	ALA TOTAL CARLOS ELAD (CDA) COCOCO)	
1	MATTHEW C. MACLEAR (SBN 209228) ANTHONY M. BARNES (SBN 199048) AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP 7425 Fairmount Ave.	
2		
3	El Cerrito, CA 94530	
4	Ph: 415-568-5200 Email: mcm@atalawgroup.com	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.	
7		
8		
9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
12	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH	CASE NO.
13	CENTER, INC. a California non-profit corporation,	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT
14	Plaintiff,	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.
15	ŕ	Action Filed:
16	V.	Trial Date: None set
17	REDD REMEDIES, INC., an Illinois Corporation, and DOES 1-25,	
18		
19	Defendants.	
20		
21	1. INTRODUCTION	
22	1.1 On [date], Plaintiff Environmenta	al Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), a non-profit
23	corporation, as a private enforcer, and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing a	
24	Complaint for Injunctive, Civil Penalties, and Other Relief (the "Complaint") pursuant to the	
25	provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"),	
26	against Redd Remedies, Inc. ("Redd Remedies") and Does 1-25. In this action, ERC alleges	
27	that a number of products manufactured, distributed or sold by Redd Remedies contain lead, a	

chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose

consumers to this chemical at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products (referred to hereinafter individually as a "Covered Product" or collectively as "Covered Products") are: Redd Remedies SinuZyme and Redd Remedies Brain Awakening.

- 1.2 ERC and Redd Remedies are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.3 ERC is a California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.4 ERC alleges that Redd Remedies is a business entity that has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and qualifies as a "person in the course of business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. Redd Remedies disputes this contention. Redd Remedies distributes and sells the Covered Products.
- 1.5 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notice of Violation dated June 5, 2015 that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Redd Remedies ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the Notice is attached as Exhibit A and is hereby incorporated by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was mailed and uploaded to the Attorney General's website, and no designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against Redd Remedies with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.
- 1.6 ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Redd Remedies denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.
- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents,

 parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers. Except for the representations made above, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, at any time, for any purpose.

- 1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.9 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Redd Remedies as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

3.1 Beginning on the Effective Date, at all times that Redd Remedies qualifies as a "person in the course of business" within the meaning of Proposition 65, Redd Remedies shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of California", or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Product which exposes a person to a "Daily Lead Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms per day of lead when the maximum suggested dose is taken as directed on the Covered Product's label, unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.

1

2

3

4

5

As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State 3.1.1 of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that Redd Remedies knows will sell the Covered Product in California.

3.1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

If Redd Remedies is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the following warning must be utilized:

WARNING: This product contains [a] chemical[s] known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Redd Remedies shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the warning only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4.

The warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the container or label of each Covered Product. In addition, for Covered Products sold over Redd Remedies' website, the warning shall appear on Redd Remedies' checkout page prior to completing checkout on Redd Remedies' website when a California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product.

The warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on its website or on the label or container of Redd Remedies' product packaging and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. No other statements about Proposition 65 or lead may accompany the warning.

Redd Remedies must display the above warnings with such conspicuousness, as compared

with other words, statements, or design of the label or container, as applicable, to render the warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

3.3 Reformulated Covered Products

A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the Daily Lead Exposure Level when the maximum suggested dose is taken as directed on the Reformulated Covered Product's label, contains no more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4.

3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

3.4.1 Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, at all times that Redd Remedies qualifies as a "person in the course of business" within the meaning of Proposition 65, Redd Remedies shall arrange for lead testing of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of three consecutive years by arranging for testing of five randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user, which Redd Remedies intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into California." The testing requirement does not apply to any of the Covered Products for which Redd Remedies has provided the warning specified in Section 3.2. If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no warning is required for a Covered Product during each of three consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the three-year testing period, Redd Remedies changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, Redd Remedies shall test that Covered Product annually for at least three (3) consecutive years after such change is made.

3.4.2 For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level", the highest lead detection result of the five (5) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.

- 3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg or any other testing method subsequently agreed to in writing by the Parties.
- 3.4.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.
- 3.4.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Redd Remedies' ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.
- 3.4.6 Beginning on the Effective Date and continuing for a period of three years, Redd Remedies shall arrange for copies of all laboratory reports with results of testing for lead content under Section 3.4.1 to be automatically sent by the testing laboratory directly to ERC within ten days after completion of the testing. Redd Remedies shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of five years from the date of each test.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties, attorney's fees, and costs, Redd Remedies shall make a total payment of \$50,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC within 5 business days of the Effective Date. Redd Remedies shall make this payment by wire transfer to ERC's escrow account, for which ERC will give Redd Remedies the necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:
- 4.2 \$17,040.00 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code \$25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$12,780.00) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe

Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code §25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$4,260.00) of the civil penalty.

- **4.3** \$1,424.01 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action.
- 4.4 \$12,855.07 shall be distributed to ERC in lieu of further civil penalties, for the day-to-day business activities such as (1) continued enforcement of Proposition 65, which includes work, analyzing, researching and testing consumer products that may contain Proposition 65 chemicals, focusing on the same or similar type of ingestible products that are the subject matter of the current action; (2) the continued monitoring of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with Proposition 65; and (3) giving a donation of \$643.00 to the Global Community Monitor to address reducing toxic chemical exposures in California.
- **4.5** \$10,342.50 shall be distributed to Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, while \$8,338.42 shall be distributed to ERC for its inhouse legal fees.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only (i) by written stipulation of the Parties or pursuant to Section 5.4 and (ii) upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- 5.2 If Redd Remedies seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under Section 5.1, then Redd Remedies must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to Redd Remedies within thirty days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies Redd Remedies in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, ERC shall provide to Redd Remedies a written basis for its position. The Parties

shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.

- 5.3 In the event that Redd Remedies initiates or otherwise requests a modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or application of the Consent Judgment, Redd Remedies shall reimburse ERC its costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing and arguing the motion or application.
- 5.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own. In such a situation, the prevailing Party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of the modification.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Reformulated Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform Redd Remedies in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit Redd Remedies to identify the Covered Products at issue. Redd Remedies shall, within thirty days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.1 and 3.4.2, demonstrating Redd Remedies' compliance with the Consent Judgment, if warranted. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to Covered Products which are distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and which are not used by California consumers.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Redd Remedies and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), fully and finally releasing Redd Remedies and the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding lead up to and including the Effective Date.
- 8.2 ERC on its own behalf only, on one hand, and Redd Remedies on its own behalf only, on the other hand, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other and against the Released Parties for all actions or statements of any nature up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 8.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Products will develop or be discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, on one hand, and Redd Remedies, on the other hand,

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

ERC on behalf of itself only, on the one hand, and Redd Remedies, on the other hand, acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

- **8.4** Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any releasee regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice and the Complaint.
- **8.5** Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any of Redd Remedies' products other than the Covered Products.

9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. PROVISION OF NOTICE

All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail. Courtesy copies via email may also be sent.

FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.:

1	Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director, Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108		
2			
3	Tel: (619) 500-3090 Email: chris_erc501c3@yahoo.com		
4			
5	With a copy to:		
6	MATTHEW C. MACLEAR ANTHONY M. BARNES		
7	AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP		
8	7425 Fairmount Ave. El Cerrito, CA 94530		
9	Ph: 415-568-5200		
10	Email: mcm@atalawgroup.com		
11	REDD REMEDIES, INC.		
12	211 S. Quincy Ave Bradley, IL 60915		
13	1.888.453.5058		
14	dchapman@reddremedies.com		
15	With a copy to:		
16	Rakesh Amin		
17	Amin Talati Upadhye LLC. 100 S. Wacker Drive Ste 2000		
18	Chicago IL 60606		
19	rakesh@amintalati.com		
20	12. COURT APPROVAL		
21	12.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a		
22	Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this		
23	Consent Judgment.		
24	12.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment,		
25			
	the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible		
26	prior to the hearing on the motion.		
27	12.3 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be		
28	void and have no force or effect.		

.9

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed as valid as the original signature.

14. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet in person or by telephone and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand. In the event an action or motion is filed, however, the prevailing party may seek to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief that the other party was amenable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such enforcement action.

16. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

16.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have

Chapman

ORDER AND JUDGMENT Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms. IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED. Dated: _____, 2015 Judge of the Superior Court