4.1 Shefa's Public Release of AGI

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Shefa and AGI of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by Shefa, acting on behalf of itself and in a representative capacity in the public interest under Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, against AGI, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, manufacturers, suppliers, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and the predecessors, successors, or assigns of each of them, and each entity to whom AGI directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Covered Products, including, without limitation, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees

("Releasees"), based on failure to warn of alleged exposures to benzophenone from Covered Products manufactured, sold or distributed for sale in California by AGI prior to the Effective Date. The release in this Section 4.1 applies to all Covered Products that AGI manufactured, distributed, or sold prior to the Effective Date, regardless of the date any other Releasee distributes or sells the Covered Products.

Upon entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, going forward, AGI's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by AGI or any other Releasee with respect to benzophenone in Covered Products manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California by AGI on and after March 24, 2016.

## 4,2 Shefa's Individual Release of Claims

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, Shefa, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignces, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims that it may have against AGI and Releasees, including, without limitation, all actions and causes of action, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses, including, without limitation, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to benzophenone from Covered Products manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California by AGI prior to the Effective Date. The releases in Section 4.2 are provided in Shefa's individual capacity and are not releases on behalf of the public.

#### 4.3 AGI's Release of Shefa

AGI, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims that it may have against Shefa and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Shefa and its attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Covered Products.

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within 90 days after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree in writing.

#### 6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be void or unenforceable, or the Parties agree to modify any terms due to comments from the Office of the Attorney General or after a hearing before the Court in connection with Shefa's Motion to Approve, or for other good cause, each Party to be bound by any such modified terms must re-execute the modified Consent Judgment and such modified Consent Judgment then shall be presented by Shefa to the Court for approval; provided, however, that if a provision of this Consent Judgment declared void or unenforceable is material to the Party for whom such term provided a benefit or protection, that Party can seek other remedies, including, without limitation, rescission or reformation, based on the provision being declared void or unenforceable.

#### 7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. Benzophenone is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to the State of California to cause cancer. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, including without limitation the delisting of benzophenone, then AGI may provide written notice to Shefa of any asserted change in the law, and with the exception of Sections 3.1 and 3.2 above, have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. None of the terms of this Consent Judgment shall have any application to Covered Products sold outside of the State of California.

#### 8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier on any Party by the other at the following addresses:

To AGI:

To Shefa:

Frederick J. Ufkes, Esq. Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP 11601 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 800 Los Angeles, California, 90025 Daniel N. Greenbaum Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum 7120 Hayvenhurst Ave., Suite 320 Van Nuys, CA 91406

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

## 9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

## 10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

## 11. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

11.1 The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f), Shefa is obligated to file a noticed motion to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Upon the Parties' execution of this Consent Judgment, Shefa promptly shall name Defendant AGI as a "Doe" Defendant in the above-captioned *Shefa LMV*, *LLC*. v. Concept II Cosmetics, *LLC*, et al., and shall proceed to submit this Consent Judgment to the Court with a motion seeking Court approval.

27

28

#### 12. MODIFICATION

12.1 In the event that any future settlement agreement or court approved consent judgment entered into by Shefa involving another party, or any future court-approved consent judgment entered into by any enforcer of Proposition 65 involving another party, sets out a reformulation or compliance standard that is less stringent than that in Section 2.1 above for benzophenone in substantially similar sunscreen products, then upon written notice to Shefa, AGI is entitled to a corresponding modification to the corresponding standard set forth in section 2.1 of this Consent Judgment, with regard to the Covered Products.

12.2 In the event OEHHA establishes a safe harbor No-Significant Risk Level ("NSRL") for benzophenone, which AGI asserts would allow for the Covered Products to contain levels of benzophenone in amounts greater than those set forth above in Section 2.1, then AGI may provide written notice to Shefa of any such assertion and the Parties shall confer within 30 days to attempt to agree upon modification of this Consent Judgment. Should such attempts at informal resolution of a modification fail, and in the event AGI still intends to change its reformulation obligations, AGI will provide written notice to Shefa of its intent to adopt a modified compliance standard. Upon receipt of AGI's notice, Shefa shall have the right to enforce the terms and conditions contained in the Consent Judgment by motion or any other available remedy at law, with the sole issue to be adjudicated being the technical question of whether the NSRL would allow for a higher benzophenone content in the Covered Products than that set forth in Section 2.1.

12.3 This Consent Judgment may only be modified by a written instrument executed by the Party or Parties to be bound thereby, and after approval by the Court upon a noticed motion. Any motion to modify shall be served on all Parties and the Office of the Attorney General.

# 13. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

If Shefa determines at a future date that a violation of this Consent Judgment has occurred, Shefa shall provide notice to AGI. Prior to bringing any action to enforce any

- 1	
1	requirement of this Consent Judgment, the party alleging a violation of this Consent Judgment
2	shall provide the other party with written notice of the grounds for such allegation together with
3	all supporting information as well as a complete demand for the relief sought. The Parties shall
4	then meet and confer regarding the basis for the allegation in an attempt to resolve the matter
5	informally, including providing the party alleged to be in violation with a reasonable opportunity
6	of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal
7	resolution fail, the party alleging a violation may file its lawsuit seeking the proposed relief.
8	14. AUTHORIZATION
9	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their
10	respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this
11	Consent Judgment.
12	
13	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:
14	Date: 3/1/2017 Date: Masch 1, 2017
15	By: Whole By: Lefel Jaffer Jos
16	Shefa LMV, LLC Settling Defendant. Australian Gold Inc.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Page 10  [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO AUSTRALIAN GOLD, INC.
	·

ORDER AND JUDGMENT					
Based upon the stipulated Consent Judgment between Shefa LMV, LLC and Austra					
Gold, Inc., the settlement is approved and the clerk is directed to enter judgment in accorda					
	with the terms herein.				
	Dated:				
		Judge of the Superior Court			
		·			
		nge 11 NT AS TO AUSTRALIAN GOLD, INC.			