1 2 3 4 5 6	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Abigail Blodgett, State Bar No. 278813 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com ablodgett@lexlawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff				
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH				
8 9 10	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA				
12 13	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) C	ase No. RG 15-794036		
14 15	Plaintiff, v.)) [H) J) T	PROPOSED] CONSENT UDGMENT AS TO LOGIC ECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT LC		
161718	TOTALLY WICKED-E LIQUID (USA) INCORPORATED, et al., Defendants.))))			
19 20		. /			
21	1. INTRODUCTION				
22	1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental				
23	Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Logic Technology Development LLC ("Settling				
24	Defendant") to settle claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the				
25	operative Complaint in the matter Center for Environmental Health v. Totally Wicked-E Liquid				
26	(USA) Incorporated, et al., Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG 15-794036 (the				
27	"Action"). CEH and Settling Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."				
28	-1-				

- 1.2. On November 19, 2015, CEH served two 60-Day Notices of Violation (the "Notices") relating to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notices allege violations of Proposition 65 with regard to exposures to formaldehyde resulting from use of Settling Defendant's e-cigarette devices and the e-liquids used in such devices (the "Products").
- **1.3.** Also on November 19, 2015, CEH filed the Action. On February 17, 2016, CEH amended the operative complaint to add Settling Defendant as a defendant in the Action.
- **1.4.** Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.5. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notices and Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notices and Complaint with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct related to Settling Defendant alleged therein and in the Notices. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law.

 Settling Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notices and Complaint

and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense any of the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- **2.1.** "Covered Products" means "Covered Liquid Products" and "Covered Device Products."
- **2.2.** "Covered Liquid Products" means liquids that are designed for use with electronic cigarette devices, also known as tanks and vape pens, that are manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant in California.
- **2.3.** "Covered Device Products" means electronic cigarette devices, also known as tanks and vape pens, which may or may not contain nicotine or are designed and intended for use with nicotine-containing liquid, that are manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant in California.
 - **2.4.** "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1. Clear and Reasonable Warnings for Covered Liquid Products. Within 45 days of the Effective Date, no Covered Liquid Product may be manufactured for sale, distributed or sold in California unless such Covered Liquid Product has a clear and reasonable warning on the outer label of the product. The warning shall state the following:

WARNING: Use of this product will expose you to chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Liquid Product with such conspicuousness, as compared

with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to exposure. To the extent that other warning statements are included on the outer label of a Covered Liquid Product, the warning required herein shall be separated from the other warnings by a spacing that is at least the same height as a line of text on the label. For internet, catalog, or any other sale into California where the consumer is not physically present and cannot see a warning displayed on the Covered Liquid Product prior to purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood as being applicable to the Covered Liquid Product being purchased prior to the authorization of or actual payment. Placement of the warning statement at the bottom of an internet webpage that offers multiple products for sale does not satisfy the requirements of this Section. The warnings required by this Section and Section 3.1.1 shall not be required if this Court, any California Court of Appeal, the California Supreme Court, or the United States Supreme Court determines that the Food and Drug Administration's regulation of Covered Liquid Products completely preempts all potential Proposition 65 warnings.

3.1.1. Warnings for Covered Liquid Products in the Stream of Commerce.

In an effort to ensure that consumers receive clear and reasonable warnings in compliance with Proposition 65 for Covered Products that have not been labeled in accordance with Section 3.1, within forty-five (45) days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide warning materials by certified mail to each of its California retailers or distributors to whom Settling Defendant reasonably believes sold Covered Liquid Products prior to the Effective Date. Such warning materials shall include a reasonably sufficient number of stickers in order to permit the retailer or distributor to affix the warning on each Covered Liquid Product such customer has purchased from Settling Defendant. The warning stickers shall contain the warning language set forth in Section 3.1 above. The warning materials shall also include a letter of instruction for the placement of the stickers, and a Notice and Acknowledgment postcard whereby the retailer or distributor may acknowledge receipt of the stickers and letter of instruction. A retailer's or distributor's failure to implement the instructions or to place the stickers shall not constitute a

violation of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant, however, such failure by a distributor or retailer shall constitute non-compliance with this Consent Judgment for purposes of Section 7.3 as to that distributor or retailer. Settling Defendant's compliance with this Section 3.1.1 shall constitute compliance with Section 3.1 above with respect to Covered Products that have been delivered to retailers or distributors prior to the Effective Date.

3.2. Clear and Reasonable Warnings for Covered Device Products. Within 45 days of the Effective Date, no Covered Device Product may be manufactured for sale, distributed or sold in California unless such Covered Device Product has a clear and reasonable warning on the outer packaging of the product. For Covered Device Products, the warning shall state the following:

WARNING: Use of this product will expose you to chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging of the Covered Device Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to exposure. To the extent that other warning statements are included on the outer packaging of a Covered Device Product, the warning required herein shall be separated from the other warnings by spacing that is at least the same height as a line of text on the label. For internet, catalog, or any other sale into California where the consumer is not physically present and cannot see a warning displayed on the Covered Device Product prior to purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood as being applicable to the Covered Device Product being purchased prior to the authorization of or actual payment. Placement of the warning statement at the bottom of an internet webpage that offers multiple products for sale does not satisfy the requirements of this Section. The warnings required by this Section and Section 3.2.1 shall not be

required if this Court, any California Court of Appeal, the California Supreme Court, or the United States Supreme Court determines that the Food and Drug Administration's regulation of Covered Liquid Products completely preempts all potential Proposition 65 warnings.

3.2.1. Warnings for Covered Device Products in the Stream of Commerce. In an effort to ensure that consumers receive clear and reasonable warnings in compliance with Proposition 65 for Covered Products that have not been labeled in accordance with Section 3.2, within forty-five (45) days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide warning materials by certified mail to each of its California retailers or distributors to whom Settling Defendant reasonably believes sold Covered Device Products prior to the Effective Date. Such warning materials shall include a reasonably sufficient number of stickers in order to permit the retailer or distributor to affix the warning on each Covered Device Product such customer has purchased from Settling Defendant. The warning stickers shall contain the warning language set forth in Section 3.2 above. The warning materials shall also include a letter of instruction for the placement of the stickers, and a Notice and Acknowledgment postcard whereby the retailer or distributor may acknowledge receipt of the stickers and letter of instruction. A retailer's or distributor's failure to implement the instructions or to place the stickers shall not constitute a violation of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant, however, such failure by a distributor or retailer shall constitute non-compliance with this Consent Judgment for purposes of Section 7.3 as to that distributor or retailer. Settling Defendant's compliance with this Section 3.2.1 shall constitute compliance with Section 3.2 above with respect to Covered Products that have been delivered to retailers or distributors prior to the Effective Date.

3.3. Optional Additional Injunctive Provisions. In order for Settling Defendant to be eligible for any waiver of the additional civil penalty/payment in lieu of penalty payments set forth in Section 4.1.5 below, Settling Defendant shall undertake one or more of the additional actions below. If Settling Defendant opts to be bound by this Section, Settling Defendant must provide CEH with a written election stating which optional provision(s) it is agreeing to implement within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date.

-6

27

3.3.1. Product Reformulation. Within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date, all Covered Products manufactured for sale in California shall be manufactured such that use of the Covered Products will not produce detectable levels of formaldehyde.

3.3.2. Product Safety Requirements. If Settling Defendant opts to participate in Section 3.3, Settling Defendant shall make the following changes to the Covered Products to increase the safety of such products:

3.3.2.1. Within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date, all Covered Liquid Products manufactured for sale in California shall be manufactured with child proof caps in accordance with the standards set forth in 16 C.F.R. § 1700.15(b) and flow restrictions in accordance with the standard set forth in 16 C.F.R. § 1700.15(d).

3.3.2.2. Within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date, all Covered Products manufactured for sale in California shall be manufactured without diacetyl in the Covered Products.

3.3.3. Prohibition on Sales and Advertising to Minors. If Settling Defendant opts to participate in Section 3.3, Settling Defendant shall not sell Covered Products to persons younger than eighteen (18) years of age and shall take reasonable steps to prevent the sale of Covered Products to such persons, including, but not limited to the following measures:

3.3.3.1. Settling Defendant shall implement one or more systems for checking the age of persons who purchase Covered Products on the Internet, or in person (but only if Settling Defendant conducts in-person sales or sampling). The system shall include age verification by requiring and checking an official government identification card or verifying through a reputable age verification company the age of anyone who purchases Covered Products on the Internet, or of anyone under twenty-six (26) years old who purchases in person. The system shall be put into place within ninety (90) days of the Effective Date. Settling Defendant shall not be responsible for any third parties' failure to conduct age verification for sales or sampling of Settling Defendant's products.

	3.3.3.2.	Settling Defendant shall not us	se advertisements that targe
minors. S	pecifically, Settling Defen	dant will not use models or ima	ges of people that appear to
be younge	r than twenty-eight (28) ye	ears of age, cartoons, art, fashion	n, or music that is intended
and design	ned to appeal to people und	der the legal smoking age in adv	ertisements or promotional
materials t	hat appear in California, in	ncluding on the Internet. Additi	ionally, Settling Defendant
will not: (a	a) advertise in any media t	hat has more than 25% under 18	3 readership; (b) utilize any
form of ou	ttdoor advertising within 1	,000 feet of any school or playg	ground; (c) advertise using
Instagram;	and (d) sponsor any athle	etic, musical or other cultural evo	ents unless such events are
designated	l as prohibiting patrons und	der the age of eighteen (18).	

3.3.4. Prohibition on Health and Safety Claims. If Settling Defendant opts to participate in Section 3.3, Settling Defendant shall not make health and or safety claims for products manufactured or distributed for sale in California as of the Effective Date, unless such claims have been reviewed and approved by the Federal Food and Drug Administration. Examples of prohibited claims include the following:

3.3.4.1. Settling Defendant shall not advertise Covered Products as smoking-cessation devices. This prohibition includes any claims or testimonials about quitting smoking, using e-cigarettes as a treatment for tobacco dependence or addiction.

3.3.4.2. Settling Defendant shall not make any claim that the Covered Products do not expose users carcinogens or are better or safer than tobacco.

3.3.4.3. Settling Defendant shall not make any claim that the Covered Products produce no second hand smoke.

4. PAYMENTS

- **4.1.** Settling Defendant shall initially pay to CEH the total sum of \$55,000, which shall be allocated as follows:
- **4.1.1.** \$2,250 as a civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with California Health &

-8-

Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).

- **4.1.2.** \$3,375 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code \$ 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, \$ 3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's Products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.
- **4.1.3.** \$49,375 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. This amount shall be divided into two checks: (1) a check for \$43,875 shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group; and (2) a check for \$5,500 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health. Except as expressly provided for in this Consent Judgment, CEH shall not be entitled to any other fees and costs as a prevailing party.
- **4.1.4.** The payments required under Sections 4.1.1-4.1.3 shall be made in four (4) separate checks, all to be delivered within ten (10) days following the Effective Date. The payments required pursuant to Sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 shall each be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health. All checks shall be delivered to Mark Todzo at Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in Section 8.1.2.
- **4.1.5.** In the event that Settling Defendant elects not to certify its compliance with one or more of the optional provisions in Section 3.3 in accordance with that Section, within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant must make an additional payment for each provision not certified, as follows: (i) \$6,250 if Settling Defendant elects to not participate in Section 3.3.1; (ii) \$6,250 if Settling Defendant elects to not participate in Section 3.3.2; (iii) \$6,250 if Settling Defendant elects to not participate in Section 3.3.3; and (iv) \$6,250 if

Settling Defendant elects to not participate in Section 3.3.4. Each of these payments shall be paid in two separate checks, each payable to the Center for Environmental Health, to be allocated as follows:

4.1.5.1. Forty percent (40%) shall constitute a civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).

4.1.5.2. Sixty percent (60%) shall constitute a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's Products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results which purportedly support CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent

Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1. This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and Settling Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Settling Defendant as provided by law.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 7.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting in the public interest and Settling Defendant, its predecessor(s) and its and their current and former parents, officers, directors, members, shareholders, managers, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their respective successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees") and all entities to whom they distribute or sell or have distributed or sold Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims alleged in the Complaint in this Action arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the public interest against the Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposure to formaldehyde and/or acetaldehyde in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- **7.2.** CEH, for itself, and acting in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims alleged in the Complaint against the Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any claims that have been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to warn about exposure to formaldehyde and/or acetaldehyde in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- **7.3.** Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by the Defendant Releasees and the Downstream Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by the Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to

-11-

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1. The terms and obligations arising from this Consent Judgment shall be construed and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

- 11.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of CEH and Settling Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- 11.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and Settling Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- 11.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.
- **11.4.** No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.
- 11.5. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	IT IS SO ORDERED:
2	
3	
4	Dated:, 2016
5	Judge of the Superior Court
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-15-