1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Case No. RG 15-782610	
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO THE HAIN	
13	V.	CELESTIAL GROUP, INC.	
14	SAVE MART SUPERMARKETS, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1. **DEFINITIONS**

- 1.1 The "Complaint" means the operative Complaint in the above-captioned matter.
- 1.2 "Compliance Date" means: (a) for Terra Covered Products: August 1, 2022; and (b) for all other Covered Products: August 1, 2020.
- 1.3 "Covered Products" means fried or baked potato- or sweet potato-based snack foods with potato or sweet potato as one of the top three ingredients by weight and that are: (a) manufactured, distributed, sold, and/or offered for sale by The Hain Celestial Group, Inc. ("Settling Defendant" or "Hain"), and (b) sold or offered for sale in California.
 - 1.4 "Potato Chips" means sliced potato chips made from common chipping potatoes.
- 1.5 "Terra Covered Products" means Covered Products that are sold by Settling

 Defendant under the Terra brand or are Similar to snack food products sold by Settling Defendant

 under the Terra brand but are sold by Settling Defendant to a private label customer under the brand

 of the private label customer. The term "Terra Covered Products" does not include those Covered

 Products that consist of packages containing solely Potato Chips, which shall be within the broader

 category of "Covered Products."
- 1.6 "Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendant.
- 1.7 "Similar" means having substantially similar vegetable ingredients and cooking processes.

2. INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health ("CEH"), a California non-profit corporation, and Settling Defendant. CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the Complaint.
- 2.2 On May 28, 2015, CEH issued a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, to the District Attorneys of every county in California, to the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to

acrylamide contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

- 2.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that employs ten or more people and manufactures, distributes, sells, or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 2.4 On August 19, 2015, CEH filed the Complaint, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant in the action. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, to the extent necessary to effectuate this settlement, the operative Complaint in the action is deemed amended such that the term "Products" means only Covered Products.
- 2.5 Settling Defendant has committed and will continue to commit substantial resources to reduce the acrylamide levels in the Covered Products, including but not limited to employee time researching, developing, and testing acrylamide reduction efforts, capital expenditures on process and equipment changes, and money spent retaining independent contractors to assist in Settling Defendant's endeavors.
- 2.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant. As of the applicable Compliance Date for any Covered Product, the terms of, and standards set by, any prior consent judgment addressing acrylamide exposures under Proposition 65 that include that Covered Product are superseded by the terms and standards of this Consent Judgment as to that Covered Product.
- 2.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall

prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 After the applicable Compliance Date, Settling Defendant shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing or purchasing any Covered Products that are sold or offered for sale in California which do not meet the acrylamide concentration levels ("Reformulation Levels") in section 3.1 below, unless they meet the warning requirements of set forth in section 3.7 below:
 - 3.1.1 For Potato Chips:
- 3.1.1.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 281 parts per billion ("ppb") by weight (the "Potato Chips Average Level").
- 3.1.1.2. The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Potato Chips shall not exceed 350 ppb by weight, based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested (the "Potato Chips Unit Level").
 - 3.1.2 For all other Covered Products:
- 3.1.2.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 350 ppb by weight (the "Average Level").
- 3.1.2.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Covered Products shall not exceed 490 ppb by weight, based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested (the "Unit Level").
- 3.2 Average Level Determination. The Average Level (either the Average Level or the Potato Chips Average Level, as applicable) is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least one (1) sample each from at least three (3) and up to ten (10) different lots of a particular Covered Product (or the maximum number of lots available for testing if fewer than three) during a testing period of at least 365 days. The mean and standard deviation shall be calculated using the sampling data. Any data points that are more than three standard deviations outside the mean shall be

discarded, and the mean and standard deviation recalculated using the remaining data points. The mean determined in accordance with this procedure shall be deemed the "Average Level."

- 3.3 **Compliance Testing.** Compliance with the Reformulation Levels shall be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties. Any samples of a Covered Product tested under Sections 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 shall be homogenized before testing for acrylamide content.
- 3.4 **Interim Measures.** Settling Defendant shall use commercially reasonable and good faith efforts to achieve compliance with the Reformulation Levels for as many Covered Products as possible earlier than the applicable Compliance Date. These efforts shall include, at a minimum, efforts to adjust cooking processes, ingredients, and/or formulas.
- 3.5 **Reporting.** Until Settling Defendant achieves compliance with the Reformulation Levels as to all of its Covered Products, Settling Defendant shall provide a written progress report to CEH once every twelve (12) months after the Effective Date. Such reports shall include, at a minimum, an identification and discussion of the interim measures Settling Defendant has implemented or considered to reduce the acrylamide levels in its Covered Products, the results of any bench or production scale testing of acrylamide reduction technologies, and an identification of any Covered Products for which Settling Defendant has achieved substantial reductions in acrylamide levels and/or compliance with the Reformulation Levels. Such reports shall be provided to CEH through its counsel at the address specified in Section 8.1 below.
- 3.6 **Extension of Compliance Date.** If, despite using commercially reasonable and good faith efforts, Settling Defendant is unable to satisfy the applicable Reformulation Levels as to some or all of the Terra Covered Products prior to an applicable Compliance Date, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH at least thirty (30) days before the Compliance Date of its need to extend the Compliance Date by an additional six (6) months. Such written notice shall include: (a) a detailed description of Settling Defendant's efforts to satisfy the Reformulation Levels and the status of those efforts, (b) a list by name and SKU or other identifier of Terra Covered Products, if any, that will satisfy the Reformulation Levels by the Compliance Date, and (c) a list by

name and SKU or other identifier of Terra Covered Products that will not satisfy the Reformulation Levels by the Compliance Date and, for each such Terra Covered Product, the anticipated date of compliance. In addition, if Settling Defendant avails itself of the extension allowed under this Section 3.6, Settling Defendant shall make the additional payments specified in Section 5.2 below for each extension. Settling Defendant may avail itself of this provision no more than three (3) times (such that the Compliance Date may be extended by no more than eighteen (18) months).

- 3.7 Except as provided in Section 6, if Settling Defendant has not reached the Reformulation Levels by the applicable Compliance Date (or any extensions pursuant to Section 3.6) for any Covered Products, then for all such Covered Products it shall provide a clear and reasonable warning that complies with Sections 3.7.1, 3.7.2, or 3.7.3. In addition, if Settling Defendant avails itself of the warning option allowed under this Section 3.7, Settling Defendant shall make the additional payments specified in Section 5.3 below.
 - 3.7.1 Warnings provided on the packaging of the Covered Product shall state: **WARNING:** Consuming this product can expose you to acrylamide, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. Acrylamide is a chemical that can form in some foods during high-temperature cooking processes, such as frying, roasting, and baking. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

This statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the warning statement is displayed on the Covered Product's label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a text box.

3.7.2 Warnings provided on a placard or sign, and for internet, catalog, or any other sale, shall state:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to acrylamide, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. Acrylamide is a chemical that can form in some foods during high-temperature cooking

processes, such as frying, roasting, and baking. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

This statement shall be prominently displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the statement is displayed on a placard or sign where the Covered Product is offered for sale, the warning placard or sign must enable an ordinary individual to easily determine which specific Covered Products the warning applies to, and to differentiate between that Covered Product and other products to which the warning statement does not apply. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment. To comply with this Section 3.7.2, Settling Defendant may rely on the procedure for notifying retailers set out in Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25600.2, in effect as of the Effective Date.

3.7.3 The warning requirements set forth herein are imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment, and are recognized by the parties as not being the exclusive manner of providing a warning for the Covered Products. Warnings may be provided as specified in the Proposition 65 regulations for food in effect as of the Effective Date (Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25601, *et seq.*) or as such regulations may be amended in the future.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 **General Enforcement Provisions.** CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3.1 by Settling Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.2.6, if applicable.

4.2 Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.

4.2.1 <u>Covered Product Identification</u>. Within thirty (30) days after the applicable Compliance Date, Settling Defendant shall notify CEH of a means sufficient to allow CEH to identify those Covered Products that are subject to that Compliance Date and that are manufactured

or purchased by Settling Defendant on or after that date, for example, a unique brand name or characteristic system of product numbering or labeling. Upon written request by CEH, but no more than once in any two calendar years, Settling Defendant shall, within ninety (90) days of receiving a request from CEH, update the information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 4.2.1 by notifying CEH of a means sufficient to allow CEH to identify Covered Products currently supplied or offered for sale by Settling Defendant to the extent such Covered Products are then subject to a Compliance Date. All information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 4.2.1 may be designated by Settling Defendant as competitively sensitive confidential business information, and if so designated shall not be disclosed to any person without the written permission of Settling Defendant. Any motions or pleadings or any other court filings that may reveal information designated as competitively sensitive confidential business information pursuant to this Section shall be submitted in accordance with California Rules of Court 8.46 and 2.550, et seq. The provisions of this Section 4.2.1 shall sunset seven (7) years after the applicable Compliance Date for each type of Covered Product or any extension thereof granted pursuant to Section 3.6.

4.2.2 Notice of Violation. In the event that CEH purchases a Covered Product in California that was manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant and that has a best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date or other code that reflects that the Covered Product was manufactured or purchased by Settling Defendant after the Compliance Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product exceeds the applicable Unit Level, and which lacks a warning that complies with Section 3.7, then CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section 4.2. If the manufacture or purchase date is not apparent from the dates or coding used on the Covered Product label, CEH shall withdraw the Notice of Violation if Settling Defendant demonstrates that the Covered Product at issue was manufactured or purchased before the Compliance Date. Notwithstanding the foregoing, CEH may not issue any Notice of Violation if the packaging of the Covered Product is marked or labeled with the statement "Not for Sale in California" or equivalent language so long as such statement is prominently placed upon such Covered Product's label or other labeling, as compared with other words or statements on the label or labeling, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under

customer conditions of purchase or use. If Settling Defendant marks or labels a Covered Product with such a statement, Settling Defendant shall include a letter to each of its retailer or distributor customers receiving that Covered Product notifying the customer that the Covered Product may not be sold in California.

4.2.3 <u>Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.</u>

- 4.2.3.1 The Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be served within ninety (90) days of the later of the date the Covered Product at issue was purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH or the date that CEH can reasonably determine that the Covered Product at issue was manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional ninety (90) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 4.2.3.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial ninety (90)-day period. The meet and confer and other deadlines specified in this Section 4 may be extended in writing by the Parties.
- 4.2.3.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the Covered Product was purchased; (b) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and if available information that identifies the product lot; and (c) all test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Product and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Product.
- 4.2.4 <u>Notice of Election of Response</u>. No more than sixty (60) days after effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within sixty (60) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation.
- 4.2.5 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all then-available non-privileged test data regarding the alleged violation, as well as any other

documents upon which Settling Defendant is relying to contest the alleged violation. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other non-privileged data regarding the alleged violation during the meet and confer period described in Section 4.2.6, it shall notify the other party and promptly provide all such non-privileged data or information to the party unless either the Notice of Violation or Notice of Election has been withdrawn.

- 4.2.6 Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation; provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 in addition to any other payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. The parties may extend this thirty (30)-day time period by stipulation. In any enforcement proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.
- 4.2.7 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.
- 4.2.7.1 Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Products having the same lot number or lot code as that of the Covered Products identified in CEH's Notice of Violation will not be thereafter be sold in California or offered for sale to California customers by Settling Defendant without a warning under Section 3.7, and that Settling Defendant has sent instructions to any retailers or customers that

offer such Covered Products for sale to cease offering the Covered Products for sale to California consumers and to return all such Covered Products to Settling Defendant. Settling Defendant shall make available to CEH upon reasonable notice (which shall not exceed more than one (1) request per year) for inspection and copying records of non-privileged correspondence regarding the foregoing. If the Notice of Violation is based on a violation of the applicable Unit Level with respect to a single Covered Product, Settling Defendant will be excused from the corrective action obligation if Settling Defendant produces test results or other evidence showing that the Noticed Covered Products comply with the applicable Average Level. However, to avail itself of this provision, Settling Defendant must provide CEH with all non-privileged acrylamide test data in its possession, custody, or control pertaining to the type of Covered Product at issue in the Notice of Violation that was performed within the year prior to Settling Defendant producing test results to CEH under this Section 4.2.7.1. If there is a dispute over whether Settling Defendant is excused from the corrective action, Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court.

4.2.7.2 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third, or fourth Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.2 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation. This shall be the sole and exclusive monetary remedy for such non-contested violations and CEH shall not be entitled to seek additional amounts for attorneys' fees and costs, civil penalties, payments in lieu of civil penalties, or any other monetary relief. If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation under Section 4.2.2 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$25,000 for each subsequent Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data for the specific SKU, or comparative like items, that reasonably demonstrates predicted acrylamide levels below the applicable Unit Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation, and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant is excused from the corrective action obligation pursuant to Section 4.2.7.1, then Settling Defendant shall pay

\$2,500 for that Notice of Violation. In no case shall Settling Defendant be obligated to pay more than \$100,000 for uncontested Notices of Violation in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued.

- 4.2.7.3 In no case shall CEH issue more than one (1) Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product. CEH shall be limited to issuing no more than two (2) total Notices of Violation to Settling Defendant in the first year after each applicable Compliance Date.
- 4.2.8 <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of an uncontested Notice of Election triggering a payment, and which shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities, and shall be the extent of all monetary remedies available to CEH under this Consent Judgment for a non-contested Notice of Violation.
- 4.3 **Repeat Violations.** If Settling Defendant has received five (5) or more Notices of Violation in any two (2)-year period concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, as to the fifth and subsequent Notices of Violation, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on measures that the Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future violations. If the parties cannot agree on such measures, CEH may proceed to file a motion to or application to enforce the Consent Judgment, and CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$587,500 as a settlement payment as further set forth in Section 5.1 within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective Date.
- 5.1.1 <u>Allocation of Payments</u>. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below.

1	Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a			
2	stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each day the full			
3	payment is not received after the applicable payment due date. The late fees required under this			
4	Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding			
5	brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall			
6	be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:			
7	(a) \$94,330 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §			
8	25249.7(b). This civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety			
9	Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health			
10	Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the initial civil penalty			
11	payment for \$70,747.50 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer			
12	identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:			
13	For United States Postal Service Delivery:			
14	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief			
15	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B			
16	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010			
17	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:			
18	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief			
19	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B			
20	Sacramento, CA 95814			
21	The CEH portion of the initial civil penalty payment for \$23,582.50 shall be made payable			
22	to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-			
23	3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San			
24	Francisco, CA 94117.			
25	(b) \$70,745 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH			
26	pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §			
27	3204. CEH intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from the Consent Judgment before the			
28	Court to the following purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used			

to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health, associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981 and delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

(c) \$422,425 as a reimbursement of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175.

5.2 Additional Contingent Payments for Extending Compliance Dates.

5.2.1 If Settling Defendant avails itself of the initial extension option provided for Terra Covered Products by Section 3.6, within thirty (30) days of first electing to extend the Compliance Date, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$100,000 as a civil penalty. If Settling Defendant avails itself of the second extension option provided for by Section 3.6, within thirty (30) days of electing to extend the Compliance Date a second time, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$200,000 as a civil penalty for this second extension. If Settling Defendant avails itself of the third extension option provided for by Section 3.6, within thirty (30) days of electing to extend the Compliance Date a third time, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$300,000 as a civil penalty for this third extension. Any additional civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code \$25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to OEHHA). Any additional payment required under this Section shall be reduced on a pro rata basis by the percentage of Settling Defendant's sales of Terra Covered Products within that category that satisfy the applicable Reformulation Levels by the

1

applicable Compliance Date. At the same time it makes any necessary payment under this Section, Settling Defendant shall provide CEH with sales data on a confidential basis to support any such reduction of the payment. Any such reduction shall be calculated based on Settling Defendant's most recent annual national sales of Terra Covered Products; provided, however, for any Terra Covered Products that Settling Defendant discontinued after the Effective Date in order to comply with the requirements of this Consent Judgment, the final year's worth of Settling Defendant's sales prior to its discontinuation for those Terra Covered Products shall be included within the category of Terra Covered Products that satisfy the applicable Reformulation Levels. If CEH disagrees as to the amount of the reduction, the parties shall meet and confer in good faith. If the parties cannot informally resolve the dispute within thirty (30) days of initiating their meet and confer efforts, CEH may seek to have the dispute resolved by the Court. Any additional civil penalty payments under this section shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25%) to CEH and 75% to OEHHA). The CEH portion of any such additional civil penalty payment shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117. The OEHHA portion of the civil penalty shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered to the address set forth in Section 5.1.1(a) or any updated address for OEHHA.

5.3 Additional Contingent Payments for Warning.

5.3.1 If Settling Defendant avails itself of the warning option provided for by Section 3.7, on or before the applicable Compliance Date for each type of Covered Product, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$250,000 as a civil penalty (such that Settling Defendant would ultimately need to make an additional payment of \$500,000 if it elected to warn as to all Covered Products). Any additional payment required under this section shall be reduced on a pro rata basis by the percentage of Settling Defendant's sales of Covered Products within that category (either Terra Covered Products or all other Covered Products) for which warnings are not required because they meet the applicable Reformulation Levels. At the same time it makes any necessary payment under this Section, Settling Defendant shall provide CEH with sales data on a

27

28

1

2

confidential basis to support any such reduction of the payment. Any such reduction shall be calculated based on Settling Defendant's most recent annual national sales of the Covered Products at issue; provided, however, for any Covered Products that Settling Defendant discontinued after the Effective Date in order to comply with the requirements of this Consent Judgment, the final year's worth of Settling Defendant's sales prior to its discontinuation for those Covered Products shall be included within the category of Covered Products that satisfy the applicable Reformulation Levels. If CEH disagrees as to the amount of the reduction, the parties shall meet and confer in good faith. If the parties cannot informally resolve the dispute within thirty (30) days of initiating their meet and confer efforts, CEH may seek to have the dispute resolved by the Court. Any additional civil penalty payments under this section shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to OEHHA). The CEH portion of any such additional civil penalty payment shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117. The OEHHA portion of the civil penalty shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered to the address set forth in Section 5.1.1(a) or any updated address for OEHHA.

6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

6.1 **Procedure for Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by (1) express written agreement of the Parties, or (2) as provided in this Section 6. Any modification to the Consent Judgment requires the approval of the Court and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office. As applicable, any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment must notify the other Party in writing, and the Parties shall thereafter attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment. If the Parties are unable to resolve their dispute informally within sixty (60) days after the date of the written notification, the Party that issued the written notification to seek the modification may bring a motion or proceeding to seek judicial relief as to the requested modification.

- 6.2 **Feasibility.** If, despite using commercially reasonable and good faith efforts, Settling Defendant has not achieved compliance with the Reformulation Levels as to any Covered Products by the applicable Compliance Date (including any extensions pursuant to Section 3.6), then Settling Defendant may request that the parties meet and confer as to an appropriate modification of this Consent Judgment. Such modification could include: (a) an extension of the Compliance Date (with a negotiated increase in the settlement payments to be made by Settling Defendant); or (b) any other modification that the Parties agree is appropriate and in the public interest. Grounds for an extension or other modification may include, but are not limited to, that, despite Settling Defendant's good faith efforts to reduce acrylamide, it is not Feasible for one or more Covered Products to meet the Reformulation Levels. "Feasible" means capable of being accomplished in a successful manner, taking into account economic, commercial, and technological factors. The term "Feasible" includes, but is not limited to, a consideration of the following factors: availability and reliability of a supply of vegetable ingredients used in the Covered Products; and cost and commercial considerations in changes to sourcing, harvesting, or storage methods for vegetable ingredients used in the Covered Products. In considering whether a technical mitigation option is Feasible, consideration shall be given to, among other things, prior demonstration of the viability of any technologies or methods for Similar products meeting the Reformulation Levels on a commercial application scale.
- 6.3 Other CEH Settlements. Settling Defendant may move to modify this Consent Judgment to substitute a higher Reformulation Level that CEH agrees to in a future consent judgment applicable to products Similar to any of the Covered Products, and CEH agrees not to oppose any such motion except for good cause shown. Any such modification shall only apply to Covered Products that are Similar to those products that are subject to a higher Reformulation Level.
- 6.4 **Court Decision Regarding Similar Products.** If a court of competent jurisdiction renders a final judgment that one or more products that are Similar to any of the Covered Products do not require a warning for acrylamide under Proposition 65, where such products contain levels of acrylamide at or above the Reformulation Levels, then Settling Defendant may move to modify this

Consent Judgment to conform to such ruling with respect to such portion of the Covered Products as is appropriate.

- 6.5 **Other Court Decisions.** If a final decision of a court determines that warnings for acrylamide exposures or that enforcement of Proposition 65 claims for acrylamide exposures are preempted or otherwise unlawful or unconstitutional with respect to products that are Similar to any of the Covered Products, then Settling Defendant may move to modify this Consent Judgment to conform to such ruling in order to avoid unfair, inconsistent, or anti-competitive results.
- Change in Proposition 65. If Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations (including but not limited to the "safe harbor no significant risk level" for acrylamide set forth at Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, section 25705, subdivision (c)(2) or any "alternative risk level" adopted by regulation or court decision) are changed from their terms as they exist on the date of entry of this Consent Judgment in a manner that impacts the Reformulation Levels, or if OEHHA takes some other final regulatory action for products Similar to the Covered Product in a manner that impacts the Reformulation Levels or that determines that warnings for acrylamide are not required for such products, then Settling Defendant may seek to modify this Consent Judgment to modify the Reformulation Levels. The Parties recognize that the Reformulation Levels are based on a compromise of a number of issues, and that a change to the "safe harbor no significant risk level" for acrylamide would not necessarily entitle a Party to a modification of the terms of this Consent Judgment corresponding in a linear relationship with such a change.
- 6.7 **Scientific Studies**. If an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation, or other legally binding act, following a review of scientific studies and following public notice and comment, a cancer potency estimate for acrylamide that equates to a no significant risk level higher than 0.2 micrograms per day, then Settling Defendant shall be entitled to seek a modification of this Consent Judgment to be relieved of its obligations to meet any requirements of this Consent Judgment that are inconsistent with such a change. The Parties recognize that the Reformulation Levels are based on a compromise of a number of issues, and that a change to the "no significant

risk level" for acrylamide would not necessarily entitle a Party to a modification of the terms of this Consent Judgment corresponding in a linear relationship with such a change.

- 6.8 **Federal Agency Action and Preemption.** If a court of competent jurisdiction or an agency of the federal government, including, but not limited to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, states through any guidance, regulation, or other legally binding act that federal law has preemptive effect on any of the requirements of this Consent Judgment, then this Consent Judgment may be modified in accordance with the procedure for noticed motions set forth in Section 6.1 to bring it into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law. Any such modification shall be limited to those changes that are necessary to bring this Consent Judgment into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law.
- warn pursuant to Section 3.7, Settling Defendant may seek to modify this Consent Judgment to substitute different warning language or methods approved by a court in a future consent judgment or judgment for exposures to acrylamide in snack food products (provided Settling Defendant only seeks to use such language and methods to a comparable manner of sale of the Covered Products) (e.g., internet warnings will only be used for internet sales). If Settling Defendant seeks to exercise this option under Section 6.9, it shall provide notice to CEH, and the Parties shall meet and confer, pursuant to Section 6.1. If the Parties cannot resolve the dispute informally during such meet and confer, Settling Defendant may file a motion to modify the Consent Judgment, and the Court shall approve the requested modification unless it finds that the proposed warning language or method does not comply with Proposition 65.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not

limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Covered Products that were manufactured or purchased by Settling Defendant prior to the applicable Compliance Date.

- 7.2 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors, and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured or purchased by Settling Defendant prior to the applicable Compliance Date.
- 7.3 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 and any other prior consent judgment by Settling Defendant, its Defendant Releasees, and its Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about acrylamide in Covered Products manufactured or purchased by Settling Defendant after the applicable Compliance Date. As of the Effective Date, the terms and standards of this Consent Judgment shall supersede the terms and standards of any prior consent judgment addressing acrylamide exposures under Proposition 65 as to Settling Defendant, its Defendant Releasees, and its Downstream Release solely with respect to acrylamide in the Covered Products.

8. PROVISION OF NOTICE

8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

Howard Hirsch Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com

8.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

2

Trenton H. Norris Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 trent.norris@arnoldporter.com

8.3 Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and Settling Defendant, whichever is later; provided, however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall use reasonable and good faith efforts, if required, to support entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.
- 9.3 Within ten (10) days of receiving the initial payments required by Section 5.1, CEH shall dismiss all other defendants besides Settling Defendant that are named in this action without prejudice, and those defendants shall waive all costs in this action.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 11.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.2 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and

therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not related or equivalent, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement, modify, or enforce the Consent Judgment. Nothwithstanding the provisions of Section 6, nothing in this Consent Judgment limits or affects the Court's authority to modify this Consent Judgment as provided by law.

14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

15.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against any entity other than Settling Defendant on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.

16. COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

16.1 CEH agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).

1	17.	17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
2		17.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
3	means	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to		
4	consti	constitute one document.		
5	IT IS	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
6	Dated	: 11 Oct , 2018	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
7			Chi	
8	÷		Signature	
9			Printed Name ATSOLIATE DIRECTOR	
10			Printed Name	
11	:		AJSOCIATE DIRECTOR	
12			Title	
13				
14	Dated	, 2018	THE HAIN CELESTIAL GROUP, INC.	
15				
16			Signature	
17				
18			Printed Name	
19			T'41.	
20			Title	
21				
22	IT IS AND	SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED DECREED	,	
23				
24	Dated:			
25	Dawa.		Judge of the Superior Court	
26				
27 28				
0				
- 11			22	

1	17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
2	17.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
3	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to		
4	constitute one document.		
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
6	Dated:, 2018	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
7			
8		Signature	
9			
10		Printed Name	
11			
12		Title	
13			
14	Dated: (Chisco 16, 2018	THE HAIN CELESTIAL GROUP, INC.	
15		Kristy Moungolo	
16		Signature	
17		Kristy Meringolo	
18		Printed Name	
19 20		5VP. General Consel : Cinet Compliance Officer Title	
21			
22	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED,		
23	AND DECREED		
24			
25	Dated:		
26		Judge of the Superior Court	
27			
28			
		- 22	