1	XAVIER BECERRA			
2	Attorney General of California HARRISON POLLAK			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General Dennis A. Ragen, State Bar No. 106468			
4	JOHN W. EVERETT, State Bar No. 259481 Deputy Attorneys General			
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101			
	P.O. Box 85266			
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2087	No filing fee pursuant to		
7	Fax: (619) 645-2271 E-mail: John.Everett@doj.ca.gov	Government Code section 6103		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, ex rel. Attorney			
9	General Xavier Becerra			
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
12				
13		1		
14	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	Case No. RG15764860		
15	CALIFORNIA, ex rel. XAVIER BECERRA, Attorney General,			
16	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT		
17	v.	AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT GRASS ADVANTAGE		
18				
	HEALTHFORCE, INC. d/b/a HEALTHFORCE NUTRITIONALS, a	Action Filed: April 2, 2015 Dept: 21		
19	Nevada Corporation; GRASS			
20	ADVANTAGE d/b/a AMAZING GRASS, a California Corporation; and DOES 1-50,	Judge: Hon. Winifred Smith		
21	inclusive,			
22	Defendants.			
23				
24	1. INTRODUCTION.			
25	1.1. Introduction.			
26	Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, ex rel. Xavier Becerra, Attorney General (the			
27	People), and Defendant, Grass Advantage, LLC., a Delaware limited liability corporation doing			
28	business as Amazing Grass (Grass Advantage), by and through their respective representatives			

and counsel, enter into this Stipulation for Entry of [Proposed] Consent Judgment and Order (Consent Judgment). Hereafter, the People and Grass Advantage shall be collectively referred to as "the Parties."

1.2. Plaintiff.

Plaintiff in Case No. RG15764860 is the People. The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. (Proposition 65), at section 25249.7, subdivision (c), provides that actions to enforce Proposition 65 may be brought by the Attorney General in the name of the People of the State of California. California Business and Professions Code section 17206 provides that actions to prohibit unfair and unlawful business practices may also be brought by the Attorney General in the name of the People of the State of California.

1.3 Defendant.

The settling defendant is Grass Advantage with its principal place of business in Newport Beach, California. Grass Advantage engages in the business of selling and distributing "nutritional supplement" products, including the thirteen products referred to as the "Covered Products" in Exhibit A, in California and in other jurisdictions. For the purposes of this Consent Judgment, Grass Advantage agrees that it is a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. (Health & Saf. Code, § 25249.13).

1.4 General Allegations.

1.4.1 In the People's Amended Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief (Complaint), filed on April 2, 2015 in Case No. RG15764860, the People allege that Grass Advantage: violated Proposition 65 by knowingly and intentionally exposing California consumers to lead without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals; violated the "False Advertising Law," Business and Professions Code section 17500 et seq., by making or causing others to make untrue or misleading statements to induce California consumers to purchase and consume its products; and violated the "Unfair Competition Law," Business and

28 || //

Professions Code section 17200 et seq., by engaging in the foregoing activities. The People identified thirteen Grass Advantage products in their First Amended Complaint.

1.4.2 Grass Advantage has asserted several defenses to liability. Grass Advantage contends that under the methodology approved by the Court of Appeal in *Environmental Law Foundation v. Beech-Nut Nutrition Corp.* (2015) 253 Cal. App. 4th 307, all of the Covered Products are below the 0.5 microgram per day regulatory safe harbor warning threshold for lead under section 25249.10, subdivision (c) of Proposition 65, and title 27, section 25805 of the California Code of Regulations. Grass Advantage further contends that all or a substantial portion of lead present in the Covered Products is naturally occurring in the ingredients used in the Covered Products, and does not constitute or count towards an "exposure" under title 27, section 25501 of the California Code of Regulations. Grass Advantage contends, therefore, that none of the Covered Products cause an exposure that requires a warning under Proposition 65. The People dispute these defenses.

2. COVERED PRODUCTS.

A list of the products to be covered by the provisions of this Consent Judgment, and which have been advertised, manufactured, packaged, distributed, marketed, offered for sale or sold by Grass Advantage in California, is attached as Exhibit A. The products in Exhibit A shall be referred to as the "Covered Products." Grass Advantage represents that the subset of Covered Products listed on Exhibit B are no longer being manufactured, distributed, or shipped for sale in California by Grass Advantage or its Affiliates, and are exempt from the obligations set forth in Section 9 of this Consent Judgment, unless Grass Advantage resumes sales, distribution for sales, or shipment for sales in California of (1) those products listed on Exhibit B or (2) products with formulations that are materially the same as the formulations of the Products listed on Exhibit B. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, products shall be considered "materially the same" as a listed Product where the formulations of both products are substantially similar to and/or contain the same Major Ingredients as the products listed on Exhibit B. Grass Advantage may update the list of Covered Products identified in Exhibit A from time to time after the Effective Date to include additional

products by seeking to modify the Consent Judgment following the procedures under Section 17 to this Consent Judgement.

3. AGREEMENT TO SETTLE DISPUTE.

Pursuant to the Stipulation, as a compromise and settlement of the disputed claims, the Parties mutually consent to the entry by the Court of this Consent Judgment. The Parties are each represented by counsel. The Office of the Attorney General represents the People, and Morrison & Foerster LLP represents Grass Advantage. This Consent Judgment was negotiated in good faith and at arms' length by the Parties to further the public interest and to avoid expensive and protracted litigation regarding the violations alleged in the Complaint.

4. NO ADMISSION OR FINDINGS.

Entry into this Consent Judgment does not constitute an admission of law by any of the Parties, nor shall such entry constitute an admission or denial of the factual allegations arising out of the matters alleged in the People's Complaint.

5. JURISDICTION AND VENUE.

The Parties agree that, for purposes of this Consent Judgment, this Court has statewide subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Grass Advantage, and that venue is proper in Alameda County.

6. WAIVER OF HEARING AND TRIAL AND ENTRY OF JUDGMENT.

By signing the Stipulation and consenting to the entry of this Consent Judgment, Grass Advantage waives its right to hearing and a trial on the matters alleged in the Complaint. Grass Advantage agrees not to challenge or object to the entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court unless the People have notified Grass Advantage in writing that (1) the People no longer support entry of the Judgment, or that (2) the People seek to modify the Judgment.

7. APPLICABILITY.

Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, it is the intent of the parties that the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding on the People and, to the extent allowed by law, all other potential plaintiffs authorized to bring actions to enforce the claims resolved by this

4

8. MATTERS COVERED.

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Consent Judgment, and on Grass Advantage and its agents, servants, employees, representatives, successors, and all persons acting in concert or participating with Grass Advantage.

- 8.1. Except as otherwise provided herein, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution and settlement of all claims and causes of action that were or could have been brought against Grass Advantage in regard to the Covered Products in the People's Complaint ("Covered Matters"). Except as otherwise provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to, nor shall it be construed to, preclude the People, or any federal, state, or local agency, department, board, or other government entity, from exercising its authority or rights under any federal, state, or local law, statute, or regulation. Subject to these limitations, in any subsequent action that may be brought by the People based on any claim, violation, or cause of action not covered by this Consent Judgment, Grass Advantage agrees that it will not assert that failing to pursue such claim, violation, or cause of action as part of this action constitutes claim-splitting, laches, waiver, or any other lack of timeliness.
- 8.2. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves, as to Covered Products, any issue or claim, now, in the past, and in the future, concerning compliance by Grass Advantage, its parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, sister companies, affiliates, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees; and distributors, wholesalers, and retailers who sell Covered Products; and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Affiliates"), with the requirements of Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations as to the duty to warn about lead in Covered Products shipped for sale in California.

9. INJUNCTIVE TERMS.

9.1. Sale of Covered Products.

Beginning on the Effective Date (which is defined in Section 21 of this Consent Judgment), Grass Advantage shall be permanently enjoined and restrained, pursuant to Health & Safety Code, section 25249.7, and Business and Professions Code, section 17203, from manufacturing for sale in California, distributing into California, or directly selling to a consumer in California any Covered Product that does not comply with the requirements of this Section 9.

9.2. Lead Monitoring Requirements.

Grass Advantage shall test lots of each Covered Product in accordance with the procedures set forth in Exhibit C to determine whether such lots may be sold in California without a warning as required by Proposition 65. The laboratory used for testing must be qualified and must use appropriate methods as set forth in Exhibit C. Grass Advantage shall retain copies of all test results for a minimum of three (3) years and shall make them available to the Attorney General on written request.

9.3. Warning Language.

For Covered Products that require a warning under Proposition 65, Grass Advantage shall provide the following warning language:

WARNING: This product can expose you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

9.4. Placement of Warning Language.

9.4.1. Sales in Retail Stores.

For Covered Products sold in retail stores that require a warning, the warning shall be permanently affixed to or printed on (at the point of manufacture, prior to shipment to California, or prior to distribution within California) the outside packaging or container of each unit of the Covered Product. The warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices on the packaging or labeling, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase. If the warning is displayed on the product container or labeling, the warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings or notices on the product container or labeling, and the word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. If printed on the labeling itself, the warning shall be contained in the same section of the labeling that states other health or safety warnings or notices concerning the use of the product. Alternatively, the warning may be permanently affixed or prominently printed on any placards, signs, or shelf stickers proximate to the point of display of each Covered Product for sale in California. The warning shall be displayed

proximately to the point of display of each Covered Product with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices proximate to the point of display, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase. The word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print.

9.4.2. Sales through the Internet.

For Covered Products sold by Grass Advantage through the internet that require a warning, the warning shall be prominently displayed on each webpage describing the ingredients or attributes of the Covered Product, or the warning may be provided at the time the customer enters a California address for the shipping address. In all circumstances, the warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices on the webpages, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase. The warning shall be at least the size of the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the webpage, and the word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print. The warning is not prominently displayed if the purchaser must search for it in the general content of the website or otherwise take affirmative action, such as clicking on a hyperlink, to view the warning prior to purchase.

9.4.3. Sales through Printed Catalogs.

For Covered Products sold to California consumers by Grass Advantage through a printed catalog, the warning shall be prominently displayed on a catalog page describing the ingredients or attributes of the Covered Product. In all circumstances, the warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices on the catalog page, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase. The warning shall be at least the size of the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the catalog page, and the word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print.

9.4.4. Sales Not Covered in Paragraphs 9.4.1 - 9.4.3.

For sales and distribution of Covered Products by Grass Advantage not described in paragraphs 9.4.1-9.4.3 above, the warning shall be provided at the point of sale or distribution prior

to purchase by the consumer. The warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase. The warning shall be at least the size of the largest of any other health or safety warnings and the word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print.

9.4.5. Warnings Imposed Pursuant to Consent Judgment.

The warning requirements set forth herein are imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment, and are recognized by the Parties as not being the exclusive methods of providing a warning for the Covered Products pursuant to Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations.

9.5. Audit for Naturally-Occurring Lead.

9.5.1. Definitions.

9.5.1.1. "Independent Food Processing Auditor" shall mean an independent auditor or auditing company, foreign or domestic, that: (i) has extensive knowledge of good manufacturing practices in the food processing industry; (ii) has sufficient experience in inspecting food processing facilities to ensure compliance with good manufacturing practices and with the Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) Food Safety Management Systems; (iii) is qualified as an International HACCP Alliance Lead Instructor, a Safe Quality Food Institute (SQFI) HACCP Lead Auditor or SQFI Consultant, or a Certified Food Scientist by the Institute of Food Technology, or holds a National Environmental Health Association (NEHA) Certified Professional-Food Safety Credential, or has similar qualifications or credentials; and (iv) has submitted a résumé or other summary of qualifications that is satisfactory to the People, in their reasonable discretion. Upon request, the Attorney General will provide Grass Advantage with a non-exclusive list of Independent Food Processing Auditors who have previously submitted their qualifications to the People, whose qualifications are up to date, and who are deemed to meet the criteria set forth in this paragraph

9.5.1.2. "Major Ingredients" shall be any ingredient or combination of ingredients that contribute a significant share of lead in the Covered Product, as set forth in this paragraph. The Independent Food Processing Auditor will conduct a mass balance exercise for each Covered

Product to identify which ingredients, or combination of ingredients, may contribute lead in amounts that would cause the amount of lead in a serving of that Covered Product to exceed 0.5 micrograms; ingredients that have been so identified will be regarded as Major Ingredients for the purposes of this Consent Judgment.

9.5.1.3. "Naturally Occurring" shall have the meaning set forth in Title 27, section 25501 of the California Code of Regulations. Lead in Major Ingredients shall be deemed Naturally Occurring pursuant to the procedures set forth in section 9.5.2 below.

9.5.1.4. "Lowest Level Currently Feasible" shall be defined as the U.S. Food & Drug Administration has, to mean levels achievable through the application of Quality Control Measures, defined as (1) Current Good Manufacturing Practices and Current Good Agricultural Practices as those terms are defined in Article 110 of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations, and (2) any measures for lead reduction as identified through a risk-based analysis consistent with the federal Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) Food Safety Management System.

9.5.2. Naturally Occurring Lead Allowance.

Within 30 days of the Effective Date, Grass Advantage will retain an Independent Food Processing Auditor for the purpose of conducting an audit of the Covered Products ("Audit").

- 9.5.2.1. Within 120 days of the Effective Date, the Auditor shall do the following:
- 9.5.2.1.1. Determine the amount of lead in the Major Ingredients of each Covered Product that is deemed not to be the result of human activity. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, this determination may be made by:
 - Evaluating the range of lead levels that are present in the various commercial sources of the Major Ingredients and determining which reasonably available ingredient sources have the lowest lead levels;
 - 2. Determining which Major Ingredient sources (if any) should be avoided because they have comparatively high lead levels that appear to result from industrial pollution or other human activities that are prevalent in the areas where those ingredients are grown or produced; and

27

28

3. Choosing the available Major Ingredient Sources that have the lowest lead levels, as set forth in (1), and do not include sources that appear to result from human activities as set forth in (2).

9.5.2.1.2. Once the Auditor has determined the concentration of lead in the Major Ingredients that is deemed not to be the result of human activity, and lead from human sources has been subtracted from the amount of total lead in each Major Ingredient consistent with steps 1-3 above, the Auditor shall determine what additional measures, if any, can be feasibly implemented to reduce the remaining lead concentrations in the Major Ingredients in that Covered Product to the Lowest Level Currently Feasible. In selecting such additional measures, the Auditor shall identify and recommend sourcing and feasible lead reduction measures for the Major Ingredients in each Covered Product. The Auditor shall then calculate the amount of naturally occurring lead that is likely to be present in each Major Ingredient once these sourcing and lead reduction measures are implemented. This calculation shall take into account reasonably expected variability between ingredient sources, sampling and analytical variability, and any other sources of uncertainty, availability or variability that the Auditor deems appropriate. This estimated amount shall be referred to as the "Naturally Occurring Lead Allowance" for each Major Ingredient. The Auditor shall also determine the Naturally Occurring Lead Allowance for the Covered Product by calculating the amount of naturally occurring lead that is likely to be present in the daily intake of that Covered Product. This calculation shall take into account (1) the recommended daily serving, if any, on the Covered Product's label; or, (2) if the Covered Product's label does not contain a recommended daily serving, the daily rate of intake calculated pursuant to the provisions of section 25821 of title 27 of the California Code of Regulations.

9.5.2.2. Within six months of the Effective Date, Grass Advantage will provide a report to the Attorney General containing the results of the Audit of the Covered Products ("Audit

Report"), including confirmation by the Independent Food Processing Auditor and a compliance plan prepared by Grass Advantage ("Compliance Plan") that:

- identifies the amount of the Naturally Occurring Lead Allowance identified by the Auditor for each Major Ingredient; and
- 2. for those Covered Products for which warnings are not required by Section 9.6:
 - a. describes the steps Grass Advantage will take to continuously implement the feasible sourcing and Quality Control Measures identified pursuant to the Audit that would materially reduce the levels of lead in the Covered Product, if any, and;
 - b. describes the periodic testing, consistent with Section 9.2, above, that Grass Advantage will conduct to ensure that the lead levels in the Covered Products do not exceed the level at which warnings are required pursuant to Section 9.6.1 of this Consent Judgment.
- 3. for those Covered Products for which warnings are required by Section 9.6:
 - a. indicate which Covered Products it elects to provide warnings; and
 - b. indicate the timing for the implementation of the required warnings.

Except as otherwise set forth herein, Grass Advantage will implement the Compliance Plan as part of its obligation to comply with this Consent Judgment.

9.5.2.3 Within 30 days following delivery of the Audit Report, either Grass Advantage or the Attorney General may object to the Audit Report required by Section 9.5.2.2 and to the Naturally Occurring Lead Allowance determined by the Audit, on the grounds that: (1) the Audit Report is arbitrary or inaccurate in its material terms; (2) the Naturally Occurring Lead Allowance was not derived in accordance with the requirements of this Consent Judgment or Proposition 65; or (3) the daily serving used by the Auditor in calculating the Naturally Occurring Lead Allowance is not consistent with the provisions of section 25821 of title 27 of the California Code of Regulations. Objections must be transmitted to the parties in accordance with Section 12. The parties will meet and confer regarding any such objection, and this processes shall include a meeting attended by the Auditor and representatives of the People and Grass Advantage. During this

process, the parties may stipulate to a Naturally Occurring Lead Allowance different from the Allowance set by the Auditor. If this meet-and-confer processs is unsuccessful, either party may submit the dispute to this Court pursuant to Section 18. In any such proceeding, the burden remains on Grass Advantage to demonstrate that lead in its product is "naturally occurring" consistent with the process set forth above.

9.6. Warnings on Lead Exposures In Accordance With Proposition 65.

Except as otherwise set forth herein, within sixty (60) days following the conclusion of the process outlined in section 9.5, Grass Advantage shall provide warnings consistent with Sections 9.3 - 9.4 of this Consent Judgment as required by Proposition 65, taking into account (i) the 0.5 microgram Maximum Allowable Dose Level for lead, and (ii) the Naturally Occurring Lead Allowances for that Covered Product as determined in accordance with this Consent Judgment.

9.7. Prohibition on Sale of Products with Lead Exposures Above 5.0 Micrograms.

Notwithstanding the provisions above, beginning on the Effective Date, Grass Advantage shall be permanently enjoined and restrained from distributing for sale into California, or directly selling to a consumer, wholesaler, distributor, or retailer in California, any Covered Product which any recommended serving size on the label (whether minimum, average, maximum, or any other recommendation) contains more than 5.0 µg of lead, whether from Naturally Occurring lead or not.

9.8. Advertising.

Grass Advantage shall refrain from making any affirmative statement materially similar to the following statements concerning lead and heavy metals in the Products, which have been removed from Amazing Grass's website: (1) "Amazing Meal does not contain high levels of lead[.]" and (2) Amazing Meal and Amazing Grass Raw Reserve Chocolate "are known to detoxify and actually aid in removing heavy metals from our body." Nothing in this section shall constitute approval of other statements on Amazing Grass's website or shall prevent the People or any other entity from objecting to other statements on Amazing Grass's website posted after entry of this Consent Judgment.

10. MONETARY SETTLEMENT REQUIREMENTS.

10.1. The total settlement amount to be paid by Grass Advantage shall be \$213,167, allocated more specifically as follows:

10.1.1. Civil Penalty.

Within 30 days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay a civil penalty of \$146,000 pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (b). This payment shall be divided in accordance with Health & Safety Code section 25249.12, subdivisions (c) and (d), with \$109,500 (75 percent of the penalty) to be sent to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) to be deposited in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund, and \$36,500 (25 percent of the penalty) to be paid to the People.

10.1.2. Attorneys' Fees and Costs.

Within 10 days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay \$54,000 as reimbursement for the People costs of investigating and prosecuting this action. With 10 days of receiving notice of entry of this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay \$13,166.90 as reimbursement for the Environmental Research Center's cost of investigating and testing the ten products identified in the January 29, 2016 Proposition 65 Notice served on Grass Advantage by ERC.

10.1.3. **Delivery of Payment.**

The Payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be made as follows:

10.1.3.1. Payment to OEHHA.

The payment of \$109,500 to OEHHA, comprising 75 percent of the civil penalty as set forth above, shall be paid by check payable to the "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment," and the check shall bear the notation "Proposition 65 – AG Matter ID SD2015950011." The check shall be sent by certified or express mail to the attention of:

Senior Accounting Officer – MS 19-B Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-0410

A copy of the check and cover letter shall be sent to:

Dennis A. Ragen John W. Everett California Department of Justice 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101

10.1.3.2 Payment to the People.

The payment to the People of \$36,500, comprising 25 percent of the civil penalty and \$54,000 in attorneys' fees and costs incurred by the Office of the Attorney General in investigating and prosecuting this action, shall be paid by check payable to the "California Department of Justice – Litigation Deposit Fund." The check shall bear on its face the Attorney General's internal reference number for this matter, which is SD2015950011. The money paid to the Attorney General's Office pursuant to this paragraph shall be administered by the California Department of Justice and shall be used by the Environment Section of the Public Rights Division of the Attorney General's Office, until all funds are exhausted, for any of the following purposes: (1) implementation of the Attorney General's authority to protect the environment and natural resources of the State pursuant to Government Code section 12600 et seq. and as Chief Law Officer of the State of California pursuant to Article V, section 13 of the California Constitution; (2) enforcement of laws related to environmental protection, including, but not limited to, Chapters 6.5 and 6.95, Division 20, of the California Health & Safety Code; (3) enforcement of the Unfair Competition Law, Business & Professions Code section 17200 et seq. as it relates to protection of the environment and natural resources of the State of California; and (4) other environmental

actions that benefit the State and its citizens as determined by the Attorney General. Such funding may be used for the costs of the Attorney General's investigation, filing fees and other court costs, payment to expert witnesses and technical consultants, purchase of equipment, laboratory analyses, personnel costs, travel costs, and other costs necessary to pursue environmental actions investigated or initiated by the Attorney General for the benefit of the State of California and its citizens. The check shall be sent by certified or express mail to the attention of:

Robert Thomas
Legal Analyst

Robert Thomas Legal Analyst 1515 Clay St., 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

A copy of the check and cover letter shall be sent to:

Dennis A. Ragen John W. Everett California Department of Justice 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101

11. ENFORCEMENT.

10.1.3.3 Payment to ERC.

The payment of \$13,166.90 to ERC shall be made by wire transfer to ERC's escrow account, for which ERC will give Grass Advantage the necessary account and tax identification information.

The People have the exclusive right, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action brought by the People to enforce this Consent Judgment, the People may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. To the extent the failure to comply with the Consent Judgment constitutes a violation of Proposition 65 or other laws, the People shall not be limited to enforcement of this Consent Judgment, but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws.

12. NOTICES.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent to the Parties, or any of them, at the following addresses via certified or express mail:

To the Defendant:

ATTN Legal Department Grass Advantage LLC (dba Amazing Grass) 230 Newport Dr., Ste. 300 Newport Beach, CA 92660

With a copy to:

William F. Tarantino Morrison & Foerster LLP 425 Market St., Suite 3300 San Francisco, CA 94105

To the People:

Dennis A. Ragen John W. Everett California Department of Justice 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101

Courtesy copies of correspondence and notices must also be sent via email.

13. NO WAIVER OF THE RIGHT TO ENFORCE.

The failure of the People to enforce any provision of the Consent Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision, nor in any way affect the validity of the Consent Judgment or the People's enforcement authority. The failure of the People to enforce any such provision in this Consent Judgment shall not preclude them from later enforcing the same or other provisions. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions, or comments by the People or Grass Advantage, or by people or entities acting on behalf of any of them, regarding matters covered in this Consent Judgment, shall be construed to relieve Grass Advantage of its obligations under this Consent Judgment.

27

28

14. GOVERNING LAW AND FUTURE REGULATORY CHANGES.

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and they shall apply within the State of California. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall excuse Grass Advantage from compliance with any more stringent requirements that may be imposed by any applicable law or by changes in the applicable law other than Proposition 65's warning requirement or exemptions thereto. To the extent future statutory and regulatory changes make Grass Advantage's obligations under Proposition 65 more or less stringent than those provided for in this Consent Judgment, either party may (a) stipulate with the other partyto modify Grass Advantage's future obligations and submit such stipulation to this Court for review and approval, or (b) apply to this Court by noticed motion to modify Grass Advantage's obligations.

15. EQUAL AUTHORSHIP.

This Consent Judgment shall be deemed to have been drafted equally by the Parties hereto.

The Parties agree that the rule of construction holding that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall not apply to the interpretation of this Consent Judgment.

16. SEVERABILITY.

If, subsequent to the entry of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a Court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

17. AMENDMENTS TO THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT.

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by (1) the express written agreement or stipulation of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or (2) by an Order of this Court upon a noticed motion by one or more of the Parties. Before filing a motion in this Court for a modification of the Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer with each other to determine whether each will consent to the proposed modification. If a proposed modification is agreed upon, then the Parties will present the modification to the Court by means of a stipulated modification to the Consent Judgment. Grounds for considering modification shall include any that are permitted by law.

18. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION.

The Parties agree that the Court has continuing jurisdiction to interpret and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment and to resolve any disputes that may arise under this Consent Judgment. Should a dispute arise as to the implementation of this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer in an attempt the resolve the dispute. If the meet and confer process proves unsuccessful, any Party may, by noticed motion, request that the Court resolve the dispute.

AUTHORITY TO ENTER STIPULATION.

Each signatory below certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this stipulation, to execute it on behalf of the party represented, and to legally bind that Party in consenting to the entry of the Consent Judgment.

19. COUNTERPARTS.

This stipulation may be executed in several counterpart originals, all of which taken together shall constitute an integrated document.

20. COURT APPROVAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE.

This Consent Judgment shall be submitted to the Court for entry by noticed motion or as otherwise may be required or permitted by law. The Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is entered by the Court. The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date that it is entered by the Court. If the Court does not enter this Consent Judgment in the form and substance proposed, it shall be of no force or effect and may not be used by the Parties, or any other person, for any purpose whatsoever.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	Dated:	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California
2		HARRISON POLLAK
3		Supervising Deputy Attorney General DENNIS A. RAGEN
4		Deputy Attorney General
5		
6		
7		JOHN W. EVERETT Deputy Attorney General
8		Attorneys for the People of the State of California, ex rel. Attorney General
9		Xavier Becerra
10	Dated:	GRASS ADVANTAGE LLC
11		
12	3	
13		1.11
14		BY: Neal Simons
15		TITLE: Secretary
16		
17	Dated: August 8, 2019	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
18		
19		2: 1
20		M in A
21		WILLIAM F. TARANTINO ATTORNEYS FOR GRASS ADVANTAGE LLC.
22		D/B/A AMAZING GRASS
23		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED.	
25		
26		
27	Dated:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
28		

1		
2	EXHIBIT A	
3	Amazing Meal Chocolate Infusion	
4	2. Amazing Grass Raw Reserve Chocolate (aka Amazing Grass Greens & Protein Raw	
5	Reserve - Chocolate)	
	3. Amazing Meal Pomegranate Mango Infusion	
6	4. Amazing Grass Green Superfood Whole Food Nutrition Bar Café Mocha	
7	5. Amazing Grass Green Superfood Whole Food Nutrition Lemon Coconut	
8	6. Amazing Grass Green Superfood Whole Food Nutrition Bar Chocolate	
9	7. Amazing Grass Green Superfood Whole Food Nutrition Bar Chocolate Chip Coconut	
10	8. Amazing Grass Green Superfood Alkalize & Detox	
11	9. Amazing Grass Green Superfood Tangerine Immunity Defense	
12	10. Amazing Grass Organic Wheat Grass	
	11. Amazing Grass Green Superfood Pineapple Multivitamin Lemongrass	
13	12. Amazing Grass Green Superfood Watermelon Energy	
14	13. Amazing Grass Green Superfood Acai-Berry Antioxidant ORAC	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	*	
21		
22		
23	et et	
24		
25		
26		
20		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

EXHIBIT B

- 1. Amazing Meal Chocolate Infusion
- 2. Amazing Grass Raw Reserve Chocolate (aka Amazing Grass Raw Reserve Greens & Protein Chocolate
- 3. Amazing Meal Pomegranate Mango Infusion
- 4. Amazing Grass Green Superfood Whole Food Nutrition Bar Café Mocha
- 5. Amazing Grass Green Superfood Whole Food Nutrition Lemon Coconut
- 6. Amazing Grass Green Superfood Whole Food Nutrition Bar Chocolate
- 7. Amazing Grass Green Superfood Whole Food Nutrition Bar Chocolate Chip Coconut

EXHIBIT C

The testing procedures are as follows:

- 1. Grass Advantage must ensure the homogeneity of the sample taken from each lot of the Covered Product by blending the sample in a food grade blender for at least twenty minutes (hereafter "Blended Ingredients");
- 2. Grass Advantage must randomly select a 15-gram sample of the Blended Ingredients from each lot of the Covered Product and subject the sample to gas chromatographic analysis, or another appropriate analysis to be agreed upon by the Parties, and compare the sample with an existing Covered Product "identity sample" to ensure all ingredients are properly blended and present in the sample in the correct proportions;
- 3. Grass Advantage must randomly select four (4) 15-gram samples from the Blended Ingredients for each lot of the Covered Product. Two of the 15-gram samples will be sent to a qualified, third-party laboratory and tested for lead content in a manner consistent with paragraph 5 below. The other two remaining 15-gram samples will be retained by Grass Advantage or its contract manufacturer for a period of six-months from the date of analysis;
- 4. Grass Advantage shall calculate the average concentration in the test results by use of the arithmetic mean of the test results. If the rate of variability in those test results exceeds the reasonable rate of variability established by the Independent Auditor, Grass Advantage may subject the lot to re-analysis. In the event of such re-analysis, Grass Advantage must randomly select another two or more 15 gram samples from the Covered Product lot and send them to a third-party laboratory to be tested for lead in a manner consistent with paragraph 5. If the results of retesting again exceed the reasonable rate of variability established by the Indepenent Auditor, the lot may

only be sold without a warning if each of the two test results would permit sale without a warning consistent with paragraph 9.6 herein.

- 5. Analytical guidance for Laboratories:
 - a. Analyses must utilize a method that employs ICP-MS. Laboratories must have the capability of controlling lead contamination throughout the analytical process, including sample compositing, sample digestion, and the lead determination steps. In order to meet the analytical objectives, the use of high purity acids will be required as well the use of closed-vessel type sample digestion procedures. The conditions and procedures needed to successfully meet the analyses are described in the FDA Elemental Analysis Manual.
 - http://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/FoodScienceResearch/Laborat oryMethods/UCM377005.pdf
 - https://www.fda.gov/downloads/Food/FoodScienceResearch/Labor atorvMethods/UCM4l400l.pdf
 - b. Particular attention must be given to recovery information offered to attribute accuracy to these analyses. The levels of lead used to fortify products and ingredients for analyte recovery must be in the range of 50-200% of the lead levels found in the product, if the levels oflead in the product are in a quantifiable range. As a measure of accuracy, laboratories are also encouraged to provide recovery information on certified reference materials with lead levels similar to these products or ingredients.
 - Participating laboratories must be accredited, preferably under ISO 17025 to conduct low level lead analyses in foods by ICP-MS.
 - d. The analytical objective for lead and cadmium analysis, i.e., the Limit of Quantification (LOQ), for finished products and for the major ingredients is 0.010 mg/kg.