State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

FORM JUS 1501 (03-01)

Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

REPORT OF SETTLEMENT

Please	print or type required information	Original Filing D Supplem	nental Filing Corrected Filing			
	PLAINTIFF(S) Shefa LMV LLC					
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	Taylor James LLC dba Su	pergoop				
S C	COURT DOCKET NUMBER CIV 1503341	1	COURTNAME Marin County Super i	ior Court		
Z Z	SHORT CASE NAME Shefa LMV LLC v. Concept II Cosmetics, et al.					
FO	SUBMITTED TO COURT? COURT, RE Yes No MUST BE S	PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS FEES \$22,000 TER ENTRY OF JUDGMENT BY EPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT SUBMITTED TO ATTORNEY GENERA TTLEMENT MUS'	·	For Internal Use Only		
FILER INFO	NAMEOFCONTACT Daniel N. Greenbaum	ı				
	ORGANIZATION Law Office of Danie	1 Greenbaum		TELEPHONE NUMBER (818) 809-2199		
	ADDRESS 7120 Hayvenhurst Av	e., Suite 320	FAX NUMBER (424) 243-7698			
	Van Nuys	STATE ZIP CA 91406	E-MAIL ADDRESS dgreenbaum@gree	nbaumlawfirm.com		

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the settlement to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Daniel N. Greenbaum, Esq. (SBN 268104) LAW OFFICE OF DANIEL N. GREENBAUM The Hathaway Building 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320 Van Nuys CA 91406 Telephone: (818) 809-2199 Facsimile: (424) 243-7689 Email: DGreenbaum@GreenbaumLawFirm.com Attorney for Plaintiff Shefa LMV LLC								
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA								
10	COUNTY OF MARIN								
11	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION								
12	SHEFA LMV, LLC.,) Case No. CIV 1503341							
13	Plaintiff,)) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS)TO DEFENDANT TAYLOR JAMES, LLC							
14	VS.)TO DEFENDANT TAYLOR JAMES, LLC							
15	CONCEPT II COSMETICS, LLC, et al.,	Action Filed: Sept. 10, 2015							
16	Defendants.								
17)							
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT								

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Parties

This consent judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between plaintiff Shefa LMV, LLC ("Shefa" or "Plaintiff") and Taylor James LLC D.B.A. Supergoop, with Shefa and Taylor James collectively referred to as the "Parties" and individually as a "Party."

1.2 Plaintiff

Shefa is a California Limited Liability Company that seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products. Shefa alleges Taylor James individually employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.3 Defendant and Listed Chemical

Taylor James manufactures, and/or distributes, and/or sells sunscreens, lip balms, face lotions, lip tints, and skin creams that contain benzophenone. One ingredient used in such products to enhance their ability to provide protection from the sun is octocrylene, an active ingredient approved for use in sunscreens by the Federal Food & Drug Administration ("FDA") (*See* 76 Fed. Reg. 35620; 21 C.F.R. §§ 352.10, 352.20 (stayed)). Octocrylene can at times contain benzophenone. Benzophenone (CAS # 119-61-9) is a chemical listed under The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 *et seq.* (commonly known as "**Proposition 65**") as a chemical "known to the state to cause cancer" as Proposition 65 defines that phrase. 27 CCR 25000.

1.4 Products Covered

This Consent Judgment covers and applies to sunscreens, lip balms, face lotions, lip tints, and skin creams that contain benzophenone, that are manufactured and/or distributed for sale in California and/or sold in California and that contain benzophenone. All sizes, types, brands, packaging, formulations, delivery forms (e.g., sprays or lotions applied by hand), intended uses (e.g., "faces," children's products, "sport," "moisturizing," cosmetic purposes) are included. This

1.5 **General Allegations**

distributed for sale, or being sold, in California.

Plaintiff alleges in the Complaints that Taylor James manufactured, and/or distributed for

clear and reasonable warning" as Proposition 65 defines that phrase, and continues to do so.

Plaintiff asserts this settlement is necessary to assure compliance with Proposition 65 now and in the future and to settle Plaintiff's alleged claims.

sale in California, and/or sold in California, Covered Products containing benzophenone without "a

Consent Judgment, and all of its terms, applies to all Covered Products, including without limitation

new products and brands introduced, developed, or acquired in the future by Taylor James which

would today meet the definition of Covered Products if they currently were being manufactured or

1.6 Notices of Violation

On May 8, 2015, Plaintiff served Taylor James and the requisite public enforcement agencies with 60-Day Notices of Violation ("Notices"), alleging that Taylor James was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers in California that their personal care products exposed users to benzophenone. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in any of the Notices.

1.7 Complaint

On September 10, 2015 Plaintiff filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Marin against Concept II Cosmetics, LLC and DOES 1-150, alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on exposures to benzophenone contained in Covered Products sold by Defendants in the State of California. On September 21, 2015, Shefa filed a DOE amendment naming Taylor James as a defendant in this action.

1.8 No Admission

Taylor James denies all the respective material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notices and Complaints. Taylor James maintains that all of its Covered Products have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission against interest by Taylor James of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an

admission against interest by Taylor James of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Taylor James's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Taylor James as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, Taylor James agrees that it employs or has employed ten or more persons during time periods relevant to the Complaint and that this Court has jurisdiction over the Parties to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date that Plaintiff serves notice on Taylor James that this Consent Judgment is approved and entered by the Court.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION STANDARD; NOTIFICATION

2.1 Reformulation Standard

- (a) Whereas, Taylor James, based on inquiry for purposes of this Consent Judgment, has not identified any ingredient in its respective Covered Products other than octocrylene that is a source of detectable benzophenone in such Covered Products. Further, based upon inquiry for purposes of this Consent Judgment, Taylor James represents that it has investigated and concluded that there are only a few major suppliers of octocrylene for the domestic market and that time and phasing is needed for the marketplace of octocrylene suppliers to make the adjustments necessary to deliver octocrylene with benzophenone meeting the Octocrylene Reformulation Standards.
- (b) As of June 1, 2018, Taylor James shall only manufacture, or cause to be manufactured, either Covered Products containing no more than (i) 50 parts per million ("ppm") benzophenone in the finished Covered Products; or (ii) 500 ppm of benzophenone in the ingredient octocrylene used in the finished Covered Products. These first standards are interim standards.

- (c) As of June 1, 2020, Taylor James shall only manufacture or cause to be manufactured, either Covered Products containing no more than (i) 35 ppm benzophenone in the finished Covered Product; or (ii) 350 ppm of benzophenone in the ingredient octocrylene used in the finished Covered Products. These second standards are the "Final Reformulation Standards."
- (d) The dates and reformulations of the Covered Products as listed in Section 2.1 (b) and (c) shall be referred to collectively as the "Reformulation Standards," consisting of either the Sections 2.1 (b)(i) and (c)(i) (the "Finished Product Reformulation Standards") or Sections 2.1 (b)(ii) and (c)(ii) (the "Octocrylene Reformulation Standards"). Taylor James may at any time, at its own election, comply with either, both, or any combination of the applicable Finished Product Reformulation Standard or the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard with respect to any Covered Product.
- (e) The Reformulation Standards shall apply to Covered Products which are manufactured by or on behalf of Taylor James on or after the applicable Reformulation Standard dates.

2.2. Notifications

Taylor James shall provide, no later than fourteen (14) days after the Effective Date, written notice (the "Octocrylene Supplier Letter") to its current respective octocrylene supplier or suppliers, informing said supplier or suppliers of the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard and urging each supplier to use reasonable efforts to provide expeditiously only octocrylene which complies with the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard. Taylor James shall not include statements in the Octocrylene Supplier Letter that will encourage a supplier to delay compliance with the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard. Taylor James shall include a statement in its Octocrylene Supplier Letter requesting that its supplier use any and all commercially reasonable efforts to achieve an Octocrylene Reformulation Standard of 200 ppm by June 1, 2020.

2.3 Compliance with Reformulation Standard

(a) If Taylor James elects to meet the Finished Product Reformulation Standard may, at its option, either (i) test the Covered Product pursuant to a scientifically appropriate application of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A, 8270C, or any other scientifically appropriate methodology for determining the benzophenone content in a substance of

the form of the specific Covered Product being tested, or (ii) may use the appropriate mathematical calculation based on octocrylene percentage in the Covered Product and the benzophenone concentration in the lot of octocrylene used in the finished Covered Product, based either on testing of the octocrylene lot or on a certificate of analysis documenting benzophenone content from the octocrylene supplier (the "Certificate of Analysis").

- (b) If Taylor James elects to meet the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard, it shall obtain a Certificate of Analysis or analytical testing report for each lot of octocrylene used in the manufacture of Covered Products. If, after Taylor James has advised its octocrylene suppliers to include a Certificate of Analysis with each lot of delivered octocrylene, an octocrylene supplier fails to include a Certificate of Analysis, a Taylor James may correct the lapse upon discovery.
- (c) Taylor James may, absent grounds to question the accuracy, demonstrate compliance with either Reformulation Standard by relying in good faith on an octocrylene supplier's Certificate of Analysis or comparable verified quantitative benzophenone content information. Such good faith reliance establishes compliance with the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard. Octocrylene suppliers shall rely on any scientifically appropriate testing methodology for determining the benzophenone content of octocrylene.
- (d) Taylor James shall retain compliance documentation for three years after delivery of a lot of octorylene and compliance documentation shall be made available within 30 days of a written request by Plaintiff, who may make no more than two such requests annually.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1 Civil Penalty

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), Taylor James shall pay initial civil penalties and, if applicable, final civil penalties, a specified in this Section 3.1. Taylor James shall issue two (2) separate checks for the total amounts identified in Sections 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12: (a) one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Hazard Assessment ("**OEHHA**") representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to Shefa LMV, LLC, representing 25% of the total penalty. The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered to: Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Attn.: Mike

- **3.1.1 Initial Civil Penalty.** Within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date Taylor James shall pay an initial civil penalty of \$5,500. Taylor James shall issue (a) one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Hazard Assessment ("**OEHHA**") in the amount of \$4,125, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to Shefa LMV, LLC in the amount of \$1,375, representing 25% of the total penalty.
- 3.1.2 Final Civil Penalty. On or before June 30, 2018 Taylor James shall pay a final civil penalty (the "Final Civil Penalty") of \$5,500. Taylor James shall issue (a) one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of \$4,125, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to Shefa LMV, LLC in the amount of \$1,375, representing 25% of the total penalty. However, the Final Civil Penalty shall be waived in its entirety if Taylor James certifies that all Covered Products subject to this Consent Judgment manufactured by or on behalf of that Taylor James on or after June 1, 2018 meets the Final Reformulation Standard. A responsible official with personal knowledge, after due inquiry, shall provide Plaintiff with a written certification confirming compliance with the above conditions on or before June 15, 2018.

Reimbursement of Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Shefa and its counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, Taylor James expressed a desire to resolve Shefa's fees and costs. Taylor James agrees to pay Shefa and its counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement, including without limitation the fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to the Taylor James's attention, negotiating a settlement,

23

24

25

26

27

28

and seeking court approval of the same. Taylor James agrees to pay the amount of \$22,000 within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date. Payment shall be delivered to Daniel N. Greenbaum, Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum, 7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320, Van Nuys, CA 91406.

4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

4.1 Plaintiff's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution of all claims that were or could have been asserted in the Complaints arising out of Taylor James's alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings for exposures to benzophenone in their respective Covered Products. Plaintiff, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases Taylor James and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under (full or partial) common ownership, manufacturers, suppliers and the directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and predecessors, successors or assigns of each of them ("Releasees") and each entity to whom Taylor James directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Covered Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees, and including, without limitation, and including any and all subsidiaries, parents, marketplace retailers and/or affiliates of the foregoing retailers (collectively, the "Distribution Chain Releasees") for violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to benzophenone from the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Taylor James prior to the Effective Date. Plaintiff's release of claims applies to all Covered Products which Taylor James either manufactured, and/or distributed and/or sold prior to the Effective Date, regardless of the date any person distributes or sells the subject Covered Products.

Upon entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, going forward, Taylor James's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to benzophenone in Taylor James's prior, current and future Covered Products.

4.2 Plaintiff's Individual Release of Claims

Plaintiff, in its individual capacity only and *not* in its representative capacity, also provides a release to Taylor James, Releasees, and Distribution Chain Releasees, which release shall be

effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Plaintiff of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to benzophenone in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Taylor James prior to the Effective Date. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Shefa hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

4.3 Taylor James's Release of Shefa

successors and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Shefa and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Shefa and its attorneys and

Taylor James, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys,

other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce

Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Covered Products up through the

Effective Date.

4.4 Release and Dismissal of Retailer Defendant

This Consent Judgment provides a "downstream" release which resolves all claims in the

Complaints for all Covered Products manufactured by, or on behalf of, distributed, or sold by

Taylor James. Any retailer who has been named in one or more Complaints (a "Retailer

prejudice unless, prior to the date this Consent Judgment was lodged, that Retailer Defendant had

Defendant") due to its sale of one or more such Covered Products shall be dismissed without

also received a Notice that identified an exemplar product not manufactured or supplied by either

Taylor James or an entity that has previously resolved Plaintiff's claims with a downstream release.

5. FORCE MAJEURE

In the event that it is not feasible for Taylor James to obtain conforming octocrylene necessary so as to comply with any Reformulation Standard due to an Act of God (including fire, flood, earthquake, storm, hurricane or other natural disaster) or loss of adequate supplier ability to supply octocrylene on an uninterrupted basis compliant with the applicable Octocrylene Reformulation Standard, the provisions of this paragraph will dictate whether the applicable dates for meeting the Reformulation Standards for that Taylor James shall be extended. The criteria for determining whether it is feasible to obtain conforming octocrylene shall include the following factors: availability and reliability of supply that meets the applicable Octocrylene Reformulation Standard, cost of such conforming octocrylene and resulting increase in manufacturers' prices resulting from the use of conforming octocrylene, performance characteristics of conforming octocrylene and of the resulting Covered Products, including but not limited to formulation, performance, safety, efficacy, consumer acceptance, and stability.

Taylor James(s) shall provide notice to Plaintiff and to JAMS mediator Judge James Warren, or if he is not available, another mediator from JAMS mutually agreed to by the Parties or, if necessary, as referred by the Court. Included in the notice shall be the specific reason or reasons for invoking the Force Majeure clause, along with a reasonable estimate of the time period during which the Taylor James will be unable to comply with the applicable Reformulation Standard. During the time invoked by the Taylor James, the Reformulation Standard shall be revised to 100 ppm for the Finished Product Reformulation Standard and 1,000 ppm for the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard.

If the Parties disagree as to whether Taylor James has a valid reason to invoke the Force Majeure clause or disagree as to the length of time necessary for such Taylor James to comply with the Reformulation Standard, they shall attempt to resolve their differences through one or more sessions with Judge Warren, or if he is not available, another mediator from JAMS mutually agreed to by the Parties or, if necessary, as referred by the Court. Shefa's reasonable fees and costs of the mediation sessions under this Section shall be borne solely by Taylor James unless otherwise allocated by Judge Warren or other mediator from JAMS, who shall consider whether mediation

was necessary and/or whether a Party asserted unreasonable or extreme positions. If the Parties cannot reach resolution via a meet and confer or the JAMS process, an aggrieved Party may move the Court via a noticed motion on all Parties, with a copy to the Office of the Attorney General, for such additional relief as that Party deems necessary.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree in writing.

7. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, including without limitation the delisting of benzophenone, then Taylor James may provide written notice to Plaintiff of any asserted change in the law, and with the exception of Sections 3.1 and 3.2 above, have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. None of the terms of this Consent Judgment shall have any application to Covered Products sold outside of the State of California.

8. FUTURE FEDERAL REGULATION OF OCTOCRYLENE OR BENZOPHENONE

If FDA adopts new regulations or Congress enacts new laws governing octocrylene and/or benzophenone content in any Covered Products, then the Parties shall meet and confer regarding the effect of such changes in the law on the obligations of this Consent Judgment. If necessary to reach agreement, the Parties may refer any specific issue for consideration by Judge Warren or other JAMS mediator agreed to by the Parties or, if necessary, as appointed by the Court.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, if FDA authorizes the percentage of octocrylene to increase above the current limit of 10% in Covered Products, then this Consent Judgment shall by operation of law be amended to allow benzophenone in finished Covered Products to rise in proportion to the percentage increase. Taylor James shall notify Plaintiff of the date this Section operates to change

any Finished Product Reformulation Standard. This notice obligation shall sunset on June 1, 2023. Even if FDA changes the level of permissible octocrylene prior to June 1, 2018, the civil penalty provisions of Section 3.1.2 shall apply as written, not to any standards as modified by this Section 9. Taylor James represents that other than as described in the proceedings and papers referenced in their briefs in these consolidated cases, they are not aware that the FDA currently has published or made public plans to raise the allowable levels of octocrylene in the Covered Products.

10. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) overnight courier on any party by the other at the following addresses:

To Taylor James: To Shefa:

Holly Thaggard
Supergoop
Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum
Law Office of Daniel N. Greenbaum
7120 Hayvenhurst Avenue, Suite 320
Van Nuys, CA 91406

Jeffrey Margulies, Esq. Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP 555 South Flower Street Forty First Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071

Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

11. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or pdf signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.

12. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

13. <u>COURT APPROVAL.</u>

- 13.1 The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f), Shefa is obligated to file a noticed motion to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Taylor James will support approval this Consent Judgment.
- 13.2 This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all parties. In such case, the Parties agree to meet and confer on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within 30-days, the case shall proceed on its normal course.
- 13.3 If the Court approves this Consent Judgment and is reversed or vacated by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, any monies that have been paid to Plaintiff pursuant to Section 3 shall be refunded within 15 days after remittitur to the trial court, and the case shall proceed on its normal course on the trial court's calendar.

14. <u>MODIFICATION</u>

This Consent Judgment may only be modified by a written instrument executed by the Party or Parties to be bound thereby, and after approval by the Court upon a noticed motion. Any motion to modify shall be served on all Parties and the Office of the Attorney General.

15. <u>ENFORCEMENT</u>

15.1 Taylor James

In order to assert a potential violation of the Consent Judgment, Plaintiff shall provide notice to Taylor James as set forth in this paragraph ("Notice of Breach"): (a) Plaintiff shall provide all results of testing conducted on a specific Covered Product during the three month period for which the violation is alleged; (b) such testing must be of no less than five (5) of the same Covered Product (irrespective of the volume size of the container) collected within the three (3) month period, from five different retail vendors; (c) the average of all test results for that period exceed the finished Product Reformulation Standard; and (d) Plaintiff shall provide the alleged violator a copy of (i) the purchase information for the allegedly violating Covered Product and (ii) a digital image of the allegedly violating Covered Product showing the SKU/UPC and, if present on the container,

the Lot/Batch number(s).

Taylor James and Plaintiff shall, within thirty (30) days of receipt of the Notice of Breach, meet and confer regarding the alleged violation, during which time Plaintiff shall not file any motion, application, action, or pleading regarding the alleged violation.

For the first alleged violation as to any specific Covered Product for which Plaintiff provides Notice of Breach, Taylor James may demonstrate compliance by providing (1) a Certificate of Analysis or comparable verified quantitative benzophenone content information for five (5) units of the Covered Product or for the lot(s) of octocrylene from the supplier(s) of the octocrylene in the Covered Product at issue showing levels of benzophenone meeting the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard, or (2) a prior test result, using scientifically appropriate test methodologies, of the lot(s) of octocrylene used in the finished product which is the subject of the Notice of Breach, showing levels of benzophenone meeting the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard. If Taylor James cannot demonstrate compliance, it must pay a stipulated civil penalty of \$5,000 to be allocated according to Section 3.1.

In the event that, thereafter, Plaintiff provides a Notice of Breach pertaining to a second alleged violation for the same Covered Product, he must do so in accordance with this section. For the second alleged violation noticed by Plaintiff of the same Covered Product, Taylor James may demonstrate compliance with the terms of the Consent Judgment by providing test results, using scientifically appropriate test methodologies, conducted on five (5) units of the Covered Product or on the first three (3) lots of octocrylene received more than 30 days after receipt of the written response showing compliance with the Octocrylene Reformulation Standard received from the supplier of the octocrylene used to make the finished product which was the subject of the first Notice of Breach, and used to manufacture that finished product. If fewer than three (3) lots are received during the relevant time period, testing is required only for such lots as were received. Such a showing shall constitute compliance.

In the event that Taylor James cannot demonstrate compliance in the manner set forth above after receipt of a second Notice of Breach for the same Covered Product, and Plaintiff thereafter provides notice in accordance with the provisions in this Section of a third alleged violation for the

same Covered Product, Taylor James shall pay a stipulated penalty of \$10,000 for each such second or subsequent violation.

15.2 Retailer Defendant

If Plaintiff sends a Notice of Breach to a Retailer Defendant, that Retailer Defendant shall be allowed to tender such notice to the manufacturer, distributor or seller of the subject Covered Product who is a Taylor James. Thereafter, Plaintiff shall proceed with such Taylor James in accordance with Section 15.1 in lieu of the Retailer Defendant.

16. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date: 5/23/16	Date: May 18, 2016
By: Shefa LMV, LLC	By:TAYLOR JAMES LLC DBA SUPERGOOP