SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	) Case No. RG 15-792125	
	) ) ) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
	AS TO B.B. DAKOTA, INC.	
	) )	
Defendants.	) )	
	) )	
1. INTRODUCTION		
1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by the Center For Environmental		
Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH") on the one hand, and B.B. Dakota, Inc.		
("Defendant") on the other hand, to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Defendant as		
set forth in the operative complaint in the matter entitled Center for Environmental Health v. Skai		
Blu, Inc., et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG 15-792125 (the "Action").		
1.2 On July 30, 2015, CEH provided a "Notice of Violation" relating to the		
California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") to the		
California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City		
Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Defendant		
-1-		
	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, a non-profit corporation,  Plaintiff,  vs.  SKAI BLU, INC., et al.,  Defendants.  1. INTRODUCTION  1.1 This Consent Judgment is enter Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH" ("Defendant") on the other hand, to settle certain c set forth in the operative complaint in the matter en Blu, Inc., et al., Alameda County Superior Court C 1.2 On July 30, 2015, CEH provide California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforce California Attorney General, the District Attorneys Attorneys of every California city with a population	

CONSENT JUDGMENT – B.B. DAKOTA, INC. – Case No. RG 15-792125

regarding the presence of lead and lead compounds (collectively referred to herein as "Lead") in clothing made with leather, vinyl, or imitation leather materials ("Covered Products").

- 1.3 On November 4, 2015, CEH filed the Complaint in the Action against Defendant and others.
- 1.4 Defendant manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products in the State of California.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, CEH and Defendant (the "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendant.
- settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendant alleged therein. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law, or equitable requirements. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendant denies the material factual and legal allegations in CEH's Complaint and expressly denies any wrong doing whatsoever. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

## 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

1011

12

13

1415

16 17

1819

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

- 2.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the date of entry of this Consent Judgment (the "Effective Date"), Defendant shall not manufacture, ship, sell, or offer for sale any Covered Product in California or anywhere else unless such Covered Product complies with the following Lead Limits:
- 2.1.1 "Paint or other Surface Coatings" as that term is defined in 16 C.F.R. § 1303.2(b): no more than 0.009 percent Lead by weight (90 parts per million) ("ppm").
- 2.1.2 Polyvinyl chloride ("PVC"): no more than 0.02 percent Lead by weight (200 ppm).
- 2.1.3 All other materials other than cubic zirconia (sometimes called cubic zirconium, CZ), crystal, glass or rhinestones: no more than 0.03 percent Lead by weight (300 ppm).
- 2.2 Market Withdrawal of Covered Products. To the extent any of the following products are still being offered for sale in California by Defendant or its direct customers, on or before the Effective Date, Defendant shall cease shipping the: (1) BB Dakota Juniors Tyne Leather Jacket in Tobacco, Style No. BE32359, (2) BB Dakota Leather Shorts in Tobacco; Item No. BBD125; Style No. BE33253, and (3) BB Dakota Fit & Flare Dress; SKU No. 8-84986-80933-4 ("Recall Covered Products"), to stores and/or customers in California, and Defendant shall withdraw the Recall Covered Products from the market in California, and, at a minimum, send instructions to any of its stores and/or customers that offer the Recall Covered Products for sale in California to cease offering such Recall Covered Products for sale and to either return all Recall Covered Products to Defendant for destruction, or to directly destroy the Recall Covered Products. Any destruction of the Recall Covered Products shall be in compliance with all applicable laws. Defendant shall keep and make available to CEH for inspection and copying records and correspondence regarding the market withdrawal and destruction of the Recall Covered Products. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, the Parties shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court.

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

-3-

1

9

13

14

12

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

3.1 **Enforcement Procedures**. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of Section 2 of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion, or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

### 4. **PAYMENTS**

- 4.1 **Payments from Defendant.** Within five (5) days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$35,000, as further set forth in this Section.
- 4.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Defendant shall be paid in four separate checks in the amounts set forth below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee in the amount of \$100 for each day after the delivery date the payment is received. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:
- A civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) in the amount of \$4,600. The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (i.e., 25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment in the amount of \$3,450 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:

1 For United States Postal Service Delivery: 2 Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief 3 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B 4 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 5 For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: 6 Attn: Mike Gyurics 7 Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 8 1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814 9 10 The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment in the amount of \$1,150 shall be made payable to 11 the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-12 3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San 13 Francisco, CA 94117. 14 4.2.2 A payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety 15 Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b) in the amount of 16 \$6,900. CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from 17 exposures to toxic chemicals, including heavy metals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds 18 to monitor compliance with the reformulation requirements of this and other similar Consent 19 Judgments and to purchase and test Covered Products to confirm compliance with such 20 reformulation requirements. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and 21 Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent of such funds to award grants to grassroots 22 environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic 23 chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at 24 www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the 25 Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. 26 This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117. 27 28 4.2.3 A reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and -5costs in the amount of \$23,500. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement check shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

## 5. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

## 6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- and Defendant and Defendant's parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all to whom they distribute or sell Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.2 CEH, for itself and acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead

# 

## 

effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

## 9. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 9.1 Should CEH prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, CEH shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Defendant prevail on any such motion, application for an order to show cause, or other proceeding, Defendant may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the Court that CEH's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.
- 9.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 9.3 Nothing in this Section 9 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

## 10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

## 11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

11.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements

1	specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind			
2	any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No			
3	supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding			
4	unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions			
5	of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other			
6	provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.			
7	12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION			
8		12.1	This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the	
9	Consent Judgment.			
10	13.	AUTH	ORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT	
11		13.1	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully	
12	authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into			
13	and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that			
14	Party.			
15	14.	NO EF	FECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS	
16		14.1	Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any	
17	claim against another entity on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent			
18	Judgment.			
19	15.	EXECU	UTION IN COUNTERPARTS	
20		15.1	The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts	
21	and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3	Dated: 26 M My, 2016	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5		
6		Signature
7		
8		CHORNIE /12 mno
9		Printed Name
10		
11		AGSOCIATE DIRECTOR
12		Title
13	Dated: , 2016	B.B. DAKOTA, INC.
14		
15		
16		Signature
17		
18		
19		Printed Name
20	·	
21		
22		Title
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED	
25	<del></del>	
26	Dated:	
27		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-10-
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – B.B. DAKOTA, INC. – Case No. RG 15-792125	

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2		
3 4	Dated:, 2016	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
5		
6		Signature
7		
8		
9		Printed Name
10		
11		T'.d
12		Title
13	Dated: May 24, 2016	B.B. DAKOTA, INC.
14	0	
15		
16		Signature
17 18		
19		Gloria Brandes Printed Name
20		Printed Name
21		
22		<u>CEO</u> Title
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED	
25	AND DECREED	
26	Dated:	
27		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
28		STATE OF CABIFORNIA
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		-10-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - B.B. DAKOTA, INC. - Case No. RG 15-792125