1 2 3 4 5	Christopher F. Tuttle, State Bar No. 264 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118	534 4545	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.		
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	COUNTY OF MARIN		
11	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
12			
13	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.,	Case No. CIV 1600123	
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
15	V.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)	
16	ALMAR SALES CO., INC., et al.,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. ("Leeman" or "Plaintiff") and Almar Sales Co., Inc. ("Almar" or "Defendant"), with Leeman and Almar each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Leeman is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Leeman alleges that Almar employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Leeman alleges that Almar manufactures, imports, sells, and/or distributes for sale in California, certain vinyl/PVC charms that contain di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP") without first providing a Proposition 65 warning. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are vinyl/PVC charms containing DEHP that are manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California by Almar including, but not limited to, the *Expressions 4 Pc Accessory Charms, EXV1078/4X, YC-1403-8182, UPC #0 24576 88852 7*, hereinafter the "Products."

1.6 Notices of Violation

On August 20, 2015, Leeman served Almar and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") alleging that Almar violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Products expose users to DEHP.

To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On January 12, 2016, Leeman filed the instant action ("Complaint"), naming Almar as a defendant for the alleged violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice.

1.8 No Admission

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Notice and Complaint, or that could have been raised in the Notice and Complaint, arising out of the facts and conduct alleged therein. Almar denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all of the products that it has manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws, and are completely safe for their intended use. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Almar's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Almar as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court approves this Consent Judgment, including any unopposed tentative ruling granting approval of this Consent Judgment.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION</u>

Commencing on the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, Almar shall only manufacture or distribute for sale in California "Reformulated Products." For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Reformulated Products" are products whose accessible vinyl/PVC components contain less than 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) DEHP content when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or other equivalent methodologies utilized by federal or state government agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance. Leeman agrees that Products meeting this reformulation standard do not require a warning under Proposition 65 for DEHP.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payment

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), in settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Almar shall pay \$4,000 in civil penalties. The civil penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the funds paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and twenty-five percent (25%) of the funds remitted to Leeman. Almar shall provide its payment in two checks for the following amount made payable to: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$3,000; and (b) "Whitney Leeman, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$1,000. Leeman's counsel shall be solely responsible for delivering the designated penalty payment made under this Consent Judgment to OEHHA.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, Almar expressed a desire to resolve Leeman's fees and costs. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Leeman and her counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all investigative, expert and other costs, all

attorney's fees, and any other work performed through the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment. Almar shall deliver a check to "The Chanler Group" in the amount of \$28,000, pursuant to the payment procedures in Section 3.3 below, and to the address found in Section 3.4 below.

3.3 Payments Held in Trust

All payments due under this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to Almar's counsel of record within ten (10) days of the date that this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties, and held in trust by Almar's counsel until the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment. Almar's counsel shall confirm receipt of settlement funds in writing to Leeman's counsel and, thereafter, hold the amounts paid in trust until the Effective Date. Within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, Almar's counsel shall tender to Plaintiff's counsel the civil penalty payments and attorneys' fee and costs reimbursements required by Sections 3.1. and 3.2.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Leeman's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Leeman, acting on her own behalf and in the public interest, releases Almar and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell the Products including, but not limited to, their downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, resellers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Releasees") from all claims for actual or alleged violations of Proposition 65 asserted in the public interest in her Notice and Complaint related to Products manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Almar up through the Effective Date based on the alleged failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings concerning alleged exposures to DEHP. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to any actual or alleged

exposures to DEHP from the Products manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold by Almar after the Effective date.

4.2 Leeman's Individual Release of Claims

Leeman, in her individual capacity only and not in her representative capacity, also provides a release to Almar, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, penalties, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Leeman of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising from the Products manufactured, distributed and/or sold by Almar before the Effective Date.

4.3 Almar's Release of Leeman

Almar, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

4.4 Mutual Waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542

The Parties each acknowledge that they are familiar with Section 1542 of the Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

The Parties, each on their own behalf, and on behalf of their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, expressly waive and relinquish any and all rights and benefits which they may have under, or which may be conferred upon them by the provisions of Civil Code section 1542 as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent they may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters, as specifically defined by Sections 4.1 through 4.3, above.

5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties.

6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Almar may provide written notice to Leeman of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

Copy to:

For Almar:

SACK Ashkenazie Evp
Raymond Ashkenazie, CEO
Almar Sales Co., Inc.
320 5th Avenue, 3rd Floor
New York, NY 10001

James Robert Maxwell, Esq. Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 5311 California Street, 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104

For Leeman:

The Chanler Group Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza Suite 214 Berkeley CA, 94710

6

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver unless set forth in writing between the Parties.

The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

11. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Leeman agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the

settlement. Leeman and her counsel have sole responsibility for preparing and filing the motion to approve this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Leeman and Almar agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner.

12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

13. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

AGREED TO:

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and acknowledge that they have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

Date: 3/7/2017	Date: Feb. 17, 2011
By: Whitney R. JEEMAN, PH.D.	By: Kenneth Levine, Chief Financial Officer ALMAR SALES CO., INC.

AGREED TO: