1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
10		
11		
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG 15-782610
13	Plaintiff,	(PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMENTAS TO CORNFIELDS, INC.
14	v.))
15	SAVE MART SUPERMARKETS, et al.,))
16	Defendants.))
17))
18		
19		
20		
21	1. INTRODUCTION	
22	1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgmer	nt are the Center For Environmental Health
23	("CEH"), a California non-profit corporation, and C	Cornfields, Inc. ("Settling Defendant"). CEH
24	and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims	
25	asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint")	
26	in the above-captioned matter. This Consent Judgment covers the acrylamide content of those	
27	snack food products derived from potatoes or swee	t potatoes, including potato or sweet potato
28	1	

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

flour, and including vegetable chips, vegetable sticks, and vegetable straws but not including sliced potato chips, that are sold, distributed, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant in the State of California ("Covered Products"). It is the Parties' intent that the Covered Products in this Consent Judgment are the kind of products falling within Type 4 in the "extruded, pellet, and baked products" category in the Consent Judgment as to Defendant Snak King Corporation, entered August 31, 2011, in People v. Snyder's of Hanover, Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG09455286.1

- 1.2 On or about March 25, 2016, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000 and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to acrylamide contained in vegetable chips without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning. On or about August 19, 2016, CEH provided an amended 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the same recipients alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to acrylamide contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 1.4 On August 19, 2015, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter. On or about August 19, 2016, CEH named Settling Defendant as a defendant in that action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 474. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, the operative Complaint in that action is deemed amended such that the term "Products" as to Settling Defendant only means Covered Products.
 - 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court

28

¹ These products are referred to as "Group C, Type 4" products in Exhibit A to the Snak King Consent Judgment, which is available on the Attorney General's website, at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/litigation.

1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** Upon notice of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court (the "Effective Date"), Settling Defendant shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell or offer for sale Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale in California that exceed the following acrylamide concentration limits, such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatrograph/Mass Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry) or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties:
- 2.1.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 350 parts per billion ("ppb") by weight (the "Average Level"). The Average Level is determined by randomly selecting at least 5 samples from 5 different lots of Covered Products (or the maximum number of lots available for testing if less than 5) during a testing period of at least 60 days.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2.1.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit shall not exceed 490 ppb by weight (the "Unit Level").

3. ENFORCEMENT

3.1 **General Enforcement Provisions**. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 2.1 by Settling Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 3, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 3.2.5 if applicable.

3.2 Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.

3.2.1 Covered Product Identification. Within 30 days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall notify CEH of a means sufficient to allow CEH to identify Covered Products supplied or offered by Settling Defendant for sale on or after that date, for example, a unique brand name or characteristic system of product numbering or labeling. Settling Defendant shall provide a copy of the same notice to the Oakland Office of the Attorney General, Attn: Laura Zuckerman, subject and pursuant to Cal. Evid. Code § 1040. Except as provided for in Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(i), the Attorney General shall maintain, and ensure that all recipients maintain, the submitted information as confidential official information to the full extent authorized in Section 1040 of the Evidence Code. Upon written request by CEH, but no more than once in any calendar year, Settling Defendant shall, within 30 days of receiving a request from CEH, update the information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 3.2.1 by notifying CEH of a means sufficient to allow CEH to identify Covered Products currently supplied or offered for sale by Settling Defendant. If CEH is unable to determine whether a particular product is a Covered Product as to Settling Defendant based on the information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 3.2.1, Settling Defendant shall cooperate in good faith with CEH in determining whether the product at issue is a Covered Product supplied or offered for sale by Settling Defendant. Information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 3.2.1, including but not limited to the identities of parties to contracts between Settling Defendant and

third parties, may be designated by Settling Defendant as competitively sensitive confidential business information, and if so designated shall not be disclosed to any person without the written permission of Settling Defendant. Any motions or pleadings or any other court filings that may reveal information designated as competitively sensitive confidential business information pursuant to this Section shall be submitted in accordance with California Rules of Court 8.46 and 2.550, et seq. This provision shall sunset seven years after the Effective Date.

3.2.2 <u>Notice of Violation</u>. In the event that CEH identifies a Covered Product that was sold or offered for sale to California consumers with a best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date more than 6 months after the Effective Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product exceeds the Unit Level, CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section.

- 3.2.3 <u>Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.</u>
- 3.2.3.1 Subject to Section 3.2.2, the Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 7.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be served within sixty (60) days of the later of the date the Covered Products at issue were purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH or the date that CEH can reasonably determine that the Covered Products at issue were manufactured, shipped, sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendant, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 3.2.3.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period.
- 3.2.3.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the Covered Products were purchased; (b) the location at which the Covered Products were purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Products giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and pictures of the product packaging from all sides, which identifies the product lot; and (d) all test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Products and supporting documentation sufficient

ON RECYCLED PAPER

for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Products.

11

3.2.4 <u>Notice of Election of Response.</u> No more than thirty (30) days after effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. Upon notice to CEH, Settling Defendant may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to elect if, notwithstanding Settling Defendant's good faith efforts, Settling Defendant is unable to verify the test data provided by CEH before expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.

12 in 13 in 14 or 15 3 16 u

3.2.4.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all documents upon which Settling Defendant is relying to contest the alleged violation, including all available test data. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation during the meet and confer period described in Section 3.2.5, it shall notify the other party and promptly provide all such data or information to the party unless either the Notice of Violation or Notice of Election has been withdrawn.

3.2.5 Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 in addition to any payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 3.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 3.1. In any such proceeding, CEH may

seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees or other remedies are provided by law for an alleged failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

- 3.2.6 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.
- 3.2.6.1 Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Products having the same lot number as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products") will not be thereafter sold in California or offered for sale to California customers by Settling Defendant and that Setting Defendant has sent instructions to any retailers or customers that offer the Noticed Covered Products for sale to cease offering the Noticed Covered Products for sale to California consumers and to return all such Noticed Covered Products to Settling Defendant if Settling Defendant has reason to believe the Noticed Covered Products are still offered for sale to California consumers. Settling Defendant shall keep for a period of one year and make available to CEH upon reasonable notice (which shall not exceed more than one request per year) for inspection and copying records of any correspondence regarding the foregoing. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product, nor shall CEH issue more than two Notices of Violation in the first calendar year following the Effective Date.
- 3.2.6.2 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third or fourth Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 3.2.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation under Section 3.2.2 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$25,000 for each Notice

26

27

ON RECYCLED PAPER

of Violation. If Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data for the Covered Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH gave Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on the same or same type of Covered Product; and (iii) demonstrates acrylamide levels below the Unit Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent Notice of Violation. In no case shall Defendant be obligated to pay more than \$100,000 for uncontested Notices of Violation in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued.

- 3.2.7 <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 3.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.
- 3.3 **Repeat Violations.** If Settling Defendant has received four (4) or more Notices of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on measures that Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future alleged violations.

4. PAYMENTS

- 4.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within fifteen (15) calendar days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$111,250 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- 4.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be

1	subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each		
2	day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 4.1.		
3	The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys'		
4	fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment. The		
5	funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following		
6	categories and made payable as follows:		
7	4.2.1 \$10,480 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).		
8	The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code §		
9	25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health		
10	Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty		
11	payment for \$7,860 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification		
12	number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:		
13	For United States Postal Service Delivery:		
14			
15	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
16	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B		
17	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
18	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:		
19	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
20	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B		
21	Sacramento, CA 95814		
22	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$2,620 shall be made		
23	payable to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification		
24	number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero		
25	Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.		
26	4.2.2 \$15,720 as a payment in lieu of civil penalty to CEH pursuant to Health &		
27	Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3203(b). CEH shall		
28			

use such funds to continue its work educating and protecting people from exposures to toxic chemicals, including toxic chemicals in food. In addition, as part of its Community Environmental Action and Justice Fund, CEH will use four percent (4%) of such funds to award grants to grassroots environmental justice groups working to educate and protect people from exposures to toxic chemicals. The method of selection of such groups can be found at the CEH web site at www.ceh.org/justicefund. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981.

4.2.3 \$85,050 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$71,865 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$13,185 payable to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office, or by an order of this Court upon motion and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

6.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to

1	which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including		
2	but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and		
3	licensees, including specifically Whole Foods Market California, Inc. and Mrs. Gooch's Natural		
4	Food Markets, Inc.("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65		
5	based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Covered Products that		
6	were sold, distributed or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.		
7	6.2 CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever		
8	discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream		
9	Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or		
10	common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the		
11	public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in connection		
12	with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the		
13	Effective Date.		
14	6.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant shall		
15	constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and		
16	Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about acrylamide in		
17	Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective		
18	Date.		
19	7. PROVISION OF NOTICE		
20	7.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the		
21	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
22	Howard Hirsch		
23	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street		
24	San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com		
25	7.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent		
26	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
27			
30			

1			Cornfields Inc.Attention: Claire Cretors
2			3898 Sunset Ave Waukegan, IL 60087
3			ccretors@cornfieldsinc.com
4			Sidley Austin LLP Livia M. Kiser
56			1 South Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60603 lkiser@sidley.com
7			Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent
8	by sen	ding th	the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
9	8. COURT APPROVAL		
10	.	8.1	This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and
11	Settlin		ndant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a
12	Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this		
13	Consent Judgment by the Court.		
14	Consc	8.2	If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
15	effect		all not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
16	purpose.		
17	9.		ERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION
18	,	9.1	The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of
19	Califo		The terms of this consent studyment shall be governed by the laws of the blate of
20	10.		ORNEYS' FEES
21	10.	10.1	A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent
22	Indon		all be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
23	Judgii	10.2	
24	aan ati		Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of
25		-	suant to law.
26	11.		This Consent Independ on the colored and entire the colored and enti
	6.3	11.1	This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding
27	of the	Parties	with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions,

1	1
2	8
3	6
4	(
5	ł
6	S
7	C
8	I
9	1
10	1
11	J
12	1
13	1
14	
15	(
16]
17	
18	ł
19	6
20]
21	
22	8
	il .

negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

12.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

13.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgment.

24

23

25

26

27

1	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS
2	15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
3	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to
4	constitute one document.
5	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED,
7	AND DECREED
8	
9	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED	14
ON RECYCLED PAPER	

CONSENT JUDGMENT - CORNFIELDS, INC. - CASE NO. RG 15-782610

IT IS SO STIPULATED: Dated: _____, 2017 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Signature Printed Name Title Dated: 2 10, 2017 CORNFIELDS, INC. Osuis G. Critts Signature CLAIRE CRETORS Printed Name PEBSIDENT Title DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER CONSENT JUDGMENT - CORNFIELDS, INC. - CASE NO. RG 15-782610