Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981) -Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540) Peter T. Sato (SBN 238486) YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI An Association of Independent Law Corporations 3 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W 4 Beverly Hills, 90212 (310) 623-1926 Telephone: 5 Facsimile: (310) 623-1930 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff, 7 Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 CASE NO. BC640325 CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., 11 in the public interest, CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED] 12 Plaintiff, Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. 13 14 V. Dept.: Hon. Michelle Williams Court UPPER CANADA SOAP & CANDLE Judge: 15 MAKERS CORPORATION, a business 16 Complaint filed: November 15, 2016 entity form unknown; THE TJX COMPANIES, INC., a Delaware 17 Corporation; T.J. MAXX OF CA, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company; NBC 18 FOURTH REALTY CORP., a Nevada 19 Corporation; MARMAXX OPERATING CORP., a New York Domestic Business 20 Corporation; T.J. MAXX, a business entity form unknown and DOES 1-20; 21 22 Defendants. 23 INTRODUCTION This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff, Consumer 24 1.1 Advocacy Group, Inc., (referred to as "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the 25 public, and defendant Upper Canada Soap & Candle Makers Corporation, ("Upper Canada"). 26 27 each a Party to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties." This Consent Judgment is 28

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]

intended to fully resolve all claims, demands, and allegations related to this action and the Notices of Violation referred to herein.

1.2 Upper Canada and Products

1.2.1 Defendant Upper Canada is a business entity form unknown which CAG alleges employs ten or more persons. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Upper Canada is deemed a person in the course of doing business in California and is subject to the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.2.2 CAG alleges that Upper Canada manufactured, caused to be manufactured, sold, and/or distributed Body Massagers as defined in the Notices.

1.3 Chemical of Concern

Diethyl Hexyl Phthalate, also known as Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP") is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.4 Notices of Violation.

On August 19, 2016, CAG served Upper Canada, and various public enforcement agencies, with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("August 19, 2016 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of alleged exposures to DEHP alleged to be contained in Body Massagers. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the August 19, 2016 Notice.

On November 15, 2016, CAG served Upper Canada, and various public enforcement agencies, with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" ("November 15, 2016 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of alleged exposures to DEHP alleged to be contained in

Body Massagers. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the November 15, 2016 Notice.

1.5 Complaint.

On November 15, 2016, CAG filed a Complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief ("Complaint") in Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC640325, against Upper Canada, alleging that Upper Canada violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of alleged exposure to DEHP in certain Body Massagers sold and/or distributed in California by Upper Canada. Upper Canada denied all allegations.

1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Upper Canada as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were, or could have been raised by, any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation of the Complaint (each and every allegation of which Upper Canada denies), any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, regulatory, common law, or equitable doctrine, or any admission as to the meaning of the terms "knowingly and intentionally expose" or "clear and reasonable warning" as used in Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an

2.

-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1///

DEFINITIONS

- "Covered Products" means Body Massagers with Polymer Cords, including but 2.1 "Therawell"; Body Massager; "Do-it-Yourself Massage Therapy"; limited "DIRECTIONS: Place the Body Massager behind your back or over your shoulder whiole holding the rope at each end. Move the rope to the desired area while applying pressure. Apply more pressure for a deeper, more invigorating massage. This massager can also be used on arms and legs."; Therawell AN UPPER CANADA COMPANY Mississauga, Canada"; "Made in China"; "www.uppercanadasoap.com"; W768107TL; "TJ Maxx 73-9105-384003-000799-03-2; "W768107TL"; UPC:064323161619 and "WellPRO Body Massager 'Can be used with massage oils and lotions' "DIRECTIONS: Place the Body Massager behind your back or over your shoulder whole [sic] holding the rope at each end. Move the rope to the desired area while applying pressure. Apply more pressure for a deeper, more invigorating massage. This massager can also be used on arms and legs.' WellPRO AN UPPER CANADA COMPANY Mississauga. Canada' 'Made in China' 'www.uppercanada.com' W768107GYR; UPC: 064323177146' ("Body Massagers") sold by or purchased from Upper Canada.
 - 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that the Court approves this Consent Judgment.
- 2.3 "DEHP" mean Diethyl Hexyl Phthalate, also known as Bis (2-ethylhexyl)

27 28

2.4 "Notices" refers to Plaintiff's August 19, 2016 and November 15, 2016 Notices.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF / REFORMULATION / CLEAR AND REASONABLE

WARNINGS ON EXISTING INVENTORY

- 3.1 As of the Effective Date, Upper Canada shall not sell, offer for sale, or distribute for sale the Covered Products in California unless they are reformulated to contain less than 0.1% by weight (1,000 parts per million) of DEHP.
- 3.2 For any Covered Products still existing in Upper Canada's inventory as of the Effective Date that contain more than 0.1% by weight (1,000 parts per million) DEHP, Upper Canada shall place a Proposition 65 compliant warning on them. Any warning provided pursuant to this section shall be affixed to the packaging of, or directly on, the Covered Products, and be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. The warning shall state:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

4.1 **Payment**: Upper Canada shall pay a total of \$60,000, within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date.

Full and complete settlement of any and all monetary claims by CAG related to the Notice in this action shall be divided as follows:

- 4.1.1 **Civil Penalty**: For each Payment, Upper Canada shall issue two separate checks totaling eight thousand five-hundred and eighty dollars (\$8,580.00) as penalties pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.12:
- (a) Upper Canada will issue one check made payable to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of six thousand four-hundred and thirty-five dollars (\$6,435.00) representing 75% of the total penalty and Upper

3

13

17 18

16

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

Canada will issue a second check to CAG in the amount of two thousand one-hundred and fortyfive dollars (\$2,145.00) representing 25% of the total penalty;

- (b) Separate 1099s shall be issued as follows: Upper Canada will issue a 1099 to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$6,435.00. Upper Canada will also issue a 1099 to CAG in the amount of \$2,145.00 and deliver it to CAG c/o Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W, Beverly Hills, California 90212.
- 4.1.2 Additional Settlement Payments: Upper Canada shall pay six thousand four-hundred and twenty dollars (\$6,420.00) as an additional settlement payment to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.," pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11 § 3202(d). CAG will use this total payment as follows, seventy percent (80%) for fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for Proposition 65 listed chemicals in various products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retained experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation, and to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees; twenty percent (20%) for administrative costs incurred during the investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 listed chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 listed chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment, CAG's member's time for work done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies and postage, thereby addressing the same public harm as allegedly in the instant Action. Within 30 days of a request from the Attorney General,

CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation demonstrating how the above funds have been spent.

4.1.3 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs: Upper Canada shall pay a total amount of forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000.00) to "Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi" as reimbursement for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Upper Canada's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

4.2 Delivery of Payments:

- 4.2.1 All payments to OEHHA shall be delivered to: Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Attn: Mike Gyurics, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B, Sacramento, California 95812. Upper Canada shall provide written confirmation to CAG upon payment to OEHHA.
- 4.2.2 All payments to CAG and Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, shall be delivered to: Reuben Yeroushalmi, Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi, 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W. Beverly Hills, CA 90212.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Upper Canada and its officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, owners, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, sister companies, agents, and their successors and assigns ("Upper Canada Releasees"), and all entities to whom Upper Canada directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensees, and the successors and assigns of any of them, , who may use, maintain, distribute or sell Covered Products, ("Downstream Releasees"), for all claims for violations of Proposition 65 through the Effective Date based on alleged exposure to DEHP, from Covered Products, as set forth in the Notices. Upper Canada and Upper Canada Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute

8

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

2324

2526

27

28

compliance with Proposition 65 for the Covered Products with respect to exposure to DEHP from Covered Products. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Upper Canada, Upper Canada Releasees, or Downstream Releasees. Upper Canada, Upper Canada Releasees, and Downstream Releasees are hereafter collectively referred to as the "Released Parties."

CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys. 5.2 successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Released Parties arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products. In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code section 1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any

violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn with respect to exposure to DEHP from the Covered Products, CAG will not be able to make any claim for those damages or injunctive relief against the Released Parties. Furthermore, CAG acknowledges that it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEHP from Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect their decision to enter into this Consent Judgment, regardless of whether their lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error, negligence, or any other cause.

6. ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT

- 6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein. A Party may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after that Party first provides 60 days' notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.
- 6.2 **Notice of Violation.** Prior to bringing any motion, order to show cause, or other proceeding to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, the Party alleging a violation shall provide written notice ("NOV") to the other Party. The NOV shall include information sufficient for the Party alleged to be in violation to be able to understand and correct the violation. With respect to NOVs from CAG relating to the Covered Products, for each of the Covered Products: Any notice to Upper Canada must contain (a) the name of the product, (b) specific dates when the product was sold in California, (c) the store or other place at which the product was available for sale to consumers, and (d) any other evidence or other support for the

allegations in the notice, including all test data obtained by CAG regarding the Covered

- 6.2.1 **Non-Contested NOV.** For NOVs from CAG relating to the Covered Products. CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation if, within 60 days of receiving such NOV, Upper Canada serves a Notice of Election ("NOE") that meets one of the following conditions:
- (a) The Covered Products were shipped by Upper Canada for sale in California before the Effective Date, or
- (b) Since receiving the NOV Upper Canada has taken corrective action by either (i) taking all steps necessary to bring the sale of the product into compliance under the terms of this Consent Judgment, or (ii) requesting that its customers or stores in California, as applicable, remove the Covered Products identified in the NOV from sale in California and destroy or return the Covered Products to Upper Canada or vendors, as applicable, or (iii) refute the information provided in paragraph 6.2.
- 6,2.2 **Contested NOV.** For NOVs from CAG relating to the Covered Products, Upper Canada may serve a Notice of Election ("NOE") informing CAG of its election to contest the NOV within 30 days of receiving the NOV.
- (a) In its election, Upper Canada may request that the sample(s) of Covered Products tested by CAG be subject to confirmatory testing at an EPA-accredited laboratory.
- (b) If the confirmatory testing establishes that the Covered Products do not contain DEHP in excess of the levels allowed in Section 3.1, above, CAG shall take no further action regarding the alleged violation. If the testing does not establish compliance with Section 3.1, above, Upper Canada may withdraw its NOE to contest the violation and may serve a new NOE pursuant to Section 6.2.1.
- (c) If Upper Canada does not withdraw an NOE to contest the NOV or take action under Section 6.2.1, above, the Parties shall meet and confer for a period of no less than 30 days before CAG may seek an order enforcing the terms of this Consent Judgment.

6.3 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

7. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG, and Upper Canada waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.
- Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

8. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and, if the modification affects a substantive provision of this Consent Judgment, upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or otherwise upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

26.

 10.1 This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on Covered Products sold or distributed by Upper Canada outside the State of California.

11. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

California Attorney General so that the Attorney General may review this Consent Judgment prior to its submittal to the Court for approval. No sooner than forty-five (45) days after the Attorney General has received the aforementioned copy of this Consent Judgment, and in the absence of any written objection by the Attorney General to the terms of this Consent Judgment, the parties may then submit it to the Court for approval.

12. ATTORNEY FEES

12.1 Except as specifically provided in Sections 4.1.3 and 6.3, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs in connection with this action.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

14. GOVERNING LAW

- 14.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provisions of California law.
- 14.2 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are

rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Products, then subject to this Consent Judgment Upper Canada may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Upper Canada from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation.

The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

15. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

15.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.

16. NOTICES

16.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by First Class Mail (with a courtesy copy by email).

If to CAG:

Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212 (310) 623-1926;

1	
1	Email: lawfirm@yeroushalmi.com
2	If to Upper Canada:
3	Stephen Flatt Upper Canada 1510A Caterpillar Rd,
5	Mississauga ON L4X 2W9
6	With copy to:
7	Jeffrey B. Margulies, Esq. Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP
9	555 South Flower Street, Forty-First Floor Los Angeles. CA 90071 Email: jeff.margulies@nortonrosefulbright.com
10	
11	17. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE
12	17.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
13	by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behal
14	of the party represented and legally to bind that party.
15	AGREED TO:
16	Date: 07/17 hox. 2017 Date: Dec 1. , 2017
17	20 1 1 M H A FOOT
18 19	Name: Michael Marcus Name: Stephen that
20	Title: Director Title: President
21	CONSUMER ADVOCACY UPPER CANADA SOAP & CANDLE GROUP, INC. MAKERS CORPORATION
22	
23	
24	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Date:
27	Hon. Michelle Williams Court JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
28	JUDGE OF THE SUFERIOR COOK!

CONSENT JUDGMENT [PROPOSED]