1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Evan J. Smith, Esquire (SBN 242352) Ryan P. Cardona, Esquire (SBN 302113) BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Telephone: (877) 534-2590 Facsimile: (310) 247-0160 Attorneys for Plaintiff SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
10	ANTHONY FERREIRO,	Case No. RG16829723	
11	Plaintiff,	CONSENT JUDGEMENT	
12	VS.	Judge: Victoria Kolakowski	
13	RAINE, INC.,	Dept.: 23	
14	Defendant.	Hearing Date: December 13, 2016	
15		Hearing Time: _3:00 PM	
16		Reservation #: R-1791596	
17			
18			
19 20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		-11-	
	CONSEN	T JUDGEMENT	

4 5

6

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

28

1. Introduction

- On June 8, 2016, Anthony Ferreiro ("Ferreiro") served Raine, Inc. ("Raine"), The 1.1 Surplus Store, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "Notice of Violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq." (the "Notice"). The Notice provided Raine and such others, including public enforcers, with notice that alleged that Raine was in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 ("Proposition 65"), for failing to warn consumers and customers that ID tags and the lanyards that accompany them, including but not limited to UPC No. 725838025147 ("Product" or "Products"), exposed users in California to the chemical Diisononyl phthalate (DINP). No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.
- On September 2, 2016, Ferreiro filed a Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive 1.2 Relief ("Complaint") in Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG16829723, against Raine alleging violations of Proposition 65.
- Raine is a person under California Health and Safety Code §25249.6 and offered the 1.3 Products for sale within the State of California.
- 1.4 Ferreiro's Complaint alleges, among other things, that Raine sold the Products in California and/or to California citizens, that the Products contains DINP, and that the resulting exposure violated provisions of Proposition 65, by knowingly and intentionally exposing persons to a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals.
- For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has 1.5 jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Raine as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint.
- 1.6 The parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full settlement of disputed claims between the parties as alleged in the Complaint for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. By execution of this Consent Judgment, Raine does not admit any violation of

Proposition 65 and specifically denies that it has committed any such violation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Raine of any fact, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Raine of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy or defense that Raine may have in any other future legal proceeding. However, this paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Raine under this Consent Judgment.

1.7 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date that the Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

2. Injunctive Relief

- 2.1 Commencing ninety (90) days after the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, Raine shall only ship, sell, or offer for sale in California, Reformulated Product pursuant to Section 2.2 or Product that is labeled with a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Section 2.3. Raine and its downstream distributors or retailers shall have no obligation to label Products that entered the stream of commerce prior to the Effective Date or within ninety (90) days after the Effective Date. For purposes of this Settlement Agreement, a "Reformulated Product" is Product that is in compliance with the standard set forth below in section 2.2.
- 2.2 "Reformulated Product" shall mean Product that contains less than or equal to 1,000 parts per million ("ppm") of DINP when analyzed pursuant to CPSC-CH-C1001-09.3 Standard Operating Procedure for Determination of Phthalates method.
- 2.3 Commencing on the Effective Date, Raine shall, for all Products it sells or distributes and that is intended for sale in California and that is not a Reformulated Product, provide clear and reasonable warnings as set forth in subsections 2.3(a) and (b) below. The warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Each warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which specific Product the warning applies, so as to minimize the risk of consumer confusion.

(a) Retail Store Sales

(i) Product Labeling. Raine shall affix a warning to the packaging, labeling or directly on each Product sold in retail outlets in California by Raine or any person selling the Product that states:

[PROPOSITION 65] WARNING:

This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

The bracketed text may, but is not required to, be used.

(b) Mail Order Catalog Warning. In the event that Raine directly sells Product via mail order catalog directly to consumers located in California after the Effective Date that is not a Reformulated Product, Raine shall provide a warning for such Product sold via mail order catalog to such California residents. A warning that is given in a mail order catalog shall be in the same type size or larger than the Product description text within the catalog. The following warning shall be provided on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Product:

[PROPOSITION 65] WARNING:

This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

The bracketed text may, but is not required to, be used. Where it is impracticable to provide the warning on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Product, Raine may utilize a designated symbol to cross reference the applicable warning and shall define the term "designated symbol" with the following language on the inside of the front cover of the catalog or on the same page as any order form for the Product:

[PROPOSITION 65] WARNING: Certain products identified with this symbol ▼and offered for sale in this catalog contain a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

The bracketed text may, but is not required to, be used. The designated symbol must appear on the same page and in close proximity to the display and/or description of the Product. On each page where the designated symbol appears, Raine must provide a header or footer directing the consumer to the warning language and definition of the designated symbol.

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

In the event that Raine sells Product via the (c) **Internet Sales Warning.** internet directly to consumers located in California after the Effective Date that is not a Reformulated Product, Raine shall provide a warning for such Product sold via the internet to such California residents. A warning that is given on the internet shall be in the same type size or larger than the Product description text and shall be given in conjunction with the direct sale of the Product. The warning shall appear either: (a) on the same web page on which the Product is displayed; (b) on the same web page as the order form for the Product; (c) on the same page as the price for the Product; or (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. The following warning shall be provided:

[PROPOSITION 65] WARNING:

This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

The bracketed text may, but is not required to, be used.

3. **Entry of Consent Judgment**

- 3.1 The parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, Ferreiro and Raine waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint and 60-Day Notice.
- In the event that the Attorney General objects or otherwise comments on one or more 3.2 provisions of this Consent Judgment, Ferreiro and Raine agree to take reasonable steps to satisfy such concerns or objections.

4. Matters Covered By This Consent Judgment

This Consent Judgment is 4.1 Plaintiff's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims. a final and binding resolution between Ferreiro, acting on his own behalf, and on behalf of the public and in the public interest, and Defendant Raine, and shall have preclusive effect such that no other person or entity, whether purporting to act in his, her, or its interests or the public interest shall be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any violation of Proposition 65 that was alleged in the Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to the Notice against Raine or its downstream distributors or retailers of the Product including but not limited to The Surplus Store ("Proposition 65 Claims"). As to alleged exposures to DINP in the Product, compliance with the

8

1213

15

14

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

terms of this Consent Judgment by Raine is deemed sufficient to satisfy all obligations concerning compliance by Raine and its downstream distributors or retailers, including but not limited to The Surplus Store with the requirements of Proposition 65 with respect to the Products.

- 4.2 Plaintiff's Release of Additional Claims. As to Ferreiro for and in his individual capacity only, this Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that he shall not be permitted to pursue and/or take any action with respect to any other statutory or common law claim, to the fullest extent that any such claim was or could have been asserted by him against Raine or any and all downstream distributors or retailers of the Products, including but not limited to The Surplus Store, based on their exposure of Ferreiro to DINP in the Products, or their failure to provide a clear and reasonable warning of exposure to Ferreiro as well as any other claim based in whole or in part on the facts alleged in the Complaint and the Notice, whether based on actions committed by Raine or its downstream distributors or retailers of the Products, including but not limited to The Surplus Store ("DINP Exposure Claims").
- 4.3 Waiver of Rights Under Section 1542 of the California Civil Code. As to Ferreiro's public release of Proposition 65 Claims set forth in Section 4.1 ("Public Release") and his individual release of DINP Exposure Claims set forth in Section 4.2 ("Individual Release"), Ferreiro, acting on his own behalf and on behalf of the public with respect to the Public Release and acting in his individual capacity with respect to the Individual Release, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action, and releases all claims against Raine and its downstream distributors or retailers, including but not limited to The Surplus Store (including their parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, assigns, and acquiring entities of any of them, who may use, maintain, distribute or sell the Products) for the Proposition 65 Claims and the DINP Exposure Claims (referred to collectively in this Section as "Claims"). In furtherance of the foregoing, Ferreiro, acting on his own behalf and on behalf of the public with respect to the Public Release and acting in his individual capacity with respect to the Individual Release, waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon him with respect to the Claims by virtue of the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

4.4 Raine's Release of Plaintiff Ferreiro. Raine, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Ferreiro, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Ferreiro and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against Raine in this matter.

5. Enforcement of Judgment

5.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the parties hereto. The parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. In any proceeding brought by either party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of Proposition 65 or this Consent Judgment.

6. Modification of Judgment

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by written agreement of the parties upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon an entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 6.2 Should any court enter final judgment in a case brought by Ferreiro or the People involving the Products that sets forth standards defining when Proposition 65 warnings will or will not be required ("Alternative Standards"), or if the California Attorney General's office otherwise provides written endorsement (i.e., a writing that is circulated by the Attorney General that is not intended for the purpose of soliciting further input or comments) of Alternative Standards applicable to products that are of the same general type and function as the Products and constructed from the

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

27

28

9. Authority to Stipulate

9.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

10. Counterparts

10.1 This Stipulation may be signed in counterparts and shall be binding upon the parties hereto as if all said parties executed the original hereof.

11. Retention of Jurisdiction

11.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.

12. Service on the Attorney General

12.1 Ferreiro shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both parties, on the California Attorney General on behalf of the parties so that the Attorney general may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for Approval. No sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the parties may then submit it to the Court for Approval.

13. Entire Agreement

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all discussions, negotiations, commitment and understandings related thereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

14. Governing Law and Construction

14.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

- 1			
1	15. <u>Court Approval</u>		
2	15.1 If this Consent Judgment is a	not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect,	,
3	and cannot be used in any proceeding for a	any purpose.	
4	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	e.	
5		2 / 1.	
6	Dated: /0/19/16	Dated: 9/30/16	
7	100	04 2	
8	By: Anthon Terrero	By: Je Rec	
9	Anthony Ferreiro	Raine, Inc.	
10	25 41		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGI	ED AND DECREED:	
12		* x	
13	Dated:	Judge of Superior Court	
14	â I		
15	,		
16	8		
17		· ·	
18			
19	e a		
20	: 1		
21	ii ii		
2223			
24			
25	y * *		
26	₩ 9		
27			
28			
-0	8 X 1		
	CONIC	- 11 - ENT JUDGEMENT	
	II CONSI	PHI TODOEMENT	