	1		
1	Fredric Evenson (State Bar No. 198059) ECOLOGY LAW CENTER		
2	P.O. Box 1000		
3	Santa Cruz, California 95061 Telephone: (831) 454-8216		
4	Email: evenson@ecologylaw.com		
5	Christopher Sproul (Bar No. 126398) ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCATES		
6	5135 Anza Street San Francisco, California 94121		
7	Telephone: (415) 533-3376, (510) 847-3467 Facsimile: (415) 358-5695		
8	Email: csproul@enviroadvocates.com		
9	Counsel for Plaintiff, ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION		
10	STIDEDTOD COLIDA OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
12	COUNTY OF SAIN	N FRANCISCO	
13			
14	ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION,	Case No. CGC-16-554012	
15	Plaintiff, v.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
16	SMART LIVING COMPANY, et al.,	AS TO TRADEMARK GLOBAL, LLC	
17			
18	Defendants.		
19			
20	1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>		
21	1.1 On September 1, 2016, the Ecological Rights Foundation ("ERF") acting on behalf		
22	of itself and the general public, filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief		
23	("Complaint") in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-16-554012, against defendant		
24	Trademark Global, LLC, (also referred to herein as "Trademark" or "Defendant"). The Complaint		
25	alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and		
26	Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.6, et seq. (Proposition		
27	65) through the distribution and/or sale of certain		
28			

CONSENT JUDGMENT (TRADEMARK GLOBAL, LLC)

California, such as fire pits, without providing clear and reasonable warnings that use of those products causes exposures to carbon monoxide. Carbon monoxide is a chemical listed by the State of California under Proposition 65 as known to cause reproductive toxicity. The Complaint was based on a June 9, 2016 60-Day Notice letter sent by ERF to Trademark pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)(1), and to the California Attorney General, all District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys with populations exceeding 750,000.

1.2 ERF and Trademark are sometimes hereafter collectively referred to as the "Parties, and individually as a "Party." ERF alleges that wood burning outdoor heating products that are manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant for use in California (hereafter "Covered Products") require a Proposition 65 warning pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6, because they can cause exposures to carbon monoxide. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant is a business that employs more than ten persons, and that manufactures, distributes, and sells Covered Products. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.8, carbon monoxide is a chemical listed by the State of California as known to cause reproductive toxicity. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Trademark, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

#### 1.3 No Admission.

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Notice and Complaint, or that could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts and/or conduct alleged therein. Trademark denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in the Notice and the Complaint, and maintains that it is not a person subject to Proposition 65, nor subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of California, and that all of the products it has manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold, including the Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and are completely safe for their intended use. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, Trademark does not

admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating that it has sold any products in the State of California, or that it has committed any violations of Proposition 65, or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements relating to carbon monoxide from use of Covered Products, such being specifically denied by Trademark. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by Trademark of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor an admission that it is subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of California. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense Trademark may have in this or any other future legal proceeding, including Trademark's position that it is not subject to personal jurisdiction in California. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by Trademark for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in the Action. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Trademark under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.4 Consent to Jurisdiction.

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Trademark stipulates that this Court has jurisdiction over Trademark as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

- 1.5 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties in any other current of future legal proceeding.
- 1.6 The term "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court. The term "Execution Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties.

## 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

2.1 **Warnings:** Covered Products are deemed to comply with Proposition 65 if Trademark provides Proposition 65 warnings as follows on or after the Effective Date:

Trademark shall provide either of the following warning statements:

[California Proposition 65] WARNING: Combustion byproducts produced when using this product include carbon monoxide and other chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Or,

[California Proposition 65] WARNING: This product can expose you to carbon monoxide and other combustion byproducts known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to <a href="https://www.P65Warnings.ca.gov">www.P65Warnings.ca.gov</a>.

Language in brackets is optional. The word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and bold print. Preceding the warning, Trademark may utilize a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow equilateral triangle with a bold black outline at its option.

2.2. The warning statement shall be affixed to or printed on the Covered Product itself, or its packaging, or its labeling. The warning shall also be included in the Covered Product's instruction booklet (if any). The warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices on the Covered Product or its packaging or labeling, and in its instruction booklet, so as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. A warning may be contained in the same section of the instruction booklet that contains other safety warnings concerning the use of the Covered Product. The type size of the warning must be legible, and no smaller than any other warning provided with the Covered Product.

#### 2.3. **Reporting**

No later than 75 days after the Execution Date, Defendant shall provide a certification executed by an officer or director of Defendant to ERF confirming its compliance with the warning requirements of this Section 2 for Covered Products that are manufactured for sale in California after the Effective Date.

#### 3. <u>SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS</u>

#### 3.1 Civil Penalties

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(2), Trademark shall pay \$5,000 in civil penalties. The penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the penalty amount remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty amount paid to Ecological Rights Foundation. Defendant will provide these payments in two checks for the following amounts made payable to: (1) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$3,750, and (2) "Ecological Rights Foundation" in the amount of \$1,250.

#### 3.2. Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Costs

In settlement of all of the claims that are alleged, or could have been alleged, in the Complaint concerning Covered Products, and any claim plaintiff may have to investigative costs, expert fees, attorney's fees, or any other costs of any kind in connection with this matter, Trademark shall pay \$17,500 to the Ecology Law Center.

#### 3.3 Payments

Payments to Ecological Rights Foundation and Ecology Law Center, referred to in paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2 above, shall be sent no later than 10 days after the Effective Date via certified mail, return receipt requested, or by federal express, to the following address:

Fredric Evenson Ecology Law Center P.O. Box 1000 Santa Cruz, CA 95061

14

17 18

1920

2122

23

2425

2627

28

The payment to OEHHA, referred to in paragraph 3.1 above, shall be sent no later than 10 days after the Effective Date via certified mail, return receipt requested, or federal express, to the following address:

Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

#### 4. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 4.1 This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between ERF, acting on behalf of itself and in the public interest as to those matters raised in the 60-Day Notice Letter, and Trademark, of: (i) any violation of Proposition 65 (including but not limited to the claims made in the Complaint); and (ii) any other statutory or common law claim to the fullest extent that any of the foregoing were or could have been asserted by any person or entity against Trademark or its parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, and all of their suppliers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers (including but not limited to Wal-Mart Stores, Inc, and its affiliates and subsidiaries), or any other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who may manufacture, use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products ("Released Entities"), based on its or their actual or alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposures to carbon monoxide from Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold through the Effective Date. As to alleged exposures to carbon monoxide from Covered Products, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning compliance by Trademark and the Released Entities, with the requirements of Proposition 65 with respect to Covered Products, and any alleged resulting exposure.
- 4.2 ERF and Trademark recognize that other claims not know to the Parties may exist concerning the Covered Products. Accordingly, the Parties, on behalf of themselves, their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, provide a mutual general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses,

claims, liabilities and demands of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out the manufacture, distribution, sale or use of the Covered Products manufactured prior to the Effective Date. The Parties acknowledge that they are familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

The Parties hereby expressly waive and relinquish any and all rights and benefits which they may have under, or which may be conferred on them by the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that they may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

4.2 The Parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, Trademark and ERF waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint, as well as any rights of appeal.

## 5. <u>ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT</u>

- 5.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein.
- 5.2 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such Party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of this Consent Judgment. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment or to

### 

## 

#### 

# 

allege a violation thereof shall first attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 6. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

6.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any Party as permitted or provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

#### 7. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

7.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms this Consent Judgment.

#### 8. <u>AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE</u>

8.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that party.

#### 9. <u>SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL</u>

9.1 ERF shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by both Parties, on the California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the Parties may then submit it to the Court for approval. ERF shall have the responsibility of preparing and filing the motion to approve this consent judgment and all supporting papers.

#### 10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

10.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party

4

#### **GOVERNING LAW**

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

#### 11.

The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be 11.1 governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then Trademark may provide written notice to ERF of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

#### 12. **EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS**

13.1 This consent judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (pdf), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

#### 14. **COURT APPROVAL**

If this consent judgment is not approved by the court, it shall be of no force or effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose. further, if this consent judgment is not approved, no term of this consent judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, including the parties' participation in the negotiation and preparation of this consent judgment, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this action, or in any other proceeding, and the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the consent judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

#### 15. **NOTICES**

Any notices or payments due under this Consent Judgment shall be sent by personal delivery, federal express, or Certified Mail.

1			
2	If to Ecological Rights Foundation:	Fredric Evenson Ecology Law Center	
3		P.O. Box 1000 Santa Cruz, CA 95061	
4	The state of the s	builti oraz, oraz oraz	
5	If to Trademark Global, LLC:	Trademark Global, LLC Attn: Jeff Marshall,	
6		7951 West Erie Avenue Lorain, Ohio 44053	
7		With Copy to:	
8		J. Robert Maxwell, Esq. Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 311 California Street, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
	•	San Francisco, California 94104	
10	Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of		
11	address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.		
12	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
13			
14	DATED: MARCH 14, 2017	ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION	
15	,	Ecological Rights Joundation  By. Comput Exec. DIR.	
16			
17		JAMES LAMPORT, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	
18		TRADEMARK GLOBAL, LLC	
19	DATED:	TRADEMARK GEODIE, 220	
20		By:	
21		ITS:	
22			
23	AND DECREED.		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:		
25	DATED:		
26	n	UDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
27			
28			
	CONSENT JUDGMENT (TRADEMARK GLOBAL, LLC)  10		

1			
2	If to Ecological Rights Foundation:	Fredric Evenson Ecology Law Center	
3		P.O. Box 1000	
4		Santa Cruz, CA 95061	
5	If to Trademark Global, LLC:	Trademark Global, LLC Attn: Jeff Marshall,	
6		7951 West Erie Avenue Lorain, Ohio 44053	
7		With Copy to:	
8		J. Robert Maxwell, Esq.	
9		Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 311 California Street, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94104	
10	Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of		
11	address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.		
12	Set day (glasses and a second		
13	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
14	DATED:	ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION	
15			
16		BY:	
17		JAMES LAMPORT, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	
18			
19	DATED: March 14,2017	TRADEMARK GLOBAL, LLC	
20		BY: My M. Muhll	
21		ITS: CAO+CFO	
22		113.	
23			
24	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:		
25	DATED:		
26			
27	JU	DGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
1			
28			