1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Christopher Sproul (Bar No. 126398) ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCATES 5135 Anza Street San Francisco, California 94121 Telephone: (415) 533-3376, (510) 847-3467 Facsimile: (415) 358-5695 Email: csproul@enviroadvocates.com Fredric Evenson (State Bar No. 198059) ECOLOGY LAW CENTER P.O. Box 1000 Santa Cruz, California 95061 Telephone: (831) 454-8216 Email: evenson@ecologylaw.com Counsel for Plaintiff,			
9	ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION			
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
11	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
12				
13	ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION,	CASE NO. CGC-16-554011		
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT		
15	v.	(WOODSTREAM CORPORATION)		
16				
17	JECO, INC., COBRACO MANUFACTURING, INC., WOODSTREAM CORPORATION; and SKY BILLIARDS, INC.,			
18	Defendant.			
19				
20	1. INTRODUCTION			
21	1.1 On September 1, 2016, the Ecological Rights Foundation ("ERF"), acting on			
22	behalf of itself and the general public, initiated this action by filing its Complaint for civil			
23	penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") against (among others) defendant Woodstream			
24	Corporation ("Woodstream" or "Defendant"). On November 17, 2016, ERF voluntarily			
25	dismissed defendant CobraCo Manufacturing, Inc. from the Complaint. The Complaint alleges,			
26	among other things, that Woodstream violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic			
27	Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"),			
28	by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings to residents of California that use of wood-			
	CONSENT JUDGMENT (WOODSTREAM CORPORATION) Case No.: CGC-16-554011			

prominently affixed to or printed on the Covered Product's unit package and instruction booklet and displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. The warning may be contained in the same section of the instruction booklet that contains other safety warnings concerning use of the Covered Product. The type size of the warning must be legible, and no smaller than any other warning provided with the Covered Product. The word "WARNING:" shall be in upper case letters and bold text.

- 2.2 The warning requirements set forth in Section 2.1 above are imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment. The Parties recognize that these are not the exclusive methods of providing a warning under Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations and that they may or may not be appropriate in other circumstances.
- 2.3 If Proposition 65 warnings for carbon monoxide should no longer be required, either by statutory or regulatory amendments or court order, and Woodstream or MAT Holdings desires to modify or cease implementing the warnings required under this Consent Judgment, they may, by stipulation with ERF or noticed motion, seek modification of this Consent Judgment by the Court.

2.4 **Reporting**

No later than 30 days after the Compliance Deadline, MAT Holdings shall provide a report to ERF documenting compliance with Section 2.1. The report shall include photographs of the warning affixed to the unit package and included in the instruction booklet, and confirmation that the warnings are being included with Covered Products that are offered for sale in California.

3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS

3.1 **Civil Penalty**

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), Woodstream shall pay a civil penalty of Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000). The penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the penalty amount paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining 25% of the penalty amount paid to Ecological Rights Foundation.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

Woodstream will provide these payments to ERF's counsel in two checks, as follows: 1) one made payable to "OEHHA" in the amount of Eleven Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$11,250), and 2) the second made payable to "Ecological Rights Foundation" in the amount of Three Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$3,750). ERF shall be responsible for forwarding OEHHA's payment to OEHHA.

3.2 Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Costs

Woodstream shall pay and will not oppose an application made by ERF's counsel for an award of attorney fees, inclusive of all expenses and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Woodstream's attention, litigating, negotiating and obtaining judicial approval of a settlement in the public interest, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, in an amount of Twenty Thousand Dollars (\$20,000). Woodstream shall provide this payment to ERF's counsel by check made payable to "Ecology Law Center." Other than the payment required hereunder, each side is to bear its own attorney's fees and costs (including but not limited to expert and consultant fees, if any).

3.3 **Payments**

All payments required by Sections 3.1 and 3.2 above shall be sent no later than 10 days after the Effective Date via certified mail, return receipt requested, to the following address:

Fredric Evenson Ecology Law Center P.O. Box 1000 Santa Cruz, CA 95061

4. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERF acting in the public interest, and Woodstream, MAT Holdings, Inc.(which has purchased the product line including the Covered Products from Woodstream), and each of their respective parent companies, officers, directors, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, sister companies, affiliates, employees, agents, and their respective successors and assigns (hereinafter, collectively, "Defendant Releasees"), and all persons and entities from whom they obtain and/or to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited

1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	

to manufacturers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, customers, licensors, licensees, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and all other entities in the distribution chain down to the consumers of any Covered Products, and their respective successors and assigns (collectively, "Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of all claims for alleged violation of Proposition 65 as described in the Notice Letter that have been or could have been asserted in this action regarding any alleged exposure to carbon monoxide in or from use of any Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by or on behalf of Woodstream or MAT Holdings prior to the Compliance Deadline (hereinafter, the "Released Claims"). ERF, acting in the public interest, releases, waives and forever discharges Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees from the Released Claims. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with regard to any alleged exposure to carbon monoxide in or from use of the Covered Products.

4.2 ERF and Woodstream recognize that other claims not known to the Parties may exist concerning the Covered Products. Accordingly, the Parties, on behalf of themselves and each of their respective past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, provide each other and Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees with a mutual general release, which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, and as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, fixed or contingent, suspected or unsuspected, now or in the future, arising out of any alleged failure to warn about carbon monoxide exposures from the use of Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by or on behalf of Woodstream or MAT Holdings prior to the Compliance Deadline. The Parties acknowledge that they are familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

The Parties hereby expressly waive and relinquish any and all rights and benefits which they may have under, or which may be conferred on them by, the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that they may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the matters released in Sections 4.1 and 4.2. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

5. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 The parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, Woodstream and ERF waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

6. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

- 6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such Party may seek to recover from the other Party whatever penalties, fees, costs or injunctive remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of Proposition 65 or this Consent Judgment.

7. MODIFICATION AND CONSTRUCTION OF JUDGMENT

- 7.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 7.2 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the Parties' respective counsel, and each Party has had the opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. In any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent

1	110
2	ot
3	he
4	de
5	12
6	
7	go
8	pr
9	13
10	
11	fo
12	14
13	
14	pe
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Г
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	_

negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

12. GOVERNING LAW

12.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

13.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by portable document format (.pdf) signature, which taken together shall constitute one document.

14. NOTICES

14.1 Any notices or payments due under this Consent Judgment shall be sent by personal delivery, overnight courier, or certified mail, return receipt requested, to the following:

If to Ecological Rights Foundation:

Fredric Evenson Ecology Law Center P.O. Box 1000

Santa Cruz, CA 95061

If to Woodstream Corporation:

Andrew Church

EVP & Chief Financial Officer Woodstream Corporation 69 N. Locust Street Lititz, PA 17543

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Date: 4/20 , 2017	Date: 4,711 19,2017
By:	By:
On Behalf of Ecological Rights Foundation	On Behalf of Woodstream Corporation
Fredric Evenson ECOLOGY LAW CENTER	Thomas M. Donnelly JONES DAY

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	DATED: APRIL 19, 2017	ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION
3		Ecological Rights foundation
4		Ecological Rights Foundation Ecological Rights Foundation BY: James James Laure F. Exec. Die.
5		James Lamport, Executive Director
6		
7	DATED: 4/19/17	WOODSTREAM CORPORATION
8		
9		BY: Wughn Chush
10		
11		ITS: EVP & CFO
12		
13		
14	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDG	ED AND DECREED.
15		LED AND DECKEED.
16	DATED;	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CONSENT WIDGMEN	TOWOODSTREAM CORPORATIONS