1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo, State Bar No. 168389 Abigail Blodgett, State Bar No. 278813 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com ablodgett@lexlawgroup.com Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
11		
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	) Case No. RG 15-794036
13	Plaintiff,	) ) [PROPOSED] CONSENT
14	V.	) JUDGMENT AS TO ZOOM E-CIGS ) LLC
15	TOTALLY WICKED-E LIQUID (USA)	) )
16	INCORPORATED, et al.,	) )
17	Defendants.	) )
18		)
19		
20 21	1. INTRODUCTION	
22	1.1. This Consent Judgment is entered into by Plaintiff Center for Environmental	
23	Health, a non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Zoom E-Cigs LLC ("Settling Defendant") to settle	
24	claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant	as set forth in the operative Complaint in the
25	matter Center for Environmental Health v. Totally V	Wicked-E Liquid (USA) Incorporated, et al.,
26	Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG 15-7	94036 (the "Action"). CEH and Settling
27	Defendant are referred to collectively as the "Parties."	
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1-	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO ZOOM E-C	IIGS LLC = CASE NO. RG 15-794036

- 1.2. On December 10, 2015, CEH served a 60-Day Notice of Violation (the "Notice") relating to the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") on Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every city in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with regard to exposures to formaldehyde resulting from use of Settling Defendant's e-cigarette devices (the "Products").
- **1.3.** On November 19, 2015, CEH filed the Complaint in the Action. On March 29, 2016, CEH named Settling Defendant as a defendant in the Action via a Doe Amendment.
- **1.4.** For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Settling Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.5. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Notice and Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint; (ii) venue is proper in the County of Alameda; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint arising out of the facts or conduct related to Settling Defendant alleged therein and in the Notice. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Settling Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notice and Complaint and expressly denies any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein,

nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense any of the Parties may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings.

This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

#### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- **2.1.** "Covered Products" means electronic cigarette devices, also known as tanks and vape pens that are manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant in California.
- **2.2.** "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court enters this Consent Judgment.

#### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1. Recall of Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall have provided written notice to those direct wholesale customers of Settling Defendant's Covered Products selling to retailers in California, and to California retail customers known to the Settling Defendant as having purchased Settling Defendant's Covered Products, informing them that the Covered Products are no longer to be sold within the State of California, and providing instructions for the return or disposition of the Covered Products. Settling Defendant shall also provide this written notice and the instructions for return or disposition of the Covered Products to Settling Defendant's direct customers that are known to Settling Defendant to sell or offer for sale the Covered Products to California consumers on the internet (*in toto*, the "Recall").
- 3.2. Clear and Reasonable Warnings for Covered Products. As of the Effective Date, in the event that the Covered Products are sold or made available for sale in California by Settling Defendant, no Covered Product may be manufactured for sale, distributed or sold in California by Settling Defendant unless such Covered Product has a clear and reasonable warning on the outer packaging of the Product. The warning shall state the following:

**WARNING**: Use of this product will expose you to formaldehyde, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

The warning shall not be preceded by, surrounded by, or include any additional words or phrases that contradict, obfuscate, or otherwise undermine the warning. The warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the outer packaging of the Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. To the extent that other warning statements are included on the outer packaging of a Covered Product, the warning required herein shall be separated from the other warnings by a line that is at least the same height as a line of text on the label. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present and cannot see a warning displayed on the Covered Product prior to purchase or payment, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood as being applicable to the Covered Product being purchased prior to the authorization of or actual payment. Placement of the warning statement at the bottom of an internet webpage that offers multiple products for sale does not satisfy the requirements of this Section.

3.2.1. Warnings for Covered Products in the Stream of Commerce. In an effort to ensure that consumers receive clear and reasonable warnings in compliance with Proposition 65 for Covered Products that have not been labeled in accordance with Section 3.2, within thirty (30) days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall provide warning materials by certified mail to each of its California retailers or distributors to whom Settling Defendant reasonably believes sold Covered Products prior to the Effective Date. Such warning materials shall include a reasonably sufficient number of stickers in order to permit the retailer or distributor to affix the warning on each Covered Product such customer has purchased from Settling Defendant. The warning stickers shall contain the warning language set forth in Section 3.1 above. The warning materials shall also include a letter of instruction for the placement of the stickers, and a Notice and Acknowledgment postcard. This Section 3.2.1 does not apply if Settling Defendant performs the Recall as described in Section 3.1.

**3.3. Optional Additional Injunctive Provisions.** In order for Settling Defendant to be eligible for any waiver of the additional civil penalty/payment in lieu of penalty payments set

forth in Section 4.1.5 below, in the event that the Covered Products are sold or offered for sale in California by the Settling Defendant after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall undertake one or more of the additional actions below. If Settling Defendant opts to be bound by this Section, Settling Defendant must provide CEH with a written election stating which optional provision(s) it is agreeing to implement. If Settling Defendant performs the Recall as described in Section 3.1, Settling Defendant will be eligible for a complete waiver of additional civil penalties and payments in lieu of penalties associated with these Optional Additional Injunctive Provisions.

- **3.3.1. Product Reformulation.** Within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date, all Covered Products manufactured for sale in California shall be manufactured such that use of the Covered Products will not produce detectable levels of formaldehyde and acetaldehyde.
- 3.3.2. Product Safety Requirements. A Settling Defendant opting to participate in Section 3.2 shall make the following change to the Covered Products to increase the safety of such products: Within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date, all Covered Products manufactured for sale in California shall be manufactured without diacetyl in the Covered Products.
- **3.3.3. Prohibition on Sales and Advertising to Minors.** A Settling Defendant opting to participate in Section 3.2 shall not sell Covered Products to persons younger than eighteen (18) years of age and shall take reasonable steps to prevent the sale of Covered Products to such persons, including, but not limited to the following measures:
- 3.3.3.1. Settling Defendant shall implement one or more systems for checking the age of persons who purchase Covered Products on the Internet or in person. The system shall include age verification by requiring and checking an official government identification card or verifying through a reputable credit agency the age of anyone who purchases Covered Products on the Internet, or of anyone under twenty-six (26) years old who purchases in person. The system shall be put into place within ninety (90) days of the Effective Date.

3.3.3.2. Settling Defendant shall not use advertisements that target minors. Specifically, Settling Defendant will not use models or images of people that appear to be younger than twenty-eight (28) years of age, cartoons, art, fashion, or music that is intended and designed to appeal to people under the legal smoking age in advertisements or promotional materials that appear in California, including on the Internet. Additionally, Settling Defendant will not: (a) advertise in any media that has more than 25% under 18 readership; (b) utilize any form of outdoor advertising within 1,000 feet of any school or playground; (c) advertise using Instagram; and (d) sponsor any athletic, musical or other cultural events unless such events are designated as prohibiting patrons under the age of eighteen (18).

**3.3.4. Prohibition on Health and Safety Claims.** A Settling Defendant opting to participate in Section 3.3 shall not make health and or safety claims unless such claims have been reviewed and approved by the Federal Food and Drug Administration. Examples of prohibited claims include the following:

**3.3.4.1.** Settling Defendant shall not advertise Covered Products as smoking-cessation devices. This prohibition includes any claims or testimonials about quitting smoking, using e-cigarettes as a treatment for tobacco dependence or addiction.

**3.3.4.2.** Settling Defendant shall not make any claim that the Covered Products do not expose users to carcinogens or are better or safer than tobacco.

**3.3.4.3.** Settling Defendant shall not make any claim that the Covered Products produce no second hand smoke.

#### 4. PAYMENTS

**4.1.** Settling Defendant shall initially pay to CEH the total sum of \$37,000, which shall be allocated as follows:

**4.1.1.** \$1,581 as a civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).

<b>4.1.2.</b> \$2,372 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to California Health &
Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use
such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic
chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent
Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's Products to confirm compliance. In
addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four
percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to
educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of
such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.

- **4.1.3.** \$33,047 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. This amount shall be divided into two checks: (1) a check for \$29,347 shall be made payable to Lexington Law Group; and (2) a check for \$3,700 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health.
- **4.1.4.** The payments required under Sections 4.1.1-4.1.3 shall be made in four (4) separate checks, all to be delivered within ten (10) days following the Effective Date. The payments required pursuant to Sections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 shall each be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health. All checks shall be delivered to Mark Todzo at Lexington Law Group at the address set forth in Section 8.1.2.
- **4.1.5.** In the event that Settling Defendant does not complete its Recall and elects not to certify its compliance with one or more of the optional provisions in Section 3.3 in accordance with that Section, within ninety (90) days following the Effective Date, Settling Defendant must make an additional payment for each provision not certified, as follows: (i) \$4,075 if Settling Defendant elects to not participate in Section 3.3.1; (ii) \$4,075 if Settling Defendant elects to not participate in Section 3.3.2; (iii) \$4,075 if Settling Defendant elects to not participate in Section 3.3.3; and (iv) \$4,075 if Settling Defendant elects to not participate in Section 3.3.4. Each of these payments shall be paid in two separate checks, each payable to the Center for Environmental Health, to be allocated as follows:

7

8 9 10

13 14

11

12

16 17

15

18 19

20

21

23

22

24

25 26

27

4.1.5.1. Forty percent (40%) shall constitute a civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by CEH in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment).

4.1.5.2. Sixty percent (60%) shall constitute a payment in lieu of civil penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH will use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals. CEH may also use a portion of such funds to monitor compliance with this Consent Judgment and to purchase and test Settling Defendant's Products to confirm compliance. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect the public from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH website at www.ceh.org/justicefund.

#### 5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of Section 3 above, CEH shall provide Settling Defendant with a Notice of Violation and a copy of any test results or other information which purportedly supports CEH's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer regarding the basis for CEH's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally, including providing Settling Defendant a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, CEH may file its enforcement motion or application. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to its reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

# 

#### 6. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

**6.1.** This Consent Judgment may only be modified by written agreement of CEH and Settling Defendant, or upon motion of CEH or Settling Defendant as provided by law.

### 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 7.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH acting in the public interest and Settling Defendant and Settling Defendant's parents, officers, directors, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, and their respective successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees") and all entities to whom they distribute or sell or have distributed or sold Covered Products including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims alleged in the Complaint in this Action arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that has been or could have been asserted in the public interest against Settling Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees, regarding the failure to warn about exposures to formaldehyde in the Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2. CEH, for itself, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims alleged in the Complaint against Settling Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted regarding the failure to warn about exposure to formaldehyde in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant, and any and all claims related to the Covered Products and known to CEH, in each case prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and the Downstream Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant and Downstream Defendant Releasees, including with respect to any alleged failure to warn about formaldehyde in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

#### 11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

- 11.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of CEH and Settling Defendant with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein.
- 11.2. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between CEH and Settling Defendant except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto.
- 11.3. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein.
- **11.4.** No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby.
- 11.5. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

#### 12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

**12.1.** This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

**13.1.** Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

ON RECYCLED PAPER

. 1	14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS	
2	14.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim	
. 3	against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.	
4	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS	
5	15.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by	
6	means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.	
.7		
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
10	·	
. 11	Cr	
12	Charlie Pizarro	
13	Associate Director	
14		
15	ZOOM E-CIGS LLC	
16		
17		
18	Signature	
19		
20	Printed Name	
21		
22	Title	
23	1100	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
25		
26		
27	Dated:, 2016	
28	Judge of the Superior Court	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-12-	

CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO ZOOM E-CIGS LLC – CASE NO. RG 15-794036

1	14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS	
2	14.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim	
3	against another entity on terms that are different from those contained in this Consent Judgment.	
4	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS	
5	<b>15.1.</b> The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by	
6	means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.	
7		
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
10		
11		
12	Charlie Pizarro Associate Director	
13	Associate Director	
14		
15	ZOOM E-CIGS LLC	
16		
17	Johns	
18	Signature	
19	John R. Long	
20	Printed Name	
21		
22	Vice President & General Counsel	
23	Title	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
25		
26		
27	Dated:, 2016	
28	Judge of the Superior Court	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-12- CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO ZOOM E-CIGS LLC – CASE NO. RG 15-794036	