

1 Fredric Evenson (State Bar No. 198059)  
2 ECOLOGY LAW CENTER  
3 P.O. Box 1000  
4 Santa Cruz, California 95061  
Telephone: (831) 454-8216  
Email: evenson@ecologylaw.com

5 Christopher Sproul (Bar No. 126398)  
6 ENVIRONMENTAL ADVOCATES  
7 5135 Anza Street  
8 San Francisco, California 94121  
Telephone: (415) 533-3376, (510) 847-3467  
Facsimile: (415) 358-5695  
Email: csproul@enviroadvocates.com

9 Counsel for Plaintiff,  
10 ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION

11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
12 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

13 ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION,

Case No. CGC-16-555370

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

16 SEARS, ROEBUCK AND CO., KMART  
17 CORPORATION,

18 Defendants.

19 **1. INTRODUCTION**

20 1.1 On November 15, 2016, the Ecological Rights Foundation (“ERF”) acting on  
21 behalf of itself and the general public, filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief  
22 (“Complaint”) in San Francisco Superior Court, Case No. CGC-16-555370, against defendant  
23 Kmart Corporation (“Defendant” or “Kmart”). The Complaint alleges, among other things, that  
24 Defendant violated provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986,  
25 Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5, *et seq.* (Proposition 65) by failing to give clear and  
26 reasonable warnings to those residents of California who use wood-burning outdoor heating  
27 products, such as wood-burning fire pits, fire rings, fire tables, and chimeneas that are marketed  
28 and/or distributed under a trademark owned by Kmart (“Covered Products”), that use of those

1 products causes exposures to carbon monoxide. Carbon monoxide is a chemical known to the  
2 State of California to cause reproductive toxicity. The Complaint was based upon a 60-Day  
3 Notice letter, sent by ERF on June 9, 2016 to Defendant, the California Attorney General, all  
4 District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys with populations exceeding 750,000.

5 1.2 Defendant is a business that employs more than ten persons, and that markets  
6 and/or sells Covered Products in California. The combustion of wood creates significant amounts  
7 of carbon monoxide to be released into the air, causing inhalation exposures to those using or  
8 standing near the Covered Products when they are in use. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code  
9 Section 25249.8, carbon monoxide is a chemical known to the State of California to cause  
10 reproductive toxicity. ERF alleges that Covered Products that are marketed and/or sold by  
11 Defendant for use in California require a warning under Proposition 65, pursuant to Health and  
12 Safety Code Section 25249.6. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Kmart and ERF  
13 (collectively "Parties") stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations  
14 contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendant, that venue is proper in the  
15 County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a  
16 full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint.

17 1.3 This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties  
18 enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims  
19 between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent  
20 Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Kmart of any fact, finding, conclusion of law,  
21 issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or  
22 be construed as an admission by Kmart of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or  
23 violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Kmart's  
24 obligations, responsibilities, or duties under this Consent Judgment.

25 1.4 The term "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the  
26 Court. The term "Compliance Deadline" means the date sixty (60) days after the Effective Date.

1    **2.    INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

2            **2.1    Warnings**

3            A Covered Product that is (1) manufactured on or after the Compliance Deadline on  
4    behalf of Kmart under a trademark owned by Kmart, and (2) offered for sale in California by  
5    Kmart shall include one of the following warning statements:

6            **WARNING:** Combustion byproducts produced when using this product include carbon  
7            monoxide, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other  
8            reproductive harm.

9            Or,

10           **WARNING:** Combustion byproducts produced when using this product include carbon  
11           monoxide and other chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth  
12           defects or other reproductive harm.

13    The warning statements shall be affixed to or printed on the Covered Product or its packaging  
14    and in the Covered Product’s instruction booklet. The warning shall be prominently affixed to or  
15    printed on the Covered Product or its packaging, and in its instruction booklet, and displayed  
16    with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to  
17    render the warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary  
18    conditions of purchase or use. The type size of the warning must be in a type size no smaller  
19    than the largest type size used for other consumer information on the product. The word  
20    “**WARNING:**” shall be in upper case letters and bold text.

21           **2.2    Reporting**

22            No later than 75 days after the Execution Date, Defendants shall provide a report to ERF  
23    documenting compliance with paragraph 2.1. The report shall include photographs of the  
24    warning posting and documentation that the warnings are being included with Covered Products  
25    that are offered for sale in California.

26            The warning requirements set forth in this Section 2.1 are imposed pursuant to  
27    the terms of this Consent Judgment. The Parties recognize that these are not the exclusive  
28    methods of providing a warning under Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations and that

1 they may or may not be appropriate in other circumstances.

2 **3. SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS**

3 **3.1 Civil Penalties and Payments In Lieu of Penalties**

4 Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(2), Defendant shall pay \$5,000 in  
5 civil penalties. The penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health and  
6 Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the penalty amount remitted to the  
7 California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (“OEHHA”) and the remaining  
8 25% of the penalty amount paid to Ecological Rights Foundation. Defendant will provide these  
9 payments in two checks for the following amounts made payable to: 1) “OEHHA” in the amount  
10 of \$3,750, and 2) “Ecological Rights Foundation” in the amount of \$1,250.

11 **3.2 Attorneys’ Fees and Litigation Costs**

12 In settlement of all of the claims that are alleged, or could have been alleged, in the Complaint  
13 concerning Covered Products, Defendant shall pay \$22,500 to the Ecology Law Center to cover  
14 Plaintiff’s attorneys’ fees and costs.

15 **3.3 Payments**

16 All payments shall be sent no later than 10 days after the Effective Date via USPS  
17 certified mail, return receipt requested, to the following addresses. All payments to Ecological  
18 Rights Foundation and Ecology Law Center shall be delivered to:

19 Fredric Evenson  
20 Ecology Law Center  
21 P.O. Box 1000  
22 Santa Cruz, CA 95061

23 The payment to OEHHA shall be delivered to:

24 Mike Gyurics  
25 Fiscal Operations Branch Chief  
26 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment  
27 P.O. Box 4010  
28 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

29 **4. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT**

30 This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between ERF, acting on behalf  
31 of itself and (as to those matters raised in the 60-Day Notice Letter) the general public, and

1 Defendant of: (i) any violation of Proposition 65 (including but not limited to the claims made in  
2 the Complaint); and (ii) any other statutory or common law claim to the fullest extent that any of  
3 the foregoing described in (i) or (ii) were or could have been asserted by any person or entity  
4 against Defendants or their parents, subsidiaries or affiliates, including but not limited to Sears,  
5 Roebuck and Co., and all of their suppliers, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, or any  
6 other person in the course of doing business, and the successors and assigns of any of them, who  
7 may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products (“Released Entities”), based on its or their  
8 failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposures to carbon monoxide from Covered  
9 Products. As to alleged exposures to carbon monoxide from Covered Products, compliance with  
10 the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the future, concerning  
11 compliance by Defendant and the Released Entities, with the requirements of Proposition 65  
12 with respect to Covered Products, and any alleged resulting exposure.

13 **5. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT**

14 5.1 The Parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment.  
15 Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, Defendant and ERF waive their respective rights to a  
16 hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

17 Within 15 days of ERF’s receipt of Kmart’s payment of the civil penalty and attorneys’  
18 fee reimbursement required by Sections 3.1 and 3.2, ERF agrees to file a request for a dismissal  
19 with prejudice as to defendant Sears Roebuck and Co.

20 **6. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT**

21 6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties  
22 hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of  
23 San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions  
24 contained herein.

25 6.2 In any proceeding brought by either party to enforce this Consent Judgment, such  
26 party may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any  
27 violation of Proposition 65 or this Consent Judgment.

1    **7.    MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT**

2           7.1    This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the  
3 Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of  
4 any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

5    **8.    TERMINATION AND RETENTION OF JURISDICTION**

6           8.1    This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the  
7 terms this Consent Judgment.

8    **9.    AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE**

9           9.1    Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized  
10 by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf  
11 of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

12   **10.   SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL**

13           10.1   ERF shall serve a copy of this Consent Judgment, signed by the Parties, on the  
14 California Attorney General on behalf of the Parties so that the Attorney General may review  
15 this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty five  
16 (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent  
17 Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of  
18 this Consent Judgment, the Parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

19   **11.   ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

20           11.1   This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding  
21 of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions,  
22 negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or  
23 otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party  
24 hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be  
25 deemed to exist or to bind the Parties.

1 **12. GOVERNING LAW**

2 12.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be  
3 governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law  
4 provisions of California law.

5 **13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS**

6 13.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts which taken together  
7 shall be deemed to constitute one document.

8 **14. COURT APPROVAL**

9 14.1 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or  
10 effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.

11 **15. NOTICES**

12 15.1 Any notices or payments due under this Consent Judgment shall be sent by  
13 personal delivery or Certified Mail.

14  
15 If to Ecological Rights Foundation: Fredric Evenson  
16 Ecology Law Center  
17 P.O. Box 1000  
Santa Cruz, CA 95061

18 If to Kmart Corporation Attn: Legal Department  
19 Kmart Corporation  
20 3333 Beverly Road  
Hoffman Estates, IL 60179

21 With a copy to:  
22 Michael Steel  
23 Morrison & Foerster LLP  
24 425 Market Street  
25 32nd Floor  
26 San Francisco, CA 94105  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION

BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
JAMES LAMPORT, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

DATED: 4/2/12

KMART CORPORATION

BY: [Signature]

ITS: D.a.c. & ASST SECY

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT