1 2 3 4 5 6	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Christopher Tuttle, State Bar No. 264545 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.	
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
11		
12	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.,	Case No. CGC16551062
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
14	·	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
15	v. ELECTROLUX NORTH AMERICA, INC.; et	(Treating & Sarety Code & 252-77.0 et seq.)
16	al.,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	·	
26		
27		
28		

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. ("Leeman") and Electrolux Home Care Products, Inc., erroneously sued herein as Electrolux Home Products, Inc. and Electrolux North America, Inc. ("Electrolux"), with Leeman and Electrolux each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Leeman is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Electrolux employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Leeman alleges that Electrolux manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes for sale in California, vacuums with vinyl/PVC hoses that contain di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP") without first providing the exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are vacuums with vinyl/PVC hoses containing DEHP that are manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale in California by Electrolux including, but not limited to, the *Eureka Airspeed Ultra Multicyclonic Vacuum (Hose)*, Model AS4008, UPC#0 23169 13954 1, hereinafter the "Products."

26 /

27 ///

28 //

1.6 Notices of Violation

On December 29, 2015, Leeman served Electrolux and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") alleging that Electrolux violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its customers and consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to DEHP from the Products. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On March 22, 2016, Leeman filed the instant action ("Complaint"), naming Electrolux as a defendant for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

1.8 No Admission

Electrolux denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and it maintains that all of the products that it has sold and distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Electrolux's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Electrolux as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

25 ///

26 ///

27 | ///

28 ///

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Motion for Approval of the Consent Judgment is granted by the Court.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATED PRODUCTS & WARNINGS

2.1 Commitment to Reformulate or Provide Warnings

Commencing on the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, Electrolux agrees to only manufacture, distribute, or offer for sale in or into California: (a) "Reformulated Products", or (b) Products that are sold with a clear and reasonable health hazard warning, pursuant to Section 2.3 below.

2.2 Reformulated Products Defined

For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, "Reformulated Products" shall mean Products containing no more than 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) DEHP in any accessible component (i.e., any component that may be touched during use) when analyzed pursuant to Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or equivalent methodologies utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance.

2.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings Defined

Electrolux agrees that on or before January 1, 2017, all Products it manufactures, imports and/or purchases for sale in California that do not bear a warning pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 252603 et seq., or qualify as Reformulated Products, will bear a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to this Section. Electrolux further agrees that the warning will be prominently placed with such conspicuousness when compared with other words, statements, designs or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use. For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, a clear and reasonable warning for the Products shall consist of a warning affixed to the packaging, label, tag, or directly to a Product sold in California and containing the following statements:

///

///

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including DEHP, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

Or,

WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Or,

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. WASH HANDS AFTER HANDLING.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 **Civil Penalty Payments**

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), in settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Electrolux shall pay \$10,000 in civil penalties. The civil penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the penalty amount remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty amount paid to Plaintiff. Electrolux shall make its civil penalty payment of \$10,000, in two checks for the following amounts made payable to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$7,500; and (b) "Whitney R. Leeman, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$2,500.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, Electrolux expressed a desire to resolve Leeman's fees and costs. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Leeman and her counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual

execution of this Consent Judgment. Electrolux shall issue a check to "The Chanler Group" in the amount of \$36,000, pursuant to the payment procedures in Section 3.3 below, and to the address found in Section 3.4 below.

3.3 Payments Held in Trust

Electrolux shall deliver all payments required by this Consent Judgment to its counsel within four weeks of the date that this agreement is fully executed by the Parties. Electrolux's counsel shall confirm receipt of settlement funds in writing to Leeman's counsel and, thereafter, hold the amounts paid until such time as the Court grants the motion for approval of the Parties' settlement. Within two days of the Effective Date, Electrolux's counsel shall deliver all settlement payments it has held to Leeman's counsel at the address provided in Section 3.4.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

4.1 Leeman's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Leeman, acting on her own behalf and in the public interest, releases Electrolux and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, including but not limited to Electrolux North America, Inc. and Electrolux Home Products, Inc., affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom it directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, resellers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Releasees") for any violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to DEHP from Products sold by Electrolux prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice.

26 | /// 27 | ///

4.2 Leeman's Individual Release of Claims

Leeman, in her individual capacity only and *not* in her representative capacity, also provides a release to Electrolux, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Leeman of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in the Products sold or distributed for sale by Electrolux before the Effective Date.

4.3 Electrolux's Release of Leeman

Electrolux, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties.

6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Electrolux may provide written notice to Leeman of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive

obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgmen shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For Electrolux:

For Leeman:

Andrew Stienecker, Esq. Electrolux North America, Inc. 10200 David Taylor Drive Charlotte, NC 28262

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Rebecca M. Biernat, Esq. Tucker Ellis LLP One Market Plaza, Steuart Tower, Suite 700 San Francisco, CA 94105

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Leeman agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Leeman and Electrolux agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts"

7

shall include, at a minimum, cooperating on the drafting and filing of the necessary moving papers, supporting the motion, and appearing at the hearing before the Court.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and acknowledge that they have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date: 10/21/2016 By: WHITNEY RY LEEMAN, PH.D.	By: CRAIG AMICK, DIRECTOR, COMMERCIAI DEVELOPMENT, ELECTROLUX SMALL APPLIANCES, ELECTROLUX HOME CARE PRODUCTS, INC.