State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

FORM JUS 1501 (03-01) PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

REPORT OF SETTLEMENT

Dagge r	print or type required information	Original Filing 🔲 Supplem	nental Filing		
	PLAINTIFF(S)				
1	Arthur Zivkovic, an	individual			
PARTIES TO THE ACTION					
	DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN SETTLEMENT				
		omeometica A N	ew Jersey corporatio	n	
	Flexon Industries C	orporacion, A M	ew Dersey Corporation		
A					
置					
2					
တ္သ					
ZT					
PA					
			COURTNAME		
	COURT DOCKET NUMBER CGC - 16 - 556136		San Francisco Superi	ior Court	
CASE	SHORT CASE NAME				
ਹੱ≦	Zivkovic v. Flexon	Industries Corpo	oration		
	NUMOTO F DELICE				
	Placement of a clea	r and reasonable	e warning label on c	overed products	
REPORT INFO	PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY	PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS FEES	PAYMENT: OTHER		
Z	\$5,000.00	\$45,000		Ö e	
I.N.	WILL SETTLEMENT BE IFYES, AF	TER ENTRY OF JUDGMENT BY	DATE SETTLEMENT SIGNED	SO I	
l di	SUBMITTED TO COURT? COURT, RE	EPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT SUBMITTED TO ATTORNEY GENER	Δ1 01/17/2017	erna	
2	731100		T.L.	For Internal Use Only	
	COPY OF SE	TILEMENT MUS	ST BE ATTACHED	2	
	NAME OF CONTACT				
	Cassandra Inzunza			TELEPHONE NUMBER	
~	ORGANIZATION	C		((619)) 382-3400	
FILER	Glick Law Group, P.	C.		FAX NUMBER	
EZ	ADDRESS 225 Broadway, Suite	2100		((619)) 615-2193	
	CITY	STATE ZIP	E-MAIL ADDRESS		
	San Diego	CA 92101-50		roup.com	
1					

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the settlement to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

1	NICHOLAS & TOMASEVIC, LLP Craig M. Nicholas (SBN 178444)			
2	Shaun Markley (SBN 291785) 225 Broadway, 19 th Floor			
3	San Diego, California 92101 Tel: (619) 325-0492			
4	Fax: (619) 325-0492			
5	GLICK LAW GROUP, PC			
6	Noam Glick (SBN 251582) Kelsey McCarthy (SBN 305372)			
7	225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, California 92101			
8	Tel: (619) 382-3400 Fax: (619) 615-2193			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
10	Arthur Živkovic			
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
12	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
13	ARTHUR ZIVKOVIC, an individual,	Case No. CGC 16-556136		
14	Plaintiff,	IDDODOGEDI CONGENE HIDCMENT		
15	v.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO FLEXON INDUSTRIES		
16	FLEXON INDUSTRIES CORPORATION, a	CORPORATION		
17	New Jersey corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,			
18	Defendant.			
19		I		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		1		

1. **INTRODUCTION**

2.1

1.1 **Parties**

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Arthur Zivkovic, ("Zivkovic") on the one hand, and Flexon Industries ("Defendant") on the other hand, with Zivkovic and Defendant individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 **Plaintiff**

Zivkovic is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to chemicals.

1.3 **Defendant**

Defendant employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Zivkovic alleges that Defendant manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes for sale to consumers in the State of California garden hoses containing phthalates including Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"); di-isodecyl phthalate ("DIDP"); Diisononyl Phthalate ("DINP"); and Di-n-butyl Phthalate ("DBP") ("the Chemicals") without first providing the clear and reasonable exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm. DIDP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. DINP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to the State of California to cause cancer. DBP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to the State of California to cause cancer. DBP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

1.5 **Product Description**

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are garden hoses containing some or all of the Chemicals that are manufactured and/or distributed for authorized sale to consumers in California by Defendant, including, but not limited to the Flexon 5/8" x 50' Light Duty Garden

Hose UPC # 044882666053 ("Products"). The Products include Products sold in Defendant's brand names (including those set forth above) and Products Defendant manufactures and/or distributes for authorized sale in California under private label arrangements entered into with retailers or others.

1.6 **Notice of Violation**

On or about August 10, 2016, Zivkovic served Defendant and certain requisite public enforcement agencies with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice"), a document that informed the recipients of Zivkovic's allegation that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its customers and consumers in California that the Products expose users to the Chemicals.

1.7 **Complaint**

After the 60-day notice period was exhausted without an authorized public prosecutor of Proposition 65 having asserted such claims, Zivkovic filed the instant action against Defendant ("Complaint") for the alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

1.8 **No Admission**

Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and maintains that all of the products it has manufactured, or distributed for authorized sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, including Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, the same being specifically denied by Defendant. This section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendant's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the City and County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the

provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 **Effective Date**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date on which Zivkovic serves notice on the Defendant and the Office of the California Attorney General that the Court has approved and entered this Consent Judgement.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND WARNINGS</u>

By no later than the Compliance Date, and continuing thereafter, Defendant shall only manufacture and package for shipment to California, Products that meet the Reformulation Standard set forth in Section 2.1 below ("Reformulated Products") or which meet the warning requirements set forth in Section 2.2 below. ¹

2.1 **Reformulation Standard**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Reformulated Products are defined as Products for which the accessible portions of their poly vinyl chloride ("PVC") components (i.e., those that can be mouthed or touched by an ordinary consumer during reasonably foreseeable use) contain no more than 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) each of any of the following chemicals: DEHP, DIDP, DBP, DINP, BBP, and DnHP ("Listed Phthalates"). For purposes of determining compliance with this Consent Judgment, the content of Listed Phthalates shall be analyzed pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or equivalent methodologies utilized by state or federal agencies for the purpose of determining Listed Phthalate content in a solid substance.

2.2 **Product Warnings**

For all Products that contain a Listed Phthalate in an amount exceeding the Reformulation Standard set forth in Section 2.1 above, and which are manufactured and packaged for shipment

¹ Given seasonal design, manufacturing, and shipping cycles associated with garden hoses, to allow for the orderly implementation of the injunctive relief requirements set forth in this Consent Judgment, the "Compliance Date" shall mean July 31, 2017, or six (6) months following the Effective Date, whichever arises earlier. Products manufactured and packaged by Defendant prior to the Compliance Date are exempted from the requirements set forth in Sections 2.1 and 2.2 above and may be offered for sale in California and sold through by downstream distributors, dealers, and retailers as is.

to California following the Compliance Date, Defendant shall provide the following Proposition 65 warning:

WARNING: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and birth defects or other reproductive harm.²

The above warning statement shall be placed on the Product's package in at least ten (10) point font and either set within a box or separated from other warning text by at least one line so as to be able to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to purchase or use.

2.3 Required Certification and Potential Penalty for Delay in Compliance

By no later than October 31, 2017, Defendant shall provide a certification to Zivkovic confirming compliance with the injunctive relief provisions of this Consent Judgment in the manner specified above. In the event that Defendant fails to provide Zivkovic with the required certification, it shall, by no later than December 31, 2017, fully address any outstanding compliance requirements or terminate further distribution to California of the product lines in question and make an additional payment of \$15,000 to the Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP Client Trust Account, which shall be paid in a single lump sum. The full \$15,000 shall constitute a penalty pursuant to California Health & Safety Code section 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.12.

3. STATUTORY PENALTY PAYMENTS

3.1 **Initial Civil Penalty** Defendant shall pay \$5,000 in civil penalties pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) in accordance with this Section. This penalty payment shall be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(l) and (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Zivkovic. More specifically, within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall issue two

² Where only one Listed Phthalate exceeds the Reformulation Standard, Defendant may elect to have this warning statement refer to the word "chemical" in the singular. To the extent Defendant needs to address a requirement of a previous consent judgment, Defendant may also elect to include a reference to lead in the foregoing warning statement.

1	separate checks for the civil penalty payment to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$3,750 and with		
2	the memo line on the check indicating "Prop 65 Penalties—Zivkovic v. Flexon" (Defendant		
3	may reference OEHHA's Tax Identification Number of 68-0284486 for this check); and (b)		
4	Nicholas & Tomasevic LLP Trust Account" in the amount of \$1,250 (for which Zivkovic shall		
5	provide Defendant a completed IRS Form W-9 with a tax identification number within two days		
6	following the Effective Date, if not beforehand). These checks shall be delivered to the		
7	addresses listed in Section 3.3 below.		
8	3.2	Payment Delivery	
9	(a)	Payment to Zivkovic shall be delivered to the following address:	
10		Craig Nicholas	
11		Nicholas & Tomasevic 225 Broadway, 19th Floor	
12		San Diego, CA 92101	
13	(b)	Payment to OEHHA shall be delivered to one of the following addresses:	
14	For U	nited States Postal Service Delivery:	
15		Mike Gyurics Final Operations Parents Chief	
16		Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment	
17		P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010	
18	Or		
19	For No	on-United States Postal Service Delivery:	
20		Mike Gyurics Fixed Operations Propel Chief	
21		Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street	
22		Sacramento, CA 95814	
23	4. <u>REIN</u>	IBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS	
24	Defen	dant shall pay Zivkovic \$45,000 for fees and costs incurred as a result of	
25	investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, and negotiating a settlement in the		
26	public interest. Defendant shall make its checks payable to Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP and shall		
27	deliver payme	ent to the address listed in Section 3(a) above within ten (10) business days of the	

Effective Date. To facilitate timely payment, Zivkovic shall provide Defendant with a completed

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

IRS Form W-9 with Nicholas & Tomasevic's tax identification number within two days following the Effective Date if not beforehand.

5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

5.1 **Zivkovic's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims**

Zivkovic, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Defendant, and its affiliated entities, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Products, including, but not limited to, distributors, wholesalers, dealers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees (including, without limitation, Lowe's Home Centers, LLC) (collectively, "Downstream Defendant Releasees"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 based on exposures to the Chemicals from Products manufactured and packaged by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.³

5.2 Zivkovic's Private Releases of Claims

Zivkovic, in his individual capacity only, also provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to any of the chemicals listed under Proposition 65 (including but not limited to the Listed Phthalates) in the Products. In this regard, Zivkovic hereby acknowledges that he is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

28

²⁶

²⁷

³ Following the Effective Date, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to the Chemicals from the Products.

5.3 **Defendant's Release of Zivkovic**

Defendant, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims that it may have against Zivkovic and his attorneys and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Zivkovic and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against Defendant in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

6. **COURT APPROVAL**

2.1

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties unless the Parties mutually agree to extend that time period due to what they mutually agree are reasonably unforeseeable circumstances.

7. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

8. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Defendant may provide written notice to Zivkovic of any asserted change in the law and have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

9. **NOTICES**

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Settlement Agreement shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight or two-day courier on any Party by the other Party to the following addresses:

1	For Defendant Flexon:			
2	Warren Swindlehurst			
3	Flexon Industries One Flexon Plaza Newark, NJ 07114			
4	With a copy to:			
5	Robert Falk			
6	RFalk@mofo.com Morrison & Foerster LLP			
7 8	425 Market Street, 32nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105			
9	For Zivkovic:			
10	Noam Glick Glick Law Group			
11	225 Broadway, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101			
12	AND:			
13 14 15	Craig Nicholas Nicholas & Tomasevic, LLP 225 Broadway, 19th Floor San Diego, CA 92101			
16	Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to			
17	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.			
18	10. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>			
19	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable			
20	document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which,			
21	when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.			
22	11. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES			
23	Zivkovic agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health &			
24	Safety Code § 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety			
25	Code § 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement			
26	manifested in this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Zivkovic shall			
27	file and serve a noticed motion for approval of this Consent Judgment within sixty (60) days of its			
28	execution by all Parties and assure that the Office of the California Attorney General is served			

with said motion and all supporting papers at least forty-five (45) days prior to the scheduled hearing thereon. Defendant agrees to support the entry of this Consent Judgment once such motion has been filed.

12. **ENFORCEMENT**

Any Party may, after meeting and conferring, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

13. **MODIFICATION**

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and upon entry, following at least twenty-one (21) days' notice to the Office of the Attorney General, of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion or application of any Party, which shall also be served on the Office of the California Attorney General with at least twenty-one (21) days' notice, and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

1	14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>				
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their				
3	respective Parties and have read, understood and agreed to all of the terms and conditions of this				
4	Consent Judgment.				
5					
6	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:				
7					
8	Date: January 11, 2017				
9	AST MODELLE				
10	By: Arthur Zickovic By: Warren Swindlehurst				
11	Chief Operating Officer Flexon Industries				
12					
13	IT IS SO ORDERED:				
14					
15	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
- U	어땠다다. 그래는 그리는 아이 나는 전에 작면 이 이번에 아무리는 것이 없다. 그리를 하는 것으로 하는 것이다.				