	II .				
1	STEPHEN URE, ESQ. LAW OFFICES OF STEPHEN URE, PC				
3	11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, CA 92130 Telephone: 619-235-5400				
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff Evelyn Wimberley				
5					
6					
7					
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
9	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO				
10	EVELYN WIMBERLEY,	Case No. 37-2017-00004684-CU-NP-NC			
11	Plaintiff,	233 333 1001 60 111 1146			
12	v.	STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO			
13	Salco, Inc. et al.  DEFENDANT SALCO, INC.				
14	Defendants,				
15					
16		2			
17					
18	1. INTRODUCTION				
19	1.1 The Parties. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintif				
20	Evelyn Wimberley acting on behalf of the public interest (hereinafter "Wimberley"), and				
21	Defendant Salco Inc., dba Two Trees Products (erroneously sued as separate entities) (hereinafter				
22	"Salco"), with Wimberley and Salco collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each of them as a				
23	"Party." Wimberley is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of				
24	exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous				
25	substances contained in consumer products. Salco is a person in the course of doing business for				
26	purposes of Proposition 65, Cal. Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq.				
27	1.2 Allegations and Representations. Wimberley alleges that Salco has offered for				
28	sale in the State of California and has sold in California, charcoal that exposes individuals to				

48039506.3

carbon monoxide and soot, and that such sales have not been accompanied by Proposition 65 warnings. Carbon monoxide and soot are listed under Proposition 65 as chemicals known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

- Salco, Smart & Final Stores, LLC, The Kroger Company, Two Trees Products, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) (the "Notice"), alleging that Salco, Smart & Final Stores, LLC., The Kroger Company, and Two Trees Products were in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the Best of the West Mesquite Charcoal exposed users in California to carbon monoxide and soot. No public enforcer diligently prosecuted the claims threatened in the Notice within sixty days plus service time relative to the provision of the Notice to them by Wimberley, such that Wimberley filed a complaint in the matter as captioned above on or about February 7, 2017.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Salco as to the allegations contained in the complaint filed in this matter, that venue is proper in the County of San Diego, and that this Court has jurisdiction to approve, enter, and oversee the enforcement of this Consent Judgment as a full and final binding resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and/or in the Notices.
- Complaint and maintains that it has not violated Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Salco of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Salco of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Salco. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Salco under this Consent Judgment.

individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. A warning may be contained in the same section of the packaging or labeling that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the product and shall be at least the same size as those other safety warnings, but no less than 6 point font.

3.3 For warnings that are not on-product warnings, including but not limited to warnings printed in an instruction booklet for any Covered Product, the following warning shall be used:

WARNING: Combustion (burning) of this product, like other cooking methods, can expose you to carbon monoxide and other substances which are known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects or reproductive harm. Do not use this product indoors or in an enclosed area as this product is intended for outdoor use only. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov

### 4. MONETARY TERMS

- 4.1 Civil Penalty. Salco shall pay a civil penalty of \$1,000.00 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Wimberley, as provided by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d).
- 4.2 Attorney Fees. Salco agrees to pay and will not oppose an application made by Wimberley's counsel for an award of reasonable attorney fees, inclusive of all expenses and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Salco's attention, litigating and negotiating and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, in an amount of \$31,000.00. Salco shall wire Wimberley's counsel the total sum of \$32,000.00 representing the civil penalty and attorney fees in Sections 0 and 0 within 10 days following receipt of a fully-executed copy of this Consent Judgment, and wire instruction information from Wimberley's counsel. Payment shall be wired on the following days: August 25, 2017 \$15,000.00; September 29, 2017 \$8,500.00; and, October 31, 2017 -

4

6

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28 \$8,500.00. If payments are not made in accordance with this section (4.2) the entire agreement will be void.

#### 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

- This consent judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Wimberley 5.1 acting in the public interest, and Salco, its owners, investors employees, directors, officers, managers, attorneys, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, and affiliates, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities from whom they obtain and to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees retailers, franchisees, and cooperative members, including but not limited to Salco, Defendant The Kroger Company, and Defendant Smart & Final Stores, and their respective subsidiaries, affiliates, owners, investors employees, directors, officers, managers, attorneys, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, partners, sister companies, and their successors and assigns ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"). Upon full execution of this Consent Judgement, and subject to payment by Salco of the full settlement amount and compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment, Wimberley, on behalf of herself, her agents, successors, heirs, and assigns, hereby fully and irrevocably releases and discharges Salco, the Defendant Releasees, and the Downstream Defendant Releasees from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 that were, or which could have been, asserted in the Complaint based on exposure to and/or failure to warn about Listed Chemicals from Covered Products as set forth in the Notice, with respect to any Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Salco, the Defendant Releasees, and/or the Downstream Defendant Releasees prior to the Effective Date. Compliance with the terms of this consent judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to the Covered Products.
- In addition to the foregoing, Wimberley, on behalf of herself, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, and successors and/or assignees, and not in her representative capacity, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases any Salco, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees

from any and all manner of actions, causes of action, claims, demands, rights, suits, obligations, debts, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, damages, charges, losses, costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees, of any nature whatsoever, known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, now or in the future, with respect to any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to or arising from Covered Products manufactured distributed or sold by Salco or Defendant Releasees. With respect to the foregoing waivers and releases in this paragraph, Wimberley hereby specifically waives any and all rights and benefits which she now has, or in the future may have, conferred by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR

5.3 Salco waives any and all claims against Wimberley, her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Wimberley and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to Covered Products.

### 6. <u>INTEGRATION</u>

6.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement of the Parties and any and all prior negotiations and understandings related hereto shall be deemed to have been merged within it. No representations or terms of agreement other than those contained herein exist or have been made by any Party with respect to the other Party or the subject matter hereof.

### 7. GOVERNING LAW

7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to Covered Products, then

Salco shall provide written notice to Wimberley of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, Covered Products are so affected.

### 8. ENFORCEMENT

8.1 Wimberley may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Diego County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing any motion or application to enforce the requirements of this Consent Judgment, Wimberley shall provide Salco with a proposed Notice of Violation, and a copy of any documentary evidence which purportedly supports Wimberley's Notice of Violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer in good faith for a period of at least sixty (60) days regarding the basis for Wimberley's anticipated motion or application in an attempt to resolve it informally. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Wimberley may file her enforcement motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

### 9. NOTICES

9.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

For Salco:

Paul H. Burleigh Chad M. Mandell Attorney at Law LECLAIRRYAN 725 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 350 Los Angeles, California 90017

and

For Wimberley:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Stephen Ure Law Offices of Stephen Ure, PC. 11622 El Camino Real, Suite 100 San Diego, California 92130

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

## 10. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

10.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

# 11. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)/COURT APPROVAL</u>

- 11.1 Wimberley agrees to comply with the requirements set forth in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f) and to promptly bring a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Salco agrees it shall support approval of such Motion.
- 11.2 This Consent Judgment shall not be effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within twelve months after it has been fully executed by the Parties. In such case, the Parties agree to meet and confer on how to proceed and if such agreement is not reached within 30-days, any monies that have been paid pursuant to Section 0 shall be refunded and the case shall proceed on its normal course.
- 11.3 If the Court approves this Consent Judgment and is reversed or vacated by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, any monies that have been paid pursuant to Section 0 shall be refunded within 15 days after remittitur to the trial court, and the case shall proceed on its normal course on the trial court's calendar.

### 12. MODIFICATION

- 12.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by express written agreement of the Parties and the approval of the Court or upon the granting of a motion brought to the Court by either Party.
- 12.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

### 13. <u>ATTORNEY'S FEES</u>

- 13.1 A party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees and costs unless the unsuccessful party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure Section 2016, et seq.
- 13.2 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.
- 13.3 Nothing in this Section shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

### 14. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

14.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

### 15. AUTHORIZATION

15.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this document and certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally bind that Party. Except as explicitly provided herein each Party is to bear its own fees and costs.

### APPROVED AS TO FORM:

	AGREEI	1	AGREED TO:		
	Date :	October 12, 2017	Date:		
			11 74		
	By:		By: Whatel		
6	On Behal	f of Evelyn Wimberley	On Behalf of Salco, Inc.		
7	Law Office	ces of Stephen Ure, PC	Chad M. Mandell		
8			Attorneys at Law		
9	i				
10	II IS HER	EBY SO STIPULATED:			
11		AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:		
12	Date:	10/12/2017	Date: 91/24/17		
13	Ву		By: Blugget		
14		LYN WIMBERLEY	Salco, Inc.		
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	20170623				
03 <del>4</del>	20170623 10 CONSENT JUDGMENT				
		CONSEN	LJUDGMENT		