1 2 3 4 5 6	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 cliff@chanler.com Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.	1	
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
9			
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
11			
12	ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.,	Case No. 115CV288689	
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
14	٧.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq., and Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)	
15	THE KROGER CO., et al.,	Car. Code Civ. Proc. 9 004.0)	
16	Defendants.		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
2526	·		
27			
28			
~~	А		

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E. ("Held"), and defendant The Kroger Co. ("Kroger"), with Held and Kroger each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Held is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Kroger employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Held alleges that Kroger manufactures, imports, sells, and distributes for sale in California, vinyl/PVC gloves that contain diisononyl phthalate ("DINP"), and that it does so without first providing the exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DINP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are vinyl/PVC gloves containing DINP that are manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale in California by Kroger, and sold under the brand name Everyday Living ("Products") including, but not limited to, the *Everyday Living Disposable Multi-Purpose Vinyl Gloves*, *Model: GSI-EDL10-G7NM-090415*, *UPC # 0 11110 36958* 1.

1.6 Notice of Violation

On July 13, 2015, Held served Kroger, the California Attorney General, and all other requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice"), alleging that Kroger violated Proposition 65 when it failed to warn its customers and consumers in California of the health

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1 2

hazards associated with exposures to DINP from the Products. No public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On December 3, 2015, Held filed the instant action ("Complaint"), for the violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice.

1.8 No Admission

Kroger denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all of the Products it has sold and distributed for sale in California, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Kroger's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Kroger as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Santa Clara County, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the motion for approval of the Consent Judgment contemplated by Section 5 is granted by the Court.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATED PRODUCTS</u>

Commencing on the August 1, 2016, and continuing thereafter, Kroger agrees either to discontinue the sale of the Products, or to only manufacture for sale or purchase for sale in California the Products as "Reformulated Products." For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Reformulated Products" are defined as Products with a maximum DINP concentration of 1,000 parts per million (0.1 %) in any component analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing

methodologies 3580A and 8270C, or equivalent methodologies utilized by state or federal agencies for the purpose of determining DINP content in a solid substance.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payments

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), in settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Kroger shall pay \$10,000 in civil penalties. If Kroger certifies by June 1, 2016 that it has met the reformulation standards set forth by Section 2 of this Consent Judgment, an \$8,000 credit shall be applied to the total civil penalty amount, and Kroger will be responsible for paying the remaining \$2,000 in civil penalties. The civil penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the funds paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and twenty-five percent (25%) of the funds remitted to Held. Kroger shall provide its payment in a single check made payable to "Anthony E. Held, Client Trust Account." Held's counsel shall be responsible for delivering any penalty paid under this Consent Judgment to OEHHA.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Held and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, Kroger expressed a desire to resolve Held's fees and costs. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Held and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment. Kroger shall pay \$15,000 for the fees and costs incurred by Held investigating, bringing this matter to the attention of Kroger's management, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

3.3 Payments Held in Trust

All payments due under this Consent Judgment shall be delivered within ten (10) business days of the date this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties, and held in trust by Kroger's

counsel until the Court approves the Parties' settlement. Kroger's counsel shall confirm in writing to Held's counsel upon its receipt of the settlement funds from Kroger and, thereafter, hold the funds in trust until the Effective Date, and deliver the payments to Held's counsel within five (5) business days of the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

4.1 Held's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Held, acting on his own behalf and in his representative capacity acting in the public interest, releases all actions, causes of action, claims, damages, liabilities, obligations, costs, expenses, and attorney fees against Kroger and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom it directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, but not limited to, it's downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Releasees") for any violations arising under Proposition 65 for the failure to warn about exposures to DINP from Products sold by Kroger prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the failure to warn about exposures to DINP in Products sold by Kroger, the Releasees, and Downstream Releasees after the Effective Date.

4.2 Held's Individual Release of Claims

Held, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release to Kroger, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Held of any nature, character or

kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DINP in Products sold or distributed for sale by Kroger before the Effective Date.

Held, acknowledges that he is familiar with California Civil Code §1542, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS
WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO
EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING
THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST
HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT
WITH THE DEBTOR.

Held understands and acknowledges the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code § 1542 is that if Held hereafter discovers facts different from or in addition to those that he now believes to be true with respect to the matters released herein, he will not be able to assert any claims arising from such facts. Held acknowledges that he intends these consequences even as to any claims that may exist as of the date of his execution of this Consent Judgment but which he does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect his decision to execute this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether his lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause. Held, in his individual capacity only, and on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assigns expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which he may have under California Civil Code § 1542.

4.3 Kroger's Release of Held

Kroger, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Held and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Held and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not Effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Kroger may provide written notice to Held of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. NOTICE

All correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For Kroger:

Steven Prough, Senior Counsel The Kroger Co. P.O. Box 54143 Los Angeles, CA 90054

with a copy to:

Frederick W. Kosmo, Jr., Esq. Wilson Turner Kosmo LLP 550 W. C Street, #1050 San Diego, CA 92101

For Held:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Held agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, cooperating on the drafting and filing of the necessary moving papers, supporting the motion, and appearing at the hearing before the Court.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon.

1	12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>		
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood,		
3	and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.		
4			
5	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
6			
7	Date: 4/12/2016	Date:	
8	By: Unihony 5 Nell ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.	By:Steven Prough, Senior Counsel	
10	ANTHONTJE. HEED, TH.D., T.E.	THE KROGER CO.	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>	·
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood	
3	and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.	
4		
5	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
6		Date: 4/8/16
7	Date:	Date: 1/0//6
8	By:	By:
9	ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.	Steven Prough, Senior Counsel THE KROGER CO.
10		
11		•
12		
13		
14 15		
16		
17	•	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		