1 2	Lucas Novak (SBN 257484) LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217	
3	Los Angeles, CA 90069	
4	Telephone: (323) 337-9015 Email: lucas.nvk@gmail.com	
5	Attorney for Plaintiff, Isabel Ruggeri	
6		
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
9		
10	ISABEL RUGGERI, an individual,) CASE NO. BC616301
11	Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
12	V.) Judge: Hon. Barbara A. Meiers
13	MIDWEST FASTENER CORPORATION, a corporation, and DOES 1 through 100,	Dept.: 12 Compl. Filed: April 8, 2016
14	inclusive,	Unlimited Jurisdiction
15	Defendants.)
16		
17		
18		
19	///	
20		
21		
22		
24	///	
25 26	///	
26	///	
27		
28	/// ///	
		1
	I and the second	1

1. RECITALS

1.1 The Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff, Isabel Ruggeri ("Plaintiff"), on the one hand, and Defendant, Midwest Fastener Corporation ("Defendant"), on the other hand, with Plaintiff and Defendant each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

Plaintiff is a citizen of the state of California with an interest in protecting the environment, improving human health and the health of ecosystems, and supporting environmentally sound practices, which includes promoting awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and reducing exposure to hazardous substances found in consumer products.

Defendant employs ten (10) or more employees and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California *Health & Safety Code* section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.2 Allegations

Plaintiff alleges that Defendant sells: (1) brass plumbing fittings, including but not limited to brass male elbows #76347 (hereinafter "Brass Fittings"), and (2) metal wire products, including but not limited to 25ft., LotYTG4637, #23552 (hereinafter "Metal Wire"). The Brass Fittings and the Metal Wire shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Covered Products". Plaintiff alleges that Defendant sells the Covered Products in the State of California causing users in California to be exposed to lead and lead compounds without providing a clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65. Lead and lead compounds are listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

On December 8, 2015, Plaintiff provided a 60-Day Notice of Violation to Defendant and the various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged Proposition 65 violations related to the Brass Fittings. On January 28, 2016, Plaintiff provided a 60-Day Notice of Violation to Defendant and the various public enforcement agencies regarding the alleged Proposition 65 violations related to the Metal Wire. The December 8, 2015 60-Day Notice of Violation and the

 January 28, 2016 60-Day Notice of Violation shall hereinafter collectively be referred to as the "Notices".

Plaintiff subsequently filed the instant action in the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, alleging the violations of Proposition 65.

1.3 No Admissions

Defendant denies all allegations in Plaintiff's Notices and Complaint and maintains that the Covered Products have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and that Defendant has not violated Proposition 65. This Agreement shall not be construed as an admission of liability by Defendant but to the contrary as a compromise of claims that are expressly contested and denied. However, nothing in this section shall affect the Parties' obligations, duties, and responsibilities under this Agreement.

1.4 Jurisdiction And Venue

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that the above-entitled Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Los Angeles County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP") § 664.6 and Proposition 65.

1.5 Effective Date

The "Effective Date" shall be the date this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND REFORMULATION</u>

2.1 Reformulation or Warning

Brass Fittings: Defendant shall not distribute or sell any Brass Fittings after the Effective Date directly to California consumers or to any downstream retailer, distributor, or wholesaler that it knows or has reason to believe will sell or offer the Brass Fittings for sale to California consumers unless such product either (a) contains no more than 300 parts per million ("ppm") of lead when analyzed pursuant to Environmental Protection Agency test method 3050B or equivalent, or (b) is distributed or sold with a clear and reasonable warning as described in Section 2.2.

Metal Wire: Defendant shall not distribute or sell any Metal Wire after the Effective Date directly to California consumers or to any downstream retailer, distributor, or wholesaler that it knows or has reason to believe will sell or offer the Metal Wire for sale to California consumers unless such product either (a) contains no more than 100 parts per million ("ppm") of lead when analyzed pursuant to Environmental Protection Agency test method 3050B or equivalent, or (b) is distributed or sold with a clear and reasonable warning as described in Section 2.2.

Distribution or sale "directly" to California consumers as described in Section 2.1 means distribution or sale to California customers without the involvement, participation, or assistance of any downstream distributor, retailer, or wholesaler.

2.2 Clear And Reasonable Warnings

Whenever a clear and reasonable warning is required under Section 2.1, that requirement may be satisfied in any manner that complies with Proposition 65 statutes and regulations. One such manner is to warn in compliance with the following safe harbor requirements. To qualify for the safe harbor all of the following applicable elements shall be met:

2.2.1 Text of Warning

The warning shall state: "WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm."

2.2.2 Method of Transmission

This statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product, on the packaging of the Covered Product, or on a box containing the Covered Product, with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary purchaser prior to sale. A Covered Product that is sold by Defendant online shall also provide the warning message by a clearly marked hyperlink on the product display page, or otherwise prominently displayed to the purchaser before the purchaser completes his or her purchase of the Covered Product(s).

2.2.3 Notification of Warning Requirement

Defendant shall notify all downstream retailers, downstream distributors, and

downstream wholesalers with whom Defendant has a direct contract by first-class or certified mail, email, facsimile, or equivalent means, that any Covered Products that are not reformulated pursuant to Section 2.1 or labeled with a Proposition 65 warning pursuant to this section may not be sold in California stores or online to California consumers without providing a clear and reasonable warning that complies with this section. Such notification by Defendant shall include an instruction that the downstream party provide a notification consistent with this section to all downstream parties with whom it has a direct contract to sell Covered Products to California consumers.

2.3 Compliance with Consent Judgment

Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Defendant shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendant with respect to any alleged failure to warn about lead and lead compounds in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendant after the Effective Date.

2.4 Repeal of Warning Requirement

Should Proposition 65 be repealed, and/or warnings for lead or lead compounds no longer be required, Defendant shall have no further warning obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment.

3. PAYMENTS

3.1 Civil Penalty Pursuant To Proposition 65

In settlement of all causes of action in Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant shall pay a total civil penalty of five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) to be apportioned in accordance with *Health* and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% (\$3,750.00) for State of California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining 25% (\$1,250.00) for Plaintiff.

Payment shall be made in the form of two (2) checks for the civil penalty: (1) a check or money order made payable to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$3,750.00; and (2) a check or money order made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" in the amount of \$1,250.00. Defendant shall remit the payments within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, to:

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

1415

1617

18 19

20

2122

2324

26

25

2728

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

3.2 Reimbursement Of Plaintiff's Fees And Costs

Defendant shall reimburse Plaintiff's reasonable experts' and attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting the instant action, for all work performed through execution of this agreement and entry of this Consent Judgment, in a payment of twenty six thousand dollars (\$26,000.00). Defendant shall issue a check or money order for this payment made payable to "Law Offices of Lucas T. Novak" within five (5) business days of the Effective Date, to:

Lucas T. Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, CA 90069

4. RELEASES

4.1 Plaintiff's Release

Plaintiff, acting in her individual capacity, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and assignees, and in the public interest, in consideration of the promises and monetary payments contained herein, hereby releases Defendant, its parents, subsidiaries, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, successors and assignees, as well as its downstream retailers, downstream distributors, and downstream wholesalers ("Downstream Releasees"), including, without limitation, Menard, Inc., from any and all claims of violation of Proposition 65 asserted in Plaintiff's Notices arising out of or relating to the Covered Products up to the Effective Date.

4.2 Defendant's Release

Defendant, acting on behalf of itself, its parents, subsidiaries, shareholders, directors, members, officers, employees, attorneys, successors and assignees, and on behalf of the Downstream Releasees, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, experts, successors and assignees, for actions or statements made or undertaken, whether in the course of investigating claims or

seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against Defendant in this matter.

4.3 Waiver Of Unknown Claims

Each of the Parties acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of California Civil Code which provides as follows:

"A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor."

Each of the Parties waives and relinquishes any right or benefit it has or may have under Section 1542 of California Civil Code or any similar provision under the statutory or non-statutory law of any other jurisdiction to the full extent that it may lawfully waive all such rights and benefits. The Parties acknowledge that each may subsequently discover facts in addition to, or different from, those that it believes to be true with respect to the claims released herein, and which, if known, would materially affect the Party's decision to enter into this Consent Judgment. The Parties agree that this Consent Judgment and the releases contained herein shall be and remain effective in all respects notwithstanding the discovery of such additional or different facts.

5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties, Plaintiff shall file a noticed Motion for Approval and Entry of Consent Judgment in the above-entitled Court. This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court. It is the intention of the Parties that the Court approve this Consent Judgment, and in furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement in a timely manner, including cooperating on drafting and filing any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

Subsequent to Court approval of this Consent Judgment, should any part or provision of this Consent Judgment, for any reason, be declared by a Court to be invalid, void or

24

25

26

27

28

unenforceable, the remaining portions and provisions shall continue in full force and effect.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

8. <u>NOTICES</u>

All correspondence and notices required to be provided under this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and delivered personally or sent by first class or certified mail addressed as follows:

TO DEFENDANT:

Julia Graeser, Esq.
BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLP
350 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104

TO PLAINTIFF:

Lucas Novak, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LUCAS T. NOVAK 8335 W Sunset Blvd., Suite 217 Los Angeles, California 90069

9. INTEGRATION

This Consent Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and may not be amended or modified except in writing.

10. COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute the same document.

Execution and delivery of this Consent Judgment by e-mail, facsimile, or other electronic means shall constitute legal and binding execution and delivery. Any photocopy of the executed Consent Judgment shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

11. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties. Each Party has read, understood, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Each Party warrants to the other that it is free to enter into this Consent Judgment and not subject to any conflicting obligation that will or might prevent or interfere with the execution or performance of this Consent Judgment by said party.

1	
2	AGREED TO:
3	Date: 4/18/16
4	Printed Name: ANDY DERES
5	By:
6	Authorized Officer of Defendant,
7	Midwest Fastener Corporation
8	
9	AGREED TO:
10	Date: 4/19/16
11	By:
12	Plaintiff, Isabel Ruggeri
13	
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.
15	
16	Dated:
17	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
40	
- 1	