1 2 3 4 5	Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) Peter T. Sato (SBN 238486) Tiffine E. Malamphy (SBN 312239) YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI An Association of Independent Law Corporations 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, 90212 Telephone: (310) 623-1926 Facsimile: (310) 623-1930	
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY OF	LOS ANGELES
10		CASE NO. BC643140
11	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest,	CASE NO. BC043140
12	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]
13	V.	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.
14	ARKO FOODS INTERNATIONAL, INC.	D 4.50
15	DBA ASIAN COMMODITIES COMPANY DBA ARKO FOODS MARKET, a	Dept. 50
	California Corporation; BARRIO FIESTA	Judge: Teresa Beaudet
16	MANUFACTURING CORP., a business entity form unknown; BARRIO FIESTA	Complaint filed: December 08, 2016
17	MANUFACTURING CORPORATION, a	
18	business entity form unknown; BARRIO FIESTA, LLC., a California Limited	
19	Liability Company; SPLASH	
20	CORPORATION, a business entity form unknown; SPLASH HOLDINGS INC., a	
21	business entity form unknown; SPLASH	
22	FOODS CORPORATION, a business entity form unknown; NORTHRIDGE FOODS	
23	INTERNATIONAL, INC., a California	
	Corporation; ANG. HORTALEZA CORPORATION, a business entity form	
24	unknown; TAKAOKAYA USA, INC., a	
25	California Corporation; TAKAOKAYA CO., LTD., a business entity form unknown;	
26	GIANT UNION CO., INC., a California	
27	Corporation; and DOES 1-20; Defendants.	
28	2	
		1

1.

4

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

INTRODUCTION

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff, Consumer 1.1 Advocacy Group, Inc. (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the public, and Defendants Arko Foods International, Inc. DBA Asian Commodities Company DBA Arko Foods Market ("Arko"), Takaokaya USA, Inc. ("Takaokaya"), Takaokaya Co., Ltd., (c "Takaokaya Japan") and Giant Union Co., Inc. ("GU") (collectively "Defendants") with each a Party to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties."

#### 1.2 **Defendant and Products**

- Arko is a California corporation which employs ten or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Arko is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").
- 1.2.2 Takaokaya is a California corporation which employs ten or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Takaokaya is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and subject to the provisions of Proposition 65. Takaokaya Japan is the Japan-based parent company of Takaokaya.
- 1.2.3 GU is a California corporation which employs ten or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, GU is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and subject to the provisions of Proposition 65.
- 1.2.4 Defendants manufacture, causes to be manufactured, sells, or distributes Dried Seaweed and Shrimp Paste

#### 1.3 Chemical of Concern

Lead and lead compounds ("Lead") and cadmium and cadmium compounds ("Cadmium"). which are known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.

#### 1.4 Notices of Violation.

27 28

- On or about June 3, 2016, CAG served Arko, other entities, and various public enforcement agencies with a document titled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("Notice 1") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in Shrimp Paste sold by Arko. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in Notice 1.
- 1.4.2 On or about July 22, 2016, CAG served Defendants and various public enforcement agencies with a document titled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("Notice 2") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead and Cadmium contained in Dried Seaweed sold by Defendants. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in Notice 2.

#### 1.5 Complaints.

- 1.5.1 On December 8, 2016, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC643140, against Defendants. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Lead and Cadmium from the Covered Products.
- 1.5.2 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is to be interpreted to release any claims of the Parties in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC634011.

#### Consent to Jurisdiction 1.6

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a

27

28

full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

#### 1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation of the Complaint, any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, or the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, or of fault, wrongdoing, or liability by any Defendants, its officers, directors, employees, or parent, subsidiary or affiliated corporations, or be offered or admitted as evidence in any administrative or judicial proceeding or litigation in any court, agency, or forum. Furthermore, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding, except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment.

### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means:
  - 2.1.1 Dried Seaweed, which includes but is not limited to, "Tezukuri Edomae Yakinori"; UPC is not provided. The packaging is clear plastic with black and white Japanese characters and images of sushi on the front and back," sold only by Defendants.

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

of Splash Foods Corporation"; UPC: 7 37552 87408 6; "Export Quality"; "Barrio Fiesta®"; "Sautéed Shrimp Paste Regular/Regulier" Net Wt. 250g (8.8oz); "Product of the Philippines"; "Manufactured by Barrio Fiesta Manufacturing Corporation"; "A Quality Product of Splash Foods Corporation"; UPC: 7 37552 87403 9; "Export Quality"; "Barrio Fiesta®"; "Sautéed Shrimp Paste Spicy/Épicé" Net Wt. 250g (8.8oz); "Product of the Philippines"; "Manufactured by Barrio Fiesta Manufacturing Corporation"; "A Quality Product of Splash Foods Corporation"; UPC: 7 37552 87045 3 sold only by Defendants. 2.2

"Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.

2.1.2 Shrimp Paste, which includes but is not limited to, "Export Quality"; "Barrio

Fiesta®"; "Sautéed Shrimp Paste Sweet/Douce" Net Wt. 250g (8.8oz); "Product of the

Philippines"; "Manufactured by Barrio Fiesta Manufacturing Corporation"; "A Quality Product

- "Lead" means lead and lead compounds. 2.3
- 2.4 "Cadmium" means cadmium and cadmium compounds.
- "Notices" means the Notice 1 and Notice 2. 2.5

#### INJUNCTIVE RELIEF & CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS. 3.

After the Effective Date, Defendants shall not sell, offer for sale in California, or 3.1 ship for sale in California any Covered Products unless Defendants have either reformulated the Shrimp Paste to the point where the level of Lead does not exceed more than 40 ppb (parts per billion) and the Dried Seaweed to the point where the level of Lead does not exceed more than 75 ppb and level of Cadmium does not exceed more than 85 ppb, or if the Covered Products exceed the above levels, Defendants may sell, offer for sale in California, or ship for sale in California so long as the Covered Products contain a Proposition 65 compliant warning. Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. The Parties agree that

product labeling stating that:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer or birth defects or other reproductive harm.

shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the Lead and/or Cadmium in the Covered Products distributed and/or sold by the Defendants after the Effective Date.

3.2 Takaokaya will make a good faith effort to source Dried Seaweed from areas with less contamination.

### 4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 **Payment and Due Date**: Defendants shall pay a total of one hundred and thirty thousand dollars and zero cents (\$130,000) in full and complete settlement of all monetary claims by CAG related to the Notices and is due fifteen (15) days after the Effective Date. Payment is divided as follows:
- 4.1.1 **Civil Penalty**: Defendants shall issue two separate checks totaling eleven thousand and four hundred thirty dollars (\$11,430.00) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:
- (a) Defendants will issue one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of eight thousand five hundred and seventy-two dollars and fifty cents (\$8,572.50) representing 75% of the total penalty and Defendants will issue a second check to CAG in the amount of two thousand eight hundred and fifty-seven dollars and fifty cents (\$2,857.50) representing 25% of the total penalty;
- (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued for each of the above payments: Defendants will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amounts of \$8,572.50. Defendants will also issue a 1099 to CAG in the amount of \$2,857.50 and deliver it to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.

26

27

28

Additional Settlement Payments: Defendants shall pay eight thousand 4.1.2 five hundred and seventy dollars (\$8,570.00) as additional settlement payments to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3203(d). CAG will use this payment as follows, seventy percent (70%) for fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals in various products including candies, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retained experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation; twenty percent (20%) for administrative costs incurred during the investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment, CAG's member's time for work done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies and postage; and ten percent (10%) to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees, thereby addressing the same public harm as allegedly in the instant Action. Within 30 days of a request from the Attorney General, CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation demonstrating how the above funds have been spent.

Reimbursement of Attorneys Fees and Costs: Defendants shall pay one hundred and ten thousand dollars (\$110,000.00) payable to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as reimbursement for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

26

27

28

4.2 Other than the payment to OEHHA described above, all payments referenced in paragraphs 4.1.1, 4.1.2, and 4.1.3 above, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered to Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Attn: Mike Gyurics, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B, Sacramento, California 95812.

### 5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

- This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG, on 5.1 behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Defendants and their officers, directors, attorneys, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies, and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees") as to the Covered Products and any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Shrimp Paste ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), for all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to Lead from Shrimp Paste as set forth in the Notices. Defendants' and Defendant Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposure to Lead and/or Cadmium from Covered Products. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Defendants, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant (Defendants, Defendant Releasees or Downstream Defendant Releasees herein Releasees. referred to as "Released Parties")
- 5.2 CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Released Parties arising from any violation of

Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead and/or Cadmium from the Covered Products with the limitations as to Downstream Defendant Releasees as described above. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Lead and/or Cadmium from the Covered Products, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead and/or Cadmium from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead and/or Cadmium from the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to Lead and/or Cadmium from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages against the Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead and/or Cadmium from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

### 6. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

- 6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 90-days notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.
- 6.2 **Notice of Violation.** Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, CAG shall provide a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to Defendants. The NOV shall include for each of the Covered Products: Any notice to Defendants must contain (a) the name of the product, (b) specific dates when the product was sold in California, (c) the store or other place at which the product was available for sale to consumers, and (d) any other evidence or other support for the allegations in the notice.
  - 6.2.1 **Non-Contested NOV.** CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation if, within 60 days of receiving such NOV, Defendants serve a Notice of Election ("NOE") that meets one of the following conditions:
  - (a) The Covered Products were shipped by Defendants for sale in California before the Effective Date, or
  - (b) Since receiving the NOV, Defendants has taken corrective action by either (i) take all steps necessary to bring the sale of the product into compliance under the terms of this Consent Judgment, or (ii) requesting that its customers or stores in California, as applicable, remove the Covered Products identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Products to Defendants or vendor, as applicable, or (iii) refute the information provided in paragraph 6.2.
  - 6.2.2 **Contested NOV.** Defendants may serve a Notice of Election ("NOE") informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within 30 days of receiving the NOV.

- (a) In its election, Defendants may request that the sample(s) Covered Products tested by CAG be subject to confirmatory testing at an EPA-accredited laboratory.
- (b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Covered Products do not contain Lead in excess of the levels allowed in Section 3.1, above, CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 3.1, above, Defendants may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1.
- (c) If Defendants do not withdraw a NOE to contest the NOV, the Parties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 30 days before CAG may seek an order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 6.3 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

# 7. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Defendants waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.
  - 7.2 The Parties will make a good faith effort to finalize this Consent Judgment.
- 7.3 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court, (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

#### 8. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

### 9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

### 10. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

10.1 CAG shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, the parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

## 11. ATTORNEY FEES

11.1 Except as specifically provided in Sections 4.1.3 and 6.3, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs in connection with this action.

### 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

8

12 13

11

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

#### **GOVERNING LAW** 13.

- The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be 13.1 governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of 13.2 California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then any Defendants subject to this Consent Judgment may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendants from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.
- The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this 13.3 Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

#### EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS 14.

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile 14.1 or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

1	15.	NOT	ICES
2		15.1	Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by personal delivery of First
3	Class	Mail.	KI
4			
5		If to C	CAG:
6			DUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W
7		Bever	ly Hills, CA 90212 623-1926
8		If to D	Defendant:
9		Micha	nel Hambly
0		THE I	FOOD LAWYERS
1			Century Park East, Suite 611 ngeles, CA 90067
2			556-0721
3		If to A	arko:
4		Greg (	Ozhekim
5			AW GROUP, INC. Oxnard Street, Suite 500
6			lands Hills, CA 91367
7		(818)	712-9000
8	16.	AUTH	HORITY TO STIPULATE
9		16.1	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
20	by the	party h	e or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of
21	the party represented and legally to bind that party.		
22		, ,	
23			
4			
.5			
6			
27			
8			
10.5%)			14

1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
2	Date: <u>9/27</u> , 2018	Date:	
3	The Horam		
4	Name: Michael Marcus	Name: SUSIE FUNG	
5	Title: Director	Title:	
6	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	CORPORATION DBA ASIAN COMMODITIE	
7		COMPANY DBA ARKO FOODS MARKET	
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
9	Date:, 2018	Date:, 2018	
10		2/. 2	
11	Name:	Name: 4/POCHIKA TOUIDO	
12	Title:	Title: PRESIDENT	
13	TAKAOKAYA CO., LTD	TAKAOKAYA USA, INC.	
14	AGREED TO:		
15	Date:, 2018		
16			
17	N. Seeding of the Control of the Con	X.	
18	Name:		
19	Title: GIANT UNION CO., LTD		
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
21			
22	Date:		
23		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		15	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]		

AGREED TO: Date: 09/27, 2018  Name: Michael Marcal Title: Ornestor CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.  AGREED TO: Date: 10/2, 2018  AGREED TO: Date: 10/2, 2018  Name: Michael Marcal Title: Ornestor CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.  AGREED TO: Date: 10/2, 2018  Name: Moration DBA ASIAN COMMODITIC COMPANY DBA ARKO FOODS MARKET  AGREED TO: Date: 10/2, 2018  Name: Moration DBA ASIAN COMMODITIC COMPANY DBA ARKO FOODS MARKET  AGREED TO: Date: 10/2, 2018  Name: Moration DBA ASIAN COMMODITIC COMPANY DBA ARKO FOODS MARKET  Title: 10/2, 2018  Name: Moration DBA ASIAN COMMODITIC COMPANY DBA ARKO FOODS MARKET  AGREED TO: Date: 10/2, 2018  Name: Moration DBA ASIAN COMMODITIC COMPANY DBA ARKO FOODS MARKET  Title: PRESIDENT TAKAOKAYA USA, INC.  AGREED TO: Date: 2018  Name: Moration DBA ASIAN COMMODITIC COMPANY DBA ARKO FOODS MARKET  Title: PRESIDENT TAKAOKAYA USA, INC.  15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15			
Date: 09/27, 2018  Date: 09/27, 2018  Name: Mikk a el Marca I Title: Up ARKO FOODS INTERNATIONAL CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.  AGREED TO: Date: 10/2, 2018  Name: Worto Takaoka Name: Hiller PRESIDENT Takaokaya Co., LTD  AGREED TO: Date:	,	1	CONTROL CONTRO
Name: Miklard Marca Name: SWALE FUND  Title: Director CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.  Title: Upe Characa Name: SWALE FUND  Title: Upe Characa Name: SWALE FUND  Title: Upe Characa Name: Marca Foods International Componation DBA ASIAN COMMODITI COMPANY DBA ARKO FOODS MARKET  AGREED TO: Date:		Date: 09/27, 2018	Date: SEPT- 27, 2018
Name: Mame: Mamae: Mama		To Man	NUUMU
Title: CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.  Title: CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.  ARKO FOODS INTERNATIONAL. CORPORATION DBA ASIAN COMMODITY COMPANY DBA ARKO FOODS MARKET  AGREED TO: Date: 10 2 2018  Name: WORTO TAKAOKA  Name: HIRTCHIKA TAMAOKA  Title: PRESIDENT TAKAOKAYA CO., LTD  TAKAOKAYA CO., LTD  Totle: CFO  ARKO FOODS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION DBA ASIAN COMMODITY COMPANY DBA ARKO FOODS MARKET  AGREED TO: Date: 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		als / / M	Sign Fulls
CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.  ITTEL: PRESIDENT TAKAOKAYA USA, INC.  AGREED TO: Date:		00	1 Kz
COMPANY DBA ARKO FOODS MARKET  AGREED TO: Date:		CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	ARKO FOODS INTERNATIONAL
Date:	- 1		
Name: WORTO TAKAOKA Name: HIROCHIKA TSWIDD Title: PRESIDENT Title: PRESIDENT TAKAOKAYA CO., LTD TAKAOKAYA CO., LTD  Date:, 2018  Name:  Title: GIANT UNION CO., LTD  IT IS SO ORDERED.  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  15  16  17  18  19  10  11  12  13  14  15  15	8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Name: NoRTO TAKAOKA Name: HIROCHIKA TSUIDO Title: PRESIDENT TAKAOKAYA CO., LTD TAKAOKAYA USA, INC.  AGREED TO: Date:, 2018  Name: GIANT UNION CO., LTD IT IS SO ORDERED.  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	9	Date: 10/2, 2018	Date: 9/27, 2018
Name: Nokto / AKAOKA Name: H/RICHIKA TAMBO Title: PRESIDENT TAKAOKAYA USA, INC.  AGREED TO: Date:, 2018  Name: MRED TO: TAKAOKAYA USA, INC.  AGREED TO: Date:, 2018  Title: GIANT UNION CO., LTD  IT IS SO ORDERED.  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  15  16  17  18  19  10  11  11  12  13  14  15  15	10	1	2/ 2
Title: PRESIDENT Take PRESIDENT Take PRESIDENT TAKAOKAYA CO., LTD TAKAOKAYA USA, INC.  AGREED TO: Date:, 2018  Name: Title: GIANT UNION CO., LTD  IT IS SO ORDERED.  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  Be added to the superior of the superior court  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	1	Name: NORTO TAVANKA	Name: HIPANHINA TIVIII)
TAKAOKAYA CO., LTD TAKAOKAYA USA, INC.  AGREED TO: Date:, 2018  Name: Title: GIANT UNION CO., LTD  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Date: JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  15  16  7  8  16  7  8  17  8  18	2	2 -	
Date:	.3	TAKAOKAYA CO., LTD	TAKAOKAYA USA, INC.
Name: Title: GIANT UNION CO., LTD  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Date:  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  15	4	AGREED TO:	
Name: Title: GIANT UNION CO., LTD  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Date:  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  15  16  7  8		Date:, 2018	
Name: Title: GIANT UNION CO., LTD  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Date:  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  15			
Title: GIANT UNION CO., LTD  IT IS SO ORDERED.  Date:  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  8			€
IT IS SO ORDERED.  Date:  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  15  16  7  8		Title:	
Date:  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  15  16  7  8			
Date:  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		IT IS SO ORDERED.	
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  15		D	
JODGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  5 6 7 8			CIDAL OF THE SIMEDIAN COLUMN
6   7   8	4	J	ODGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
7 8	5		
15	6		
15	7		
	8		16
CONSENT TODOMENT [FROTOSED]		CONSERVE WHO	
THE STATE OF THE S		CORSENT JUDG	CIMENT IT KOT OBEDI

,	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
1	Date: 09/27, 2018	Date: SEPT. 27, 2018
3	Mr. Ma	KANAMA
	11 Many	
5	Name: Maked Marcus	Name: SWSIE FUNG
6	Title: Jive CHOV CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.	Title:
7		CORPORATION DBA ASIAN COMMODITIE COMPANY DBA ARKO FOODS MARKET
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
9	Date:, 2018	Date: 9/27,2018
10		y ) '
11		
12	Name:	Name: HIROCHIKA TOUIDO
13	Title: TAKAOKAYA CO., LTD	Title: PRES/DEX/T
14	AGREED TO:	
15	Date: 10/11, 2018	
16		
17		
18	Name: TAMON	CHY
19	Title: GIANT UNION CO., LTD	
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
21		
22	Date:	
23	JL	JDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		15
	CONCEDED HIDO	MCN/I (BRODOSED)