1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S'	ΓΑΤΕ OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
10				
11				
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Case No. RG 16-829822		
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO MAYA KAIMAL FINE INDIAN		
14	v.	FOODS, LLC		
15	ACH FOOD COMPANIES, INC., et al.,			
16	Defendants.			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21	1. INTRODUCTION			
22	1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center For Environmental Health			
23	("CEH"), a California non-profit corporation, and Maya Kaimal Fine Indian Foods, LLC			
24	("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent			
25	Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the			
26	operative complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned matter. This Consent Judgment covers			
27				
28	- 1 -			

the lead content of Indian pastes and sauces containing ginger ("Covered Products") that are sold, distributed or offered for sale by Settling Defendant in the State of California.

- 1.2 On June 14, 2016, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000 and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to lead and lead compounds ("Lead") contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California.
- 1.4 On September 2, 2016, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter, naming Settling Defendant as a defendant in the action.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 Reformulation of Covered Products. As of the date of entry of this Consent
Judgment by the Court (the "Effective Date"), Settling Defendant shall not purchase,
manufacture, ship, sell or offer for sale Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale in
California that contain a concentration of more than fourteen (14) parts per billion ("ppb") Lead
by weight (the "Reformulation Level"), such concentration to be determined by use of a test
performed by an accredited laboratory using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP
MS) equipment with a level of detection of no greater than ten (10) ppb that meets standard
laboratory QA/QC requirements.

2.2 Good Faith Commitment to Pursue Further Lead Reduction. Settling

Defendant shall continue to take, or cause to be taken, good faith and commercially reasonable efforts to further reduce the Lead content of its Covered Products with a goal of Covered

Products having a consistent Lead content of ten (10) ppb or less. These efforts shall include, at a minimum, efforts to further adjust recipes and formulas that will reduce Lead content in Covered

Products and attempts to secure Covered Product ingredients such as ginger with lower Lead content. Within fifteen (15) days of the Effective Date, and annually thereafter for two more years, Settling Defendant shall submit to CEH a written report of the activities it has undertaken to effectuate its good faith commitment under this paragraph. If Settling Defendant has test results demonstrating to CEH's reasonable satisfaction that all of its Covered Products have a consistent Lead content of ten (10) ppb or less and it provides such documentation to CEH, or if CEH and Settling Defendant otherwise agree in writing, then Settling Defendant need not submit any subsequent annual reports to CEH pursuant to this paragraph.

3. ENFORCEMENT

3.1 **General Enforcement Provisions**. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 2.1 by Settling Defendant shall be

brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 3, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 3.2.4 if applicable.

3.2 Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.

3.2.1 <u>Notice of Violation</u>. In the event that CEH identifies a Covered Product that was manufactured after March 1, 2017 (as determined by the sell by date) for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product has a Lead level exceeding the Reformulation Level, CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section.

3.2.2 Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.

A Notice of Violation issued pursuant to Section 3.2.1 shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 7.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be served within sixty (60) days of the date the Covered Products at issue were purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 3.2.2.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period.

The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the alleged violation was observed; (b) the location at which the Covered Products were offered for sale; (c) a description of the Covered Products giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and if available information that identifies the product lot; and (d) all test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Products and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Products.

3.2.3 <u>Notice of Election of Response</u>. No more than thirty (30) days after effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of

Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation.

If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all then-available documentary evidence regarding the alleged violation, including all test data, if any is available, and any information regarding the date that Settling Defendant purchased, manufactured, shipped, sold or offered for sale in California the Covered Product that is the subject of the Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation, it shall notify the other party and promptly provide all such data or information to the party.

If Settling Defendant provides CEH with information establishing that Settling Defendant purchased, manufactured, shipped, sold or offered for sale in California the Covered Product that is the subject of the Notice of Violation prior to the Effective Date, CEH shall withdraw the Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 3.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation.

3.2.4 Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 in addition to any payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 3.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 3.1. In any such proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees or other remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

1112

14

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED

3.2.5 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.

Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Products having the same lot number as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products") will not be thereafter sold or offered for sale to California consumers, that the Noticed Covered Products are removed from the California market and that Setting Defendant has sent instructions to any of its stores and/or customers that offer the Noticed Covered Products for sale to cease offering the Noticed Covered Products for sale to California consumers and to either return all such Noticed Covered Products to Settling Defendant for destruction, or to directly destroy such Noticed Covered Products. Settling Defendant shall keep and make available to CEH for inspection and copying records of any correspondence regarding the market withdrawal and destruction of the Noticed Covered Products. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product. If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third or fourth Notice of Violation received by

Settling Defendant under Section 3.2.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$10,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation under Section 3.2.1 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$20,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data for the Covered Product that: (i) was conducted in the year prior to the date CEH purchased the Covered Product that is the subject of the Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on the Covered Product that was the subject

of the Notice of Violation; and (iii) demonstrates Lead levels below the Reformulation Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by fifty percent (50%).

- 3.2.6 <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 3.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and which shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.
- 3.3 **Repeat Violations.** If Settling Defendant has received four (4) or more Notices of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any twelve (12) month period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on measures that Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future violations.

4. PAYMENTS

- 4.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$50,000 as a settlement payment which shall be allocated and paid as further set forth in this Section and on Exhibit A.
- 4.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in two payments each consisting of five separate checks in the amounts specified on Exhibit A and delivered as set forth below and on Exhibit A. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:

2	§25249.7(b) in the amounts and on due dates set forth on Exhibit A. The civil penalty payment
3	shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75%
4	to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")).
5	Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payments set forth on Exhibit A shall be
6	made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. These
7	payments shall be delivered as follows:
8	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
9	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
10	P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B
11	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
12	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics
13	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
14	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814
15	
16	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payments set forth on Exhibit A shall be made payable to
17	the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-
18	3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street,
19	San Francisco, CA 94117.
20	4.2.2 Defendant shall pay an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH
21	pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, §
22	3204 in the amounts and on due dates set forth on Exhibit A. CEH intends to place these funds in
23	CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and use them to support CEH programs and activities that seek to
24	educate the public about lead and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry
25	and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to lead and other toxic chemicals in food, and to
26	thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to lead and other toxic chemicals
27	in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that

4.2.1 Defendant shall pay a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code

28

1

-8-

ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any request from the Attorney General. The payments pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

4.2.3 Defendant shall pay a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in the amounts and on due dates set forth on Exhibit A. Each of the attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement payments shall be made in separate checks: the first payable to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981; and the second payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

6.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 4 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant distributes or sells Covered Products, such as distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees, including Defendant Sunflower Farmers Markets,

1	LLC ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to			
2	warn about alleged exposure to Lead contained in Covered Products that were sold, distributed or			
3	offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.			
4	6.2 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section			
5	4 hereof, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives and forever			
6	discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream			
7	Defendant Releasees, including Defendant Sunflower Farmers Markets, LLC, arising from any			
8	violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could			
9	have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn			
10	about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or			
11	sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.			
12	6.3 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under			
13	Section 4 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and			
14	Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant,			
15	Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to			
16	warn about Lead in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant			
17	after the Effective Date.			
18	7. PROVISION OF NOTICE			
19	7.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the			
20	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:			
21	Eric S. Somers			
22	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street			
23	San Francisco, CA 94117 esomers@lexlawgroup.com			
24				
25	7.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent			
26	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:			
27	Samir J. Abdelnour Hanson Bridgett LLP - 10 -			
28	- 10 -			

425 Market Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 SAbdelnour@hansonbridgett.com

Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

8. COURT APPROVAL

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment shall constitute a binding agreement between CEH and Settling Defendant upon the date signed by CEH and Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support approval of such Motion. Except as provided in this Section 8.1, no obligation of either Party under this Consent Judgment shall become effective until the Effective Date as defined in Section 2.1.
- 8.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

9. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

10. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 10.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§2016.010, *et seq*.
- 10.2 Notwithstanding Section 10.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 3 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of §1021.5, and this

- 11 -

obtaining such an award.

10.3

11.1

3

sanctions pursuant to law.

45

6

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

21

Consent Judgment.

13.1

12.1

23

22

13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for

of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions,

negotiations, commitments or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein

and therein. There are no warranties, representations or other agreements between the Parties

except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied,

other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party

hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise,

contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the

Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation,

modification, waiver or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized

writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent

Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof

shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically

Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding

24

25

26

26

27

14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

28

- 12 -

by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and

execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

1	14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim		
2	against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in		
3	this Consent Judgment.		
4	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
5	15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
6	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to		
7	constitute one document.		
8			
9	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED,		
10	AND DECREED		
11			
12	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court		
13	Judge of the Superior Court		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	- 13 -		

IT IS SO STIPULATED: Dated: 31 JAN, 2017 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Dated: _____, 2017 MAYA KAIMAL FINE INDIAN FOODS, LLC Signature Printed Name Title

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

- 14 -

IT IS SO STIPULATED: Dated: _____, 2017 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Signature Printed Name Title Dated: 1 30, 2017 MAYA KAIMAL FINE INDIAN FOODS, LLC Maya Kaimal Printed Name Founder Title - 14 -DOCUMENT PREPARED CONSENT JUDGMENT - MAYA KAIMAL - CASE NO. RG 16-829822 ON RECYCLED PAPER

EXHIBIT A

Settlement Payments and Allocations

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

3

Total Settlement Payment: \$50,000

Paymont 1. Total \$25 000

Payment 1: Total \$25,000 - Due Five Days After the Effective Date

Payment 1 Allocations and Checks:

Allocation/Purpose	Check Payable To:	Deliver To:	Amount
OEHHA Portion of Civil	ОЕННА	Per Section 4.2.1	\$2,527.50
Penalty			
CEH Portion of Civil	Center For	Lexington Law	\$842.50
Penalty	Environmental	Group	
	Health		
Additional Settlement	Center For	Lexington Law	\$2,527.50
Payment	Environmental	Group	
	Health	_	
CEH fee and cost	Center For	Lexington Law	\$3,000.00
reimbursement	Environmental	Group	
	Health		
LLG fee and cost	Lexington Law	Lexington Law	\$16,102.50
reimbursement	Group	Group	

15

16

17

Payment 2: Total \$25,000 - Due Thirty Days After the Effective Date

Payment 2 Allocations and Checks:

18	Allocation/Purpose	Check Payable To:	Deliver To:
10	OEHHA Portion of Civil	ОЕННА	Per Section 4.2.1
19	Penalty		
20	CEH Portion of Civil	Center For	Lexington Law
_ •	Penalty	Environmental	Group
21		Health	
22	Additional Settlement	Center For	Lexington Law
22	Payment	Environmental	Group
23		Health	
23	CEH fee and cost	Center For	Lexington Law
24	reimbursement	Environmental	Group
		Health	
25	LLG fee and cost	Lexington Law	Lexington Law
26	reimbursement	Group	Group
26	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	·

2728

CONSENT JUDGMENT – MAYA KAIMAL – CASE NO. RG 16-829822

Amount

\$2,527.50

\$842.50

\$2,527.50

\$3,000.00

\$16,102.50