1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	STEPHEN URE, ESQ. LAW OFFICES OF STEPHEN URE, PC 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92130 Telephone: 619-235-5400 Attorneys for Plaintiff Evelyn Wimberley  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE ST	TATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	
10 11	EVELYN WIMBERLEY, Plaintiff,	Case No. 37-2016-00038137-CU-NP-NC  STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF
12	v.	CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT ESCOGO, LLC
13	THE HOME DEPOT, Inc., et al.	DELETANT ESCOGO, EEC
14	Defendants,	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	48039506.3	

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Parties. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Evelyn Wimberley acting on behalf of the public interest (hereinafter "Wimberley"), and Escogo, LLC (hereinafter "Escogo"), with Wimberley and Escogo collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each of them as a "Party." Wimberley is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Escogo employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.
- 1.2 Allegations and Representations. Wimberley alleges that Escogo has offered for sale in the State of California and has sold in California, charcoal starter fluid that exposes individuals to carbon monoxide and soot, and that such sales have not been accompanied by Proposition 65 warnings. Carbon monoxide and soot are listed under Proposition 65 as chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.
- Escogo and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "Notice"), alleging that Escogo was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the charcoal lighter fluids exposed users in California to carbon monoxide and soot. No public enforcer diligently prosecuted the claims threatened in the Notice within sixty days plus service time relative to the provision of the Notice to them by Wimberley, such that Wimberley filed a complaint in the matter as captioned above on October 31, 2016.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Escogo as to the allegations contained in the complaint filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of San Diego, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged

therein and/or in the Notices.

1.5 Escogo denies the material allegations contained in Wimberley's Notice and Complaint and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Escogo of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Escogo of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Escogo. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Escogo under this Consent Judgment.

### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 **Complaint.** The term "Complaint" shall have the meaning given in Section 1.3.
- **2.2** Covered Product. The term "Covered Product" means Escogo's Smarter Starter Fluid.
- **2.3 Effective Date.** The term "Effective Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a Judgment of the Court.
- 2.4 **Listed Chemicals:** The term "Listed Chemicals" shall mean carbon monoxide and soot.
  - 2.5 **Notice.** The term "Notice" shall have the meaning given in Section 1.3.
- 2.6 **Releasees.** The terms "Defendant Releasees" and "Downstream Defendant Releasees" shall have the meanings given in Section 5.1.
- 2.7 **Execution Date**. The term "Execution Date" shall mean the date this Consent Judgment is signed by the parties

# 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS

3.1 ESCOGO has begun labeling the Covered Product with the warning contained in this section. As part of this settlement, it hereby provides further assurances that through and following the Effective Date, Escogo shall not directly sell, or ship for sale in California any Covered Product, unless the Covered Product is accompanied by the following warning: "WARNING: THIS PRODUCT CONTAINS A CHEMICAL KNOWN TO THE STATE OF

CALIFORNIA TO CAUSE CANCER, BIRTH DEFECTS, OR OTHER REPRODUCTIVE HARM. COMBUSTION PRODUCES CARBON MONOXIDE AND OTHER BY-PRODUCTS THAT ARE KNOWN BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TO CAUSE CANCER, BIRTH DEFECTS, OR REPRODUCTIVE HARM.

3.2 The warning provided pursuant to Section 3.1 shall be affixed to or printed on the Covered Product's packaging, labeling, or instruction booklet. The warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed on the packaging, labeling, or instruction booklet and displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. A warning may be contained in the same section of the packaging, labeling, or instruction booklet that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the product and shall be at least the same size as those other safety warnings.

#### 4. MONETARY TERMS

- 4.1 **Civil Penalty. Escogo** shall pay a civil penalty of \$5,000.00 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Wimberley, as provided by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d).
- 4.2 **Attorney Fees. Escogo** agrees to pay and will not oppose an application made by Wimberley's counsel for an award of attorney fees, inclusive of all expenses and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Escogo's attention, litigating and negotiating and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, in an amount of \$38,000.00 Other than the payment required hereunder, each side is to bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 4.3 Escogo shall wire to the Law Offices of Stephen Ure, PC Trust Account the total sum of \$43,000.00 representing the civil penalty and attorney fees in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 in the following manner following receipt of a fully-executed copy of this Consent Judgment to be

executed by both parties no later than May 2, 2017, and wire instruction information from Wimberley's counsel: May 3, 2017 - \$5,000.00 (civil penalty). June 1, 2017 - \$18,000.00 (attorney fees). July 1, 2017 - \$20,000.00 (attorney fees). If payments are not made in accordance with the agreed schedule the entire agreement will be null and void.

#### 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

- 5.1 This consent judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Wimberley acting in the public interest, and Escogo, it's parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities from whom they obtain and to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees retailers, franchisees, and cooperative members, including but not limited to The Home Depot, Inc., Escogo and its subsidiaries and affiliates ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposure to Listed Chemicals from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice, with respect to any Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Escogo prior to the Effective Date. Compliance with the terms of this consent judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to the Covered Products.
- 5.2 In addition to the foregoing, Wimberley, on behalf of herself, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and <u>not</u> in her representative capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases any Escogo, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, now or in the future, with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to or arising from Covered Products manufactured distributed or sold by Escogo or Defendant Releasees. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph,

Wimberley hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which she now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

5.3 Escogo waives any and all claims against Wimberley, her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Wimberley and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to Covered Products.

#### 6. INTEGRATION

6.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

#### 7. GOVERNING LAW

7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to Covered Products, then Escogo shall provide written notice to Wimberley of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, Covered Products are so affected.

#### 8. NOTICES

8.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i)

1	first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any		
2	party by the other party at the following addresses:		
3	For Escogo:		
4	Marcus Smith		
5	Managing Partner		
6	ESCOGO, LLC 941 Monroe Jersey Rd. Monroe, GA 30655		
7	With copy to:		
8			
9	George W. Dowell, Esq. DOWELL LLP		
10	1153 Lincoln Avenue, Suite C San Jose, California 95125		
11	and		
12	For Wimberley:		
13	Stephen Ure Law Offices of Stephen Ure PC		
14	Law Offices of Stephen Ure, PC. 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, California 92130		
15	San Diego, Camornia 72130		
16	Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to		
17	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.		
18	9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>		
19	9.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of		
20	which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and		
21	the same document.		
22	10. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH &amp; SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)/COURT</u>		
23	APPROVAL		
24	10.1 Wimberley agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health		
25	& Safety Code §25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent		
26	Judgment and Escogo agrees it shall support approval of such Motion.		
27	10.2 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by		
28	the Court and shall be null and void if for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court		

within twelve months after it has been fully executed by the Parties. In such case, the Parties agree to meet and confer on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within 30-days, any monies that have been paid pursuant to Section 4 shall be refunded and the case shall proceed on its normal course.

10.3 If the Court approves this Consent Judgment and is reversed or vacated by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, any monies that have been paid pursuant to Section 4 shall be refunded within 15 days after remittitur to the trial court, and the case shall proceed on its normal course on the trial court's calendar.

### 11. MODIFICATION

11.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by further stipulation of the Parties and the approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by either Party.

## 12. ATTORNEY'S FEES

- 12.1 A party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs unless the unsuccessful party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure Section 2016, et seq.
- 12.2 Nothing in this Section shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

# 13. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 14. **AUTHORIZATION**

14.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of

this document and certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs. 

48039506.3

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
2	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
4	Date: May 2, 2017	Date:, 2017
5		
6	Ву:	By:
7	On Behalf of Evelyn Wimberley Stephen Ure, Law Offices of Stephen Ure, PC	On Behalf of Escogo George Dowell Dowell, LLP
8	Law Offices of Stephen Ofe, PC	Dowell, LLF
9	IT IS HEREBY SO STIPULATED:	
11	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
12	- C/1/17	Date:
13	Date: 5/2//7	
14	By: EVELYN WIMBERLEY	By: Marcus Smith
15		ESCOGO, LLC
16		
17		
18		
19		8
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date :, 2017	Date: May 2, 2017
By:	By: Dury W. Dowlla
On Behalf of Evelyn Wimberley Stephen Ure, Law Offices of Stephen Ure, PC	On Behalf of Escogo George Dowell Dowell, LLP
IT IS HEREBY SO STIPULATED:	
AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date: 1 May 2017
By:EVELYN WIMBERLEY	Marcus Smith
	ESCOGO, LLC