1 2 3 4 5	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Brian C. Johnson, State Bar No. 235965 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 clifford@chanler.com brian@chanler.com	
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.	
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA	
11	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
12		
13	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.,	Case No. 16CV297548
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE CONSENT JUDGMENT
15	v.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq., and
16	A & D ENGINEERING, INC.; et al.,	Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Parties

This Stipulation and Order Re Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered by and between plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. ("Leeman"), and defendant A & D Engineering, Inc. ("A & D"), with Leeman and A & D each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

#### 1.2 Plaintiff

Leeman is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

#### 1.3 Defendant

A & D employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

#### 1.4 General Allegations

Leeman alleges that A & D manufactures, distributes, and offers for sale in California stethoscopes with vinyl/PVC tubing that contain DEHP without first providing the health hazard warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

#### 1.5 Product Description

For purposes of this Consent Judgment "Covered Products" means stethoscopes (or A & D products with stethoscope components) with vinyl/PVC tubing containing DEHP that are manufactured, distributed, sold, and/or offered for sale in California by A & D, including (i) LifeSource Home Blood Pressure Kit with Attached Stethoscope, Model UA-101, UPC No. 0 93764 00100 0; (ii) LifeSource Dual Head Stethoscope, Model DH-211-LS, UPC No. 0 93764 60012 8; and (iii) LifeSource Dual Head Stethoscope, Model DH-201-LS, UPC No. 0 93764 20201 8.

## 

# 

# 

### 

## 

# 

## 

# 

# 

# 

## 

### 

## 

## 

#### 1.6 Notice of Violation

On September 15, 2015, Leeman served A & D and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice") alleging that A & D violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its customers and consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products. No public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

#### 1.7 Complaint

On July 13, 2016 Leeman filed the instant action ("Complaint"), naming A & D as a defendant for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

#### 1.8 No Admission

A & D denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all the products it has manufactured, distributed, or offered for sale in California, including the Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect A & D's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over A & D as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Santa Clara County, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

#### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date the Court enters judgment in this action.

#### 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATED PRODUCTS & WARNINGS</u>

#### 2.1 Commitment to Reformulate Products or Provide Warnings

Beginning within 30 days of the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, A & D agrees not to sell Covered Products in California unless they are either (a) "Reformulated Products" as defined by Section 2.2; or (b) Covered Products that bear a clear and reasonable warning in accordance with Section 2.3.

#### 2.2 Reformulated Products Defined

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Reformulated Products" are defined as Covered Products containing no more than 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) DEHP content when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or equivalent methodologies utilized by state or federal agencies to determine DEHP content in a solid substance.

#### 2.3 Warnings

Commencing within 30 days of the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, for any Covered Products sold or distributed for sale in California by A &D that are not Reformulated Products, A &D will only offer such Covered Products for sale with a clear and reasonable warning in accordance with this Section. A & D further agrees that any warning used will be prominently placed in relation to the Covered Product with such conspicuousness when compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a clear and reasonable warning for the Products satisfying these criteria shall consist of a warning that complies with title 27 California Code of Regulations section 25600, et seq., and that is affixed directly to a Product or its accompanying labeling or packaging sold in or into California. A warning containing the following statement shall be deemed clear for purposes of this Consent Judgment:

**WARNING:** This product can expose you to DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm

In the event that A & D sells Covered Products via an internet website to customers located in California, the warning requirements of this section shall be satisfied if the foregoing warning

1 | a | 2 | ( | 3 | t | 4 | t | 5 | i | 6 | F | 7 | a | a |

appears either: (a) on the same web page on which a Covered Product is displayed and/or described; (b) on the same page as the price for the Covered Product; or (c) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser prior to purchase during the checkout process. Alternatively, a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow or white equilateral triangle may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, price, or checkout listing of the Covered Product, provided the warning statement appears elsewhere on the same web page in a manner that clearly associates it with the Covered Product(s) to which the warning applies.

#### 3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

### 3.1 Civil Penalty Payments

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), and in settlement of all the claims referred to in the Notice, Complaint, and this Consent Judgment, A & D shall pay \$2,000 in civil penalties. A & D's civil penalty payment shall be allocated in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty retained by Leeman. A & D shall deliver its payment in two checks for the following amounts made payable to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,500; and "Whitney R. Leeman, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$500. Leeman's counsel shall be responsible for delivering OEHHA's portion of the penalties paid under this Consent Judgment.

### 3.2 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, the Parties negotiated the compensation due to Leeman and her counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment, and court approval of the same, but exclusive of fees and costs on appeal, if any. Under these legal principles, A & D agrees to pay \$20,000 for all fees and costs

1 2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

incurred by Leeman investigating, bringing this matter to A & D's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

#### 3.3 **Payment Timing**

A & D shall deliver all payments required by this Consent Judgment to Leeman's counsel at the address provided in Section 3.4 within ten (10) days of the Effective Date.

#### 3.4 **Payment Address**

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

#### CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED 4.

#### 4.1 Leeman's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Leeman, on her own behalf and in the public interest, and A & D and each of its past and present parents, affiliates, subsidiaries, divisions, predecessors, successors, and assigns, and each of their respective owners, officers, directors, board members, trustees, shareholders, managers, members, employees, agents, insurers, attorneys, auditors, accountants, experts, stockholders, representatives, partners, and any other persons acting on their behalf ("Released Parties") concerning or in any way relating to the claims that have been or could have been asserted against A & D and/or the Released Parties up through the Effective Date, provided that such claims are based on or relate to the failure to warn about exposures to DEHP in Covered Products sold by A & D before the Effective Date, as alleged in the Notice and Complaint. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to alleged or actual exposures to DEHP from Covered Products manufactured, distributed, imported, sold, or offered for sale by A & D after the Effective Date.

#### 4.2 Leeman's Individual Release of Claims

Leeman, in her individual capacity only and not in any representative capacity, also provides a release to A & D, and the Released Parties, which shall be effective as a full and final accord and

satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected that have been or could have been asserted based on the allegations in the Complaint.

#### 4.3 A & D's Release of Leeman

A & D, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives all claims against Leeman, her attorneys and other representatives, for any actions taken or statements made by Leeman, her attorneys or other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

### 5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or by such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

#### 6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, after the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

#### 7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. If Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally or as to the Products, then A & D may provide written notice to Leeman of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

#### 8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

#### For A & D:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Terry Duesterhoeft, President A & D Engineering, Inc. 1756 Automation Parkway San Jose, CA 95131

#### with a copy to:

Michael J. Steel, Esq. Navi Dhillon, Esq. Morrison Foerster 425 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105

#### For Leeman:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

### 9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature or e-mail, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

#### 10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Leeman agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of their settlement, which motion Leeman shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any objection that any third-party may file or lodge, and appearing at the hearing before the Court, if so requested.

## 1 11. **MODIFICATION** 2 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and 3 entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court. 4 5 12. **AUTHORIZATION** 6 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they 7 have read, understand, and agree to all the terms and conditions contained herein. 8 AGREED TO: AGREED TO: 9 10 Date: 7/20/2017 11 12 By: Terry Duesterhoeft, President 13 A & D ENGINEERING, INC. 14 15 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED. 16 17 Dated: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28