1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Abigail Blodgett, State Bar No. 278813 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com ablodgett@lexlawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10 11	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
12		
13	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Case No. RG 15-794036
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO LEAD BY
15	v.	SALES, LLC
16	TOTALLY WICKED-E LIQUID (USA) INCORPORATED, et al.,	
17	Defendants.))
18))
19		
20	1. INTRODUCTION	
21	1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental	
22	Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Lead by Sales, LLC ("Settling Defendant") to	
23	settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint	
24	in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Totally Wicked-E Liquid (USA) Incorporated, et	
25	al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG-15794036 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling	
26 27	Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1-	

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO LEAD BY SALES, LLC – CASE NO. RG 15-794036

- 1.2. On September 2, 2015, CEH served four 60-Day Notices of Violation (the "Notices") relating to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with regard to exposures to formaldehyde and acetaldehyde resulting from use of Settling Defendant's e-cigarette devices and the e-liquids used in such devices (the "Products").
 - **1.3.** On November 19, 2015, CEH filed the Action naming Settling Defendant.
- **1.4.** Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.5. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notices and Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notices and Complaint with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct related to Settling Defendant alleged therein and in the Notices. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Settling Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notices and Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein,

3.1.1. Warnings for Covered Liquid Products in the Stream of Commerce. In an effort to ensure that consumers receive clear and reasonable warnings in compliance with Proposition 65 for Covered Products that have not been labeled in accordance with Section 3.1, within thirty (30) days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide warning materials by certified mail to each of its California retailers or distributors, if any, to whom Settling Defendant reasonably believes it sold Covered Liquid Products prior to the Effective Date. Such warning materials shall include a reasonably sufficient number of stickers in order to permit the retailer or distributor, if any, to affix the warning on each Covered Liquid Product such customer has purchased from Settling Defendant. The warning stickers shall contain the warning language set forth in Section 3.1 above. The warning materials shall also include a letter of instruction for the placement of the stickers, and a Notice and Acknowledgment postcard.

3.2. Clear and Reasonable Warnings for Covered Device Products. As of the Effective Date, no Covered Device Product may be manufactured for sale, distributed or sold in California unless such Covered Device Product has a clear and reasonable warning on the outer packaging of the product. For Covered Device Products, the warning shall state the following:

WARNING: Use of this product can expose you to (a) chemicals, including formaldehyde and acetaldehyde, chemicals known to the State of California to

cause cancer, and (b) chemicals, including nicotine, known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging of the Covered Device Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. To the extent that other warning statements are included on the outer packaging of a Covered Device Product, the warning required herein shall be separated from the other warnings by a line that is at least the same height as a line of text on the label. For internet, catalog, or any other sale into California where the consumer is not physically present and cannot see a warning displayed on the Covered Device Product prior to purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood as being applicable to the Covered Device Product being purchased prior to the authorization of or actual payment. Placement of the warning statement at the bottom of an internet webpage that offers multiple products for sale does not satisfy the requirements of this Section.

3.2.1. Warnings for Covered Device Products in the Stream of Commerce.

In an effort to ensure that consumers receive clear and reasonable warnings in compliance with Proposition 65 for Covered Products that have not been labeled in accordance with Section 3.2, within thirty (30) days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide warning materials by certified mail to each of its California retailers or distributors, if any, to whom Settling Defendant reasonably believes it sold Covered Device Products prior to the Effective Date. Such warning materials shall include a reasonably sufficient number of stickers in order to permit the retailer or distributor, if any, to affix the warning on each Covered Device Product such customer has purchased from Settling Defendant. The warning stickers shall contain the warning language set forth in Section 3.2 above. The warning materials shall also include a letter of instruction for the placement of the stickers, and a Notice and Acknowledgment postcard.

-5-

- **4.1.2.** \$2,475 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's Products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.
- **4.1.3.** \$38,375 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. This amount shall be divided into two checks: (1) a check for \$34,125 shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group; and (2) a check for \$4,250 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.
- **4.1.4.** The payments required under Sections 4.1.1-4.1.3 shall be made in four (4) separate checks, all to be delivered within ten (10) days following the Effective Date. The payments required pursuant to Sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 shall each be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health. All checks shall be delivered to Mark Todzo at Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in Section 8.1.2.
- **4.1.5.** In the event that Settling Defendant elects not to certify its compliance with one or more of the optional provisions in Section 3.3 in accordance with that Section, within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant must make an additional payment for each provision not certified, as follows: (i) \$8,000 if Settling Defendant elects to not participate in Section 3.3.1; (ii) \$6,000 if Settling Defendant elects to not participate in Section 3.3.2; and (iii) \$6,000 if Settling Defendant elects to not participate in Section 3.3.3. Each of these payments shall be paid in two separate checks, each payable to the Center for Environmental Health, to be allocated as follows:
 - **4.1.5.1.** Forty percent (40%) shall constitute a civil penalty pursuant to

DOCUMENT PREPARED

California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).

4.1.5.2. Sixty percent (60%) shall constitute a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's Products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

	9	
1	0	

1	1
I	1

1	3
1	4

_ _

6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and Settling Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Settling Defendant as provided by law.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 7.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting in the public interest and Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's parents, officers, directors, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their respective successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees") and all entities to whom they distribute or sell or have distributed or sold Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims alleged in the Complaint in this Action arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Settling Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to nicotine, formaldehyde and/or acetaldehyde in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- **7.2.** CEH, for itself, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims alleged in the Complaint against Settling Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to warn about exposure to formaldehyde and/or acetaldehyde in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- **7.3.** Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant with respect to any alleged failure to warn about Proposition-65-listed chemicals in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

8. PROVISION OF NOTICE

8.1. When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail as follows:

1	8.1.1. Notices to Settling Defendant. The persons for Settling Defendant to	
2	receive notices pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be:	
3	Brian S. Haughton	
4	Barg Coffin Lewis & Trapp, LLP 350 California Street, 22nd Floor	
5	San Francisco, CA 94104-1435 bsh@bcltlaw.com	
6	8.1.2. Notices to Plaintiff. The persons for CEH to receive notices pursuant to	
7	this Consent Judgment shall be:	
8	Mark Todzo	
9	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street	
10	San Francisco, CA 94117	
11	mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com	
12	8.2. Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by	
13	sending the other Parties notice by first class and electronic mail.	
14	9. COURT APPROVAL	
15	9.1. This Consent Judgment shall become effective on the Effective Date, provided	
16	however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and	
17	Settling Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.	
18	9.2. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or	
19	effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any	
20	purpose.	
21	10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION	
22	10.1. The terms and obligations arising from this Consent Judgment shall be construed	
23	and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California.	
24	11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT	
25	11.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding	
26	of CEH and Settling Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all	
27	prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby	
28	merged herein and therein.	
20		

- 11.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and Settling Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- 11.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.
- **11.4.** No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.
- 11.5. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

13.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

14.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.

15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

15.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
3		
4		
5		
6	Charlie Pizarro Associate Director	
7		
8	LEAD BY SALES, LLC	
9		
10		
11		
12	Signature	
13		
14	Printed Name	
15		
16	Title	
17		
18		
	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
19		
20	·	
21	Dated:, 2016 Judge of the Superior Court	
22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
23		
24	·	
25		
. 26		
27		
28 Document Prepared	-13-	
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO LEAD BY SALES, LLC – CASE NO. RG 15-794036	

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
2	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
3	
4	
5	Charlie Pizarro
6	Associate Director
7	
8	LEAD BY SALES, LLC
9	
10	Sand 1. Sum
11	Signature
12	
13	Michael Murray
14	Printed Name
15	Managing Director
16	Title
17	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED:
19	
20	
21	Dated:, 2016
22	Judge of the Superior Court
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED	-13-
ON RECYCLED PAPER	

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO LEAD BY SALES, LLC – CASE NO. RG 15-794036