| 1 2 3 4 5 6 | LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG 15-794040 | | |
| 13 | Plaintiff, (PROPOSED] CONSENT (USA) JUDGMENT AS TO FONTEM US, | | |
| 14 | v.) INC.) | | |
| 15 | NJOY, INC. DBA NJOY ENDS, et al., | | |
| 16 | Defendants. | | |
| 17 |) | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | 1. INTRODUCTION | | |
| 20 | 1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental | | |
| 21 | Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Fontem US, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") to settle | | |
| 22 | claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative Complaint in the | | |
| 23 | matter Center for Environmental Health v. NJOY, Inc. dba NJOY Ends, et al., Alameda County | | |
| 24 | Superior Court Case No. RG 15-794040 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred | | |
| 25 26 | to collectively as the "Parties." | | |
| 27 | 1.2. On September 2, 2015, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") | | |
| 28 | relating to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California | | |
| DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER | | | |
| | CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO FONTEM US, INC. – CASE NO. RG 15-794040 | | |

| Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65") on Settling Defendant, the California |
|---|
| Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City |
| Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The |
| Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with regard to exposures to formaldehyde resulting |
| from use of Settling Defendant's e-cigarette devices (the "Products"). |

- **1.3.** On November 19, 2015, CEH filed the Action, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant in the Action.
- **1.4.** Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.5. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notice and Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct related to Settling Defendant alleged therein and in the Notice. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Settling Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense any of the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

-2-

This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- **2.1.** "Covered Products" means electronic cigarette devices, also known as tanks and vape pens, which contain nicotine or are designed and intended for use with nicotine-containing liquid, that are manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant in California.
- **2.2.** "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1. Clear and Reasonable Warnings for Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, no Covered Product may be distributed or sold by Settling Defendant in California unless such Covered Product has a clear and reasonable warning on the outer packaging of the Product. The warning shall state the following:

WARNING: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

OR

WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including formaldehyde, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer, and nicotine, which is known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information, go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.¹

Unless otherwise mandated by law, the warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the

¹In the event that there is insufficient space on the packaging of a Product to adequately display either of the two warnings described in Section 3.1 above (or for some other good-faith reason), Settling Defendant may use the following warning: WARNING: Cancer and Reproductive Harm- www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

warning. The warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging of the

Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or

4. PAYMENTS

- **4.1.** Settling Defendant shall pay to CEH the total sum of \$355,000 which shall be allocated as follows:
- **4.1.1.** \$46,500 as a civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).
- **4.1.2.** \$35,000 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to place these funds in CEH's Toxics and Youth Fund and use them to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about Lead and other toxic chemicals in consumer products that are marketed to youth, expand its use of social media to communicate with youth in California about the risks of exposures to Lead and other toxic chemicals in the products they use and about ways to reduce those exposures, work with industries that market products to youth to reduce exposure to Lead and other toxic

27

DOCUMENT PREPARED

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least ninety (90) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and Settling Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Settling Defendant as provided by law. The following are examples of possible grounds for modification: (i) Settling Defendant's contention that California law ceases to require the Current Warning or substantially similar variant, (ii) Settling Defendant's contention that federal law requires a different warning (or specifies that the Current Warning or substantially similar variant should be removed), or (iii) there is a decision of a controlling state or federal court that Settling Defendant contends holds that the warning requirement of Proposition 65 is preempted, modified, or otherwise unenforceable under state and/or federal law.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting in the public interest and Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's parents, officers, directors, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their respective successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees") and all entities to whom they distribute or sell or have distributed or sold

-7-

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.

- 12.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.
- **12.4.** No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.
- **12.5.** No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

13.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

14.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

15.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.

16. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

16.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

| 1 | IT IS SO STIPULATED: |
|--|--|
| 2 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH |
| 3 | 1/1/2 |
| 5 | Charlie Pizarro |
| 6 | Associate Director |
| 7 | |
| 8 | FONTEM US, INC. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Signature |
| 12 | |
| 13 | Printed Name |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Title |
| 16 | |
| 17 18 | IT IS SO ORDERED: |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Dated:, 2017 |
| 22 | Juage of the Superior Court |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper | -10- |
| | CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO FONTEM US, INC. – CASE NO. RG 15-794040 |

| | IT IS SO STIPULATED: | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| 1 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEA | i Tu | |
| 2 | CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEA | LIN | |
| 3 | . At ⊕ | 9.4 | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | Charlie Pizarro Associate Director | | |
| 6 | Associate Director | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | FONTEM US, INC. | | |
| 9 | | | æ |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | Signature | | |
| 12 | WAYNE JONES | | |
| 13 | Printed Name | | |
| 14 | 1 2 - | | |
| 15 | SENIOR VICE RESIDENT SACES | | |
| 16 | Title | | |
| 17 | | | 20 |
| 18 | IT IS SO ORDERED: | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | Dated:, 2017 | Judge of the Superior Court | : |
| 22 | a . | saage of the superior court | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | ¥ | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER | | -10- | |

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO FONTEM US, INC. – CASE NO. RG 15-794040