1	David R. Bush, State Bar No. 154511 Jennifer Henry, State Bar No. 208221	
2	Bush & Henry, Attorneys at Law, PC 3270 Mendocino Ave. #2E	
3 4	Santa Rosa, CA 95403 Telephone: (707) 541-6255 Facsimile: (707) 676-4301	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6	Michael DiPirro	
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
9	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
10		
11		
12	MICHAEL DIPIRRO,	Case No. RG16841471
13	Plaintiff,	
14	v.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
15	DELLIVE CORDON ATION 1	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
16	DELUXE CORPORATION, et al.,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Michael DiPirro ("DiPirro"), and DELUXE CORPORATION; ("Defendant" or "Deluxe"), with DiPirro and Defendant individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

DiPirro is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Defendant employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

DiPirro alleges that Defendant manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes for sale in the state of California, checkbook covers containing Diisononyl phthalate ("DINP") without first providing the clear and reasonable exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DINP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to the State of California to cause cancer.

1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are checkbook covers and similar financial products used to cover or hold personal or business checks, containing DINP that are manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California by Defendant, including, but not limited to, *The Traveller*, *Business Size Portable Checks (SKU # 59000N)* ("Products").

1.6 Notice of Violation

On or about April 12, 2016, DiPirro served Defendant and certain requisite public enforcement agencies with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice"), a document that informed the recipients of DiPirro's allegation that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Products expose users to DINP. To the best of the

1

set forth in the Notice.

3

1.7 **Complaint**

4 5

On or about November 28, 2016, DiPirro filed the instant action against Defendant ("Complaint") for the alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

6

7

1.8 No Admission

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

Judgment.

Judgment.

1.9

17

18 19

20

21 22

1.10 **Effective Date**

23

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean June 1, 2017.

Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations

Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and

maintains that all of the products it has manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale in California,

including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent

Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion of law,

issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be

violation of law, the same being specifically denied by Defendant. This section shall not, however,

diminish or otherwise affect Defendant's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has

jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda

County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent

construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or

24

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION OR WARNINGS

25

26

27

28

2.1 **Reformulation Commitment**

Consent to Jurisdiction

In order to waive the second civil penalty under Section 3.2 below, Defendant shall only manufacture for sale or distribute for sale in California, Products that are reformulated

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

("Reformulated Products"). For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Reformulated Products are Products that meet the Reformulation Standards of Section 2.2 below.

2.2 **Reformulation Standard**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Reformulated Products" are defined as Products containing no more than 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) DINP when analyzed pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C, or equivalent methodologies utilized by state or federal agencies for the purpose of determining DINP content in a solid substance.

2.3 **Product Warnings**

Commencing on the Effective Date, for all Products that contain DINP, and are manufactured and shipped for sale in California by Defendant, Defendant shall provide a clear and reasonable warning as set forth below. Each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Each warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which specific Product the warning applies, so as to minimize the risk of consumer confusion. The text of the warning shall be printed in black ink on a light background, in a font that is easy to read and legible, but in no case less than in 10 point font.

For Products that are not Reformulated Products, and are manufactured and shipped for sale in California by Defendant prior to August 1, 2018, Defendant may use one of the following warnings, at Defendant's option. For Products that are not Reformulated Products, that are manufactured and shipped for sale in California by Defendant after July 31, 2018, Defendant shall use the warning language under "Option 2" below, which shall include a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral tringle with a bold black outline as shown below (the symbol may be black on white if the color yellow is otherwise not used on the Product's packaging).

25

///

///

26

27

28

3

OPTION 1:

WARNING: This product contains DINP, a phthalate chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

OPTION 2:



WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including DINP, which are known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

- 3.1 Initial Civil Penalty. Defendant shall pay an initial civil penalty in the amount of \$5,000.00 within two (2) business days of Court approval of this Consent Judgment. The penalty payment will be allocated by DiPirro's counsel in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to DiPirro. The initial penalty payment shall be delivered to the address listed in Section 3.3 below.
- 3.2 Final Civil Penalty. Defendant shall pay a final civil penalty of \$15,000.00 on or before December 1, 2017. The final civil penalty shall be waived in its entirety, however, if, no later than December 1, 2017, an officer of Defendant provides DiPirro with written certification that, as of the date of such certification and continuing into the future, Defendant has met the reformulation standard specified in Section 2 above, such that all Products manufactured for sale in California by Defendant are Reformulated Products. The certification in lieu of a final civil penalty payment provided by this Section is a material term, and time is of the essence. The penalty payment will be allocated by DiPirro's counsel in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to DiPirro. The penalty payment or certification shall be delivered to the address listed in Section 3.3 below.
- 3.3 Payments Held in Trust. Payments shall be delivered to the offices of Bush & Henry, Attorneys at Law, PC, 3270 Mendocino Avenue, Suite 2E, Santa Rosa, CA 95403, within three (3) business days of Court approval of this Consent Judgment, and shall be in the form of three checks for the following amounts made payable to:

- (a) "Bush & Henry, Attorneys at Law" in the amount of \$3,750.00 for payment to OEHHA. Bush & Henry agree to forward such funds to OEHHA in a timely manner. Alternatively, at Defendant's option, it can choose to deliver to the offices of Bush & Henry a certified or cashier's check made payable to "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment."
- (b) "Bush & Henry, Attorneys at Law" in the amount of \$1,250.00 as payment to Michael DiPirro. Bush & Henry agree to forward such funds in a timely manner. Alternatively, at Defendant's option, it can choose to deliver to the offices of Bush & Henry a certified or cashier's check made payable to "Michael DiPirro."
- (c) "Bush & Henry, Attorneys at Law, PC" in the amount of \$50,000, as payment for attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Section 4 below.

For any payment that is returned for insufficient funds, payment must be made by a cashier's check within ten (10) calendar days of notification of insufficient funds, plus a 10% service fee.

Any payment that is not actually received by the due date will also be subject to a 10% fee.

- **3.4 Issuance of 1099 Forms**. Defendant shall provide DiPirro's counsel with a separate 1099 form for each of its payments under this Agreement as follows:
 - (a) "Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment", P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486) for civil penalties paid;
 - (b) "Michael DiPirro," whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request after this Agreement has been fully executed by the Parties for his portion of the civil penalties paid; and
 - (c) "Bush & Henry, Attorneys at Law, PC," for fees and costs reimbursed pursuant to Section 4.

4. <u>REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS</u>

The parties acknowledge that DiPirro and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. The parties then

attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to OEHHA, DiPirro and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement. Defendant shall pay \$50,000 for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Defendant shall issue a separate 1099 for fees and costs (EIN: 81-1257634), shall make the check payable to "Bush & Henry, Attorneys at Law, PC" and shall deliver payment within three (3) business days of Court approval of this Consent Judgment to the address listed in Section 3.3 above.

5. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

5.1 DiPirro's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

DiPirro, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Defendant, its officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions and retailers, from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposures to DINP from the Products, as set forth in the Notice and the Complaint. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DINP from the Products sold by Defendant after the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice.

5.2 DiPirro's Individual Release of Claims

DiPirro, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of DiPirro of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DINP in the Products imported, manufactured, sold or distributed for sale by Defendant in the State of California before the Effective Date.

5.3 Defendant's Release of DiPirro

Defendant, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims that it may have against DiPirro and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made

(or those that could have been taken or made) by DiPirro and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

6. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Defendant may provide written notice to DiPirro of any asserted change in the law, and have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendant from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal toxics control laws. This Consent Judgment shall be interpreted in accordance with the fair meaning of the terms herein, without regard to which Party may have drafted any specific provision.

9. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

27 ///

For Defendant DELUXE CORPORATION: 1 Garth N. Ward, Esq. 2 Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith LLP 701 B Street, Suite 1900 3 San Diego, CA 92101 4 For DiPirro: 5 Bush & Henry, Attorneys at Law, PC 3270 Mendocino Avenue, Suite 2E 6 Santa Rosa, CA 95403 7 Any party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which 8 all notices and other communications shall be sent. 9 10. **COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES** 10 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, and by facsimile or portable 11 document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when 12 taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. 13 11. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES 14 DiPirro agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety 15 Code § 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code 16 § 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement. In furtherance 17 of obtaining such approval, DiPirro and Defendant agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and 18 that of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment, and to obtain 19 judicial approval of the settlement in a timely manner. 20 **MODIFICATION 12.** 21 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and 22 upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion or 23 application of any Party and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court. 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 8

1	13. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>	
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective	
3	Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent	
4	Judgment.	
5	A CREATE TO	
6	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:	
7	Date: 5-25-17 Date: 5 21/17	
8	-70 2 0 57 A D al	
9	By: By: By: By:	
10	Michael DiPirro St. Michael Schools St. Nice President	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
2526		
27		
28		
20	0	