| 1 2 | Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Brian Johnson, State Bar No. 235965 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street | | | | |
|-----|--|---|--|--|--|
| 3 | Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 | | | | |
| 4 | Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 | | | | |
| 5 | Attorneys for Plaintiff | | | | |
| 6 | MARK MOORBERG | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | SUPERIOR COURT OF | THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 9 | COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | ONDIMITED | SIVIL JURISDICTION | | | |
| 12 | MARK MOORBERG, | Case No. 115CV288441 | | | |
| 13 | Plaintiff, | [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT | | | |
| 14 | V. | (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.) | | | |
| 15 | TURTLE BEACH CORPORATION; | (Treath & Surety Code § 232 17.0 ct seq.) | | | |
| 16 | VOYETRA TURTLE BEACH, INC.; and DOES 1 – 150, inclusive, | | | | |
| 17 | Defendants. | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Mark Moorberg ("Moorberg"), and defendants Turtle Beach Corporation ("Turtle Beach"), and Voyetra Turtle Beach, Inc. ("Voyetra" or collectively with Turtle Beach "Defendants") with Moorberg and Defendants each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Moorberg is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Turtle Beach

Moorberg alleges that Turtle Beach employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 Voyetra

Moorberg alleges that Voyetra employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.5 General Allegations

Moorberg alleges that Defendants manufacture, import, sell, distribute, and/or offer for sale or use in California, headsets with vinyl/PVC components that contain di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") without first providing the exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.6 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are headsets with vinyl/PVC components containing DEHP that are manufactured, imported, sold, distributed, and/or offered for sale or use in California by Defendants including, but not limited to, *Turtle Beach Ear Force XLC Stereo Game*

Headset - XBOX LIVE Chat, UPC #7 31855 02049 2 (hereinafter the "Products").

1.7 Notice of Violation

On or about July 13, 2015, Moorberg served Turtle Beach, Voyetra, and certain requisite public enforcement agencies with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") alleging that Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to provide a "clear and reasonable warning" to consumers in California that the Products expose users to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.8 Complaint

On November 24, 2015, Moorberg filed a complaint in the Superior Court of Santa Clara County against Defendants and Does 1-150, *Moorberg v. Turtle Beach Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 115CV288441 ("Complaint"), alleging violations of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6.

1.9 No Admission

Defendants deny the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintain that all of the products that they have manufactured, imported, distributed, sold, and/or offered for sale or use in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendants' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.10 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Santa Clara, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.11 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Motion for Approval of the Consent Judgment is granted by the Court.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION</u>

2.1 Reformulated Products

Commencing on the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, any Products sold, manufactured for sale, and/or distributed for sale in California by Defendants shall be "Reformulated Products." For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Reformulated Products are Products containing DEHP in concentrations less than 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or other methodology utilized by federal or state government agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance, including, without limitation, Consumer Product Safety Commission test method: CPSC-CH-C1001-09.3, Determination of Phthalates (April, 1st, 2010), as amended from time to time.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payment

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), in settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Defendants shall pay \$2,500 in civil penalties. The civil penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the funds paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and twenty-five percent (25%) of the funds remitted to Moorberg. . Defendants shall provide their payment in a single checks made payable to: "Mark Moorberg, Client Trust Account." Moorberg's counsel shall be responsible for delivering any penalty payment made under this Consent Judgment to OEHHA.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Moorberg and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after

the other settlement terms had been finalized, Defendants expressed a desire to resolve Moorberg's fees and costs. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Moorberg and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment. As provided for in Section 3.3. below, Defendants shall pay \$26,000 for all of the fees and costs incurred by Moorberg through the Effective Date in investigating, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, litigating and obtaining a settlement in the public interest.

3.3 Payments Held in Trust

All payments due under this Consent Judgment shall be held in trust until such time as the Court approves this Consent Judgment. All payments due under this agreement shall be delivered within ten (10) business days of the date that this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties, and held in trust by Defendants' counsel until the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment contemplated by Section 5. Within three (3) business days following receipt by Defendants' counsel of written notice of the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment, Defendants' counsel shall tender the civil penalty payments and attorneys' fee and costs reimbursements required by Sections 3.1 and 3.2. If the Court does not approve the Consent Judgment, Defendants' counsel will return to Defendants all payments made pursuant to Sections 3.1 and 3.2.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments owed by Defendants under this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

4.1 Moorberg's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Moorberg, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Defendants and each of their respective parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors,

officers, employees, shareholders and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell the Products including, but not limited to, each of their downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees (collectively "Downstream Releasees") for any violations arising under Proposition 65 based on any alleged exposure to DEHP from Products manufactured, imported, sold, distributed and/or offered for sale or use by Defendants prior to the Effective Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to DEHP from Products manufactured, imported, sold, distributed and/or offered for sale or use by Defendants.

4.2 Moorberg's Individual Release of Claims

Moorberg, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release to Defendants, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of Moorberg of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in the Products manufactured, imported, sold, distributed and/or offered for sale or use by Defendants before the Effective Date.

4.3 Defendants' Release of Moorberg

Defendants, on their own behalf, and on behalf of their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waive any and all claims against Moorberg and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Moorberg and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them in this matter with respect to the Products, through the Effective Date.

4.4 Mutual Waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542

The Parties each acknowledge that he/they is/are familiar with Section 1542 of the Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE. WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

The Parties, each on his/their own behalf (and Moorberg in his individual capacity only and *not* in any representative capacity), and on behalf of his/their past and current agents, representatives, counsel, successors, and/or assignees, expressly waive and relinquish any and all rights and benefits which they may have under, or which may be conferred upon them by the provisions of Civil Code section 1542 as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent he/they may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters, as defined by Sections 4.2 and 4.3, above.

5. **COURT APPROVAL**

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or within such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

SEVERABILITY 6.

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected, so long as the deletion of provisions deemed unenforceable does not materially affect, or otherwise result in the effect of the Consent Judgment being contrary to the intent of the Parties.

7. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any provision of this Consent Judgment is rendered inapplicable or no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Products, then Defendants may provide written notice to Moorberg of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are

| 1 | so affected. | | |
|----------|--|--|--|
| 2 | 8. <u>NOTICE</u> | | |
| 3 | Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment | | |
| 4 | shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, | | |
| 5 | return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses: | | |
| 6 | For Turtle Beach: | | |
| 7 8 | Megan Wynne Vice President, Legal & Licensing Turtle Beach Corporation | | |
| 9 | 12220 Scripps Summit Drive Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92131 | | |
| 10 | With a courtesy copy to: | | |
| 12 | Stuart Block | | |
| 13 | Stice & Block LLP 2335 Broadway, Suite 201 Oakland, CA 94612 | | |
| 14 | For Voyetra: | | |
| 15 | Megan Wynne Vice President, Legal & Licensing | | |
| 16 17 | Voyetra Turtle Beach, Inc. 12220 Scripps Summit Drive Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92131 | | |
| 18 | For Moorberg: | | |
| 19 | Proposition 65 Coordinator | | |
| 20 | The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street | | |
| 21 22 | Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 | | |
| 23 | Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all | | |
| 24 | notices and other communications shall be sent. | | |
| 25 | 9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u> | | |
| 26 | This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable | | |
| 27 | document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when | | |
| 28 | taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. | | |
| | | | |

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Moorberg agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Moorberg shall take the lead to draft and file and Defendants shall support, including appearing at the hearing if so required.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

11.1. Notice, Meet and Confer

Any party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment or allege a violation thereof shall first attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein

| A | A HO | Mad Mad Mad | | |
|-----|--------|-------------|-----|--|
| A . | ' " | BU BU B | TO: | |
| A 1 | A M II | B1, B1, B1 | | |
| | | | | |

Date: /, 3 /, //
By: MARK MOORBERG

AGREED TO:

TURTLE BEACH CORPORATION

Date:____

By:_____

Its:

VOYETRA TURTLE BEACH, INC.

Date:____

By:_____

Its: _____

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Moorberg agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Moorberg shall take the lead to draft and file and Defendants shall support, including appearing at the hearing if so required.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

11.1. Notice, Meet and Confer

Any party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment or allege a violation thereof shall first attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

12. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein

| AGREED TO: | AGREED 10: |
|---------------|-------------------------------|
| | TURTLE BEACH CORPORATION |
| Date: | Date: 1/25/2016 |
| By: | 1 1 1/1_ |
| MARK MOORBERG | By: Mark |
| | Its: Chief Technology officer |
| | VOYETRA TURTLE BEACH, INC. |
| | Date: 1/25/2016 |
| | By: Kut Me |
| | Its: Chief Technology officer |