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## SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

 COUNTY OF MARIN UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTIONCASE NO. CIV1600532
[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
(Health \& Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.

PARADIES GIFTS, INC.,; et al.,
Defendants.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. ("Leeman") on the one hand, and Paradies Gifts, Inc. and Paradies \& Company LLC ("Settling Defendants") on the other, with Leeman and Settling Defendants each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

### 1.2 Plaintiff

Leeman is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

### 1.3 Defendant

Settling Defendants employ ten or more persons and are each a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65 ").

### 1.4 General Allegations

Leeman alleges that Settling Defendants manufacture, import, sell, or distribute for sale in California, vinyl/PVC ponchos containing di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"), without first providing the exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

### 1.5 Product Description

Settling Defendants' products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as vinyl/PVC ponchos containing DEHP including, without limitation, the Coastalista Adult Poncho, UPC \#7 2692203145 7, which are manufactured, imported, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale by Settling Defendants in the State of California, hereinafter the "Product[s]."

### 1.6 Notice of Violation

On December 1, 2015, Leeman served Settling Defendants and certain requisite public enforcement agencies with a " 60 -Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") alleging that Settling 4841-5472-7215.1

Defendants violated Proposition 65 when they failed to warn their customers and consumers in California that vinyl/PVC ponchos expose users to DEHP.

### 1.7 Complaint

On or about February 10, 2016, Leeman filed the instant action ("Complaint"), naming Settling Defendants as defendants for their alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

### 1.8 No Admission

Settling Defendants deny the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintain that all of the products that they have sold and distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Settling Defendants' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

### 1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court, including any unopposed tentative rulings.

## 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND WARNINGS

### 2.1 Reformulated Products

Commencing on the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, Settling Defendants shall only purchase for sale, manufacture for sale, import, sell, or distribute for sale in California "Reformulated Products," or Products that are sold with a clear and reasonable warning pursuant 4841-5472-7215.1
to Section 2.2 below. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Reformulated Products" are products that contain DEHP in concentrations of less than 0.1 percent ( 1,000 parts per million) when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270 C , or any other methodology utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining the DEHP content in a solid substance.

### 2.2 Product Warnings

Commencing on the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall provide clear and reasonable warnings for all Products that Defendants purchase for sale, manufacture for sale, import, sell or distribute in California as set forth in this section below for all such Products that do not currently have warnings and that do not qualify as Reformulated Products. Each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Each warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which specific Product the warning applies, so as to minimize the risk of consumer confusion.
(2.2.1) Retail Store Sales.
(a) Product Labeling. Settling Defendants shall affix a warning to the packaging, labeling, or directly on each Product packaging provided for sale in retail outlets in California that states:

WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harms.

Or,
WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harms.
(b) Point-of-Sale Warnings. Alternatively, Settling Defendants may provide warning signs in the form below to their customers in California with instructions to post the warnings in close proximity to the point of display of the Products. Such instruction sent to Settling Defendants' customers shall be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested.

4841-5472-7215.1
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WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harms.

Or,
WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harms.

Where more than one Product is sold in proximity to other like items or to those that do not require a warning (e.g., Reformulated Products as defined in Section 2.1), the following statement shall be used: ${ }^{1}$

WARNING: The following products contain DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harms:
[list products for which warning is required]
Or,

> WARNING: The following products contain DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm:
> [list products for which warning is required]

## 3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

### 3.1 Civil Penalty Payments

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), in settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants shall pay $\$ 13,500$ in civil penalties. Each civil penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d) with seventy-five percent (75\%) of the funds paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and twenty-five percent ( $25 \%$ ) of the funds allocated to Leeman. Leeman and her counsel shall ensure that seventy-five percent ( $75 \%$ ) of each settlement payment is delivered to OEHHA.
'For purposes of the Settlement Agreement, "sold in proximity" shall mean that the Product and another similar product are offered for sale close enough to each other that the consumer, under customary conditions of purchase, could not reasonably determine which of the two products is subject to the warning sign.

### 3.1.1 Initial Civil Penalty

Settling Defendants shall issue a check for its initial civil penalty payment in the amount of \$3,500 payable to "Wendy Leeman Ph.D., Client Trust Account" and deliver this check to Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard \& Smith LLP ("LBBS"). LBBS shall provide The Chanler Group with written confirmation that LBBS has received this check. Within five (5) days of the date the Court approves this Consent Judgment, including any unopposed tentative rulings, LBBS shall deliver the check for the initial civil penalty payment to "Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D., Client Turst Account." Leeman subsequently will direct $75 \%$ of the initial civil penalty payment to OEHHA.

### 3.1.2 Final Civil Penalty

On or before March 31, 2017, Settling Defendants shall make a final civil penalty payment of $\$ 10,000$. Leeman agrees that the final civil penalty payment shall be waived in its entirety if, no later than March 15, 2017, an officer of each Settling Defendant provides Leeman with written certification that they are no longer offering or distributing for sale in California the Products, or are only offering for sale and distributing for sale in California, Reformulated Products as defined in section 2.1, above. The option to certify reformulation in lieu of making the final civil penalty payment required by this Section is a material term and time is of the essence.

### 3.2 Reimbursement of Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, Settling Defendants expressed a desire to resolve Leeman's fees and costs. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Leeman's and her counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment. Settling Defendant shall issue a check payable to "The Chanler Group" for fees and costs in the amount of \$26,000 and deliver this check to LBBS to be held in trust by LBBS for The Chanler Group. LBBS shall
provide the Chanler Group with written confirmation that it has received this check within five days of its receipt. Within five (5) days of the date the Court approves this Consent Judgment, including any unopposed tentative rulings, LBBS shall issue a check payable to "The Chanler Group" to the address found in Section 3.3.1 below.

### 3.3 Payment Procedures

Except for the final civil penalty payment required by Section 3.1.2, all payments due under this Consent Judgment are to be delivered within ten (10) days of the filing of the motion to approve this Consent Judgment under Section 10 below, to Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard \& Smith LLP, and released to The Chanler Group and Leeman within five (5) days of the Effective Date, according to the following subsection.

### 3.3.1 Payment Address

All payments and tax documentation for OEHHA, Leeman, and her counsel shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group<br>Attn: Proposition 65 Controller<br>2560 Ninth Street<br>Parker Plaza, Suite 214<br>Berkeley, CA 94710

## 4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

### 4.1 Leeman's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Leeman, acting on her own behalf and in the public interest, releases Settling Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell the Products, including but not limited to their downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Releasees") for violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to DEHP from the Products sold by Settling Defendants prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.

### 4.2 Leeman's Individual Release of Claims

Leeman, in her individual capacity only and not in her representative capacity, also
provides a release to Settling Defendants, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Leeman of any nature, character or kind, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP from the Products sold or distributed for sale by Settling Defendants before the Effective Date.

### 4.3 Settling Defendants' Release of Leeman

Settling Defendants, on their own behalf, and on behalf of their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waive any and all claims against Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

## 5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after the Consent Judgment has been fully executed by the Parties.

## 6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

## 7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Settling Defendants may provide written notice to Leeman of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Settling Defendants from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal 4841-5472-7215.1
toxics control laws.

## 8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For Setting Defendants:
James A. Geocaris, Esq.
Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard \& Smith LLP
650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1400
Costa Mesa, CA 92626

For Leeman:
The Chanler Group
Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator
2560 Ninth Street
Parker Plaza, Suite 214
Berkeley, CA 94710
Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

## 9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

## 10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Leeman agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section $25249.7(\mathrm{f})$, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement. Leeman will prepare and file this motion. Also, in furtherance of obtaining such approval, Leeman and Settling Defendants agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and that of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, cooperating with the drafting and filing of the necessary moving papers, and supporting 4841-5472-7215.1
the motion for judicial approval.

## 11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

## 12. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

## AGREED TO:

5/16/16
Date:
By: $\frac{\text { Whitney Leeman }}{\text { WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PHD. }}$

AGREED TO:

Date: $\qquad$

By:
DENNIS ULIANO, Chief Operating Officer PARADIES GIFTS, INC., and PARADIES \& COMPANY LLD
This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Part, and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.
The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read. understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.
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the motion for judicial approval.
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