1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA					
10						
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	) Case No. RG 16-838609				
12	Plaintiff,	)				
13	V.	PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO THE FRENCH'S FOOD COMPANY, LLC				
14	SNIKIDDY, LLC, et al.,	)				
15	Defendants.					
16	2 oronamis.					
17						
18						
19						
20	1 DEFINITIONS					
21	1. DEFINITIONS					
22	1.1 The "Complaint" means the operative complaint in the above-captioned matter.					
23	1.2 "Covered Products" means fried or baked potato or sweet potato based snack food					
24	products, including sliced Potato Chips and Shoestring Potatoes (as defined below) and snack					
25	food products containing potato or sweet potato flo	ur (such as extruded vegetable chips,				
26	vegetable sticks, and vegetable straws). An initial list of the Covered Products is attached hereto					
27	as Exhibit A.					
•						

- 1.3 "Potato Chips" means sliced potato chips.
- 1.4 "Shoestring Potatoes" means long, stick-like slices of raw potato that are deep-fried until crisp.
- 1.5 "Extruded Products" means all Covered Products other than Potato Chips and Shoestring Potatoes. It is the Parties' intent that the Extruded Products referenced in this Consent Judgment are the kind of products falling within Type 4 in the "extruded, pellet, and baked products" category in the Consent Judgment as to Defendant Snak King Corporation, entered August 31, 2011, in *People v. Snyder's of Hanover, et al.*, Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG 09-455286.<sup>1</sup>
- 1.6 "Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendant.

## 2. INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and The French's Food Company, LLC ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned matter.
- 2.2 On or about July 27, 2016, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California, the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to acrylamide contained in fried or baked potato based snack foods without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning. On or about August 26, 2016, CEH provided a 60-day Amended Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to Settling Defendant and the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These products are referred to as "Group C, Type 4" products in Exhibit A to the Snak King Consent Judgment, which is attached hereto as Exhibit B and available on the Attorney General's website at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/litigation.

same set of public enforcers alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to acrylamide contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

- 2.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells, or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 2.4 On November 10, 2016, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter, naming Settling Defendant as an original defendant.
- 2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 2.6 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and bind Settling Defendant and its successors and assigns, and any of their subsidiaries or affiliated entities under common ownership that distribute, sell, or offer for sale Covered Products in the State of California, including, but not limited to McCormick and Company, Incorporated.
- 2.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

- 3.1.1 For Potato Chips and Shoestring Potatoes (collectively "Chips"):
- 3.1.1.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 281 parts per billion ("ppb") by weight (the "Chips Average Level"). The Chips Average Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least 1 sample each from 5 different lots of a particular type Potato Chips or Shoestring Potatoes (or the maximum number of lots available for testing if less than 5) during a testing period of at least 60 days.
- 3.1.1.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Potato Chips or Shoestring Potatoes shall not exceed 350 ppb by weight, based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested (the "Chips Unit Level").

## 3.1.2 For Extruded Products:

- 3.1.2.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 350 ppb by weight (the "Extruded Products Average Level"). The Extruded Products Average Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least 1 sample each from 5 different lots of a particular type of Extruded Product (or the maximum number of lots available for testing if less than 5) during a testing period of at least 60 days.
- 3.1.2.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit of Extruded Products shall not exceed 490 ppb by weight, based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested (the "Extruded Products Unit Level").
- 3.1.2.3 The acrylamide concentration of a Covered Product shall be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties.

9

10 11

1314

12

1516

17 18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings. A Covered Product purchased, manufactured, shipped, sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendant after the Compliance Date may, as an alternative to meeting the Reformulation Levels set forth in Section 3.1, be sold or offered for sale in California with a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of this Section 3.2. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Agreement shall state:

**WARNING:** Consuming this product can expose you to [[chemicals, including *other listed substance* and]] acrylamide, which [is] [[are]] known to the State of California to cause cancer. [[Acrylamide is a chemical that can form in some foods during high-temperature cooking processes, such as frying, roasting, and baking.]] For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

The double-bracketed portion of the warning is optional for Settling Defendant. reproductive toxicant warning may optionally be provided. The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product, on the packaging of the Covered Product, or on a placard or sign provided that the statement is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the warning statement is displayed on the Covered Product's label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a text box. If the warning statement is displayed on a placard or sign where the Covered Product is offered for sale, the warning placard or sign must enable an ordinary individual to easily determine which specific Covered Products the warning applies to, and to differentiate between that Covered Product and other products to which the warning statement does not apply. For internet, catalog or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment. If Settling Defendant elects to avail itself of the warning option provided by this Section 3.2, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH prior to Settling Defendant's first distribution or sale of Covered Products with warnings under this

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Section 3.2, and Settling Defendant concurrently shall make the additional payment specified in Section 5.2.4 below.

#### 4. **ENFORCEMENT**

4.1 **General Enforcement Provisions**. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3 by Settling Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.2.5 if applicable.

#### 4.2 **Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.**

4.2.1 Covered Product Identification. Within 30 days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall notify CEH of a means sufficient to allow CEH to identify Covered Products supplied or offered by Settling Defendant for sale on or after that date, for example, a unique brand name or characteristic system of product numbering or labeling, unless such information is provided in Exhibit A. Upon written request by CEH, but no more than once in any calendar year, Settling Defendant shall, within 30 days of receiving a request from CEH, update the information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 4.2.1 by notifying CEH of a means sufficient to allow CEH to identify Covered Products currently supplied or offered for sale by Settling Defendant. If CEH is unable to determine whether a particular product is a Covered Product as to Settling Defendant based on the information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 4.2.1, Settling Defendant shall, to the extent reasonably necessary, cooperate in good faith with CEH in determining whether the product at issue is a Covered Product supplied or offered for sale by Settling Defendant. Information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 4.2.1, including but not limited to the identities of parties to contracts between Settling Defendant and third parties, may be designated by Settling Defendant as competitively sensitive confidential business information, and if so designated shall not be disclosed to any person without the written permission of Settling Defendant. Any motions or pleadings or any other court filings that may reveal information designated as competitively sensitive confidential business information

pursuant to this Section shall be submitted in accordance with California Rules of Court 8.46 and 2.550, *et seq.*, if applicable.

4.2.2 <u>Notice of Violation</u>. In the event that CEH purchases a Covered Product in California that was sold or offered for sale by a Settling Defendant with a best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date or other code that reflects that the Covered Product was manufactured on or after the Compliance Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product has an acrylamide level exceeding the applicable Unit Level, and which lacks a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with Section 3.2, and which is not produced in the same lot as a Covered Product for which a Notice of Violation pursuant this Section has previously been issued, CEH may serve a Notice of Violation on the person(s) identified in Section 8.2 pursuant to this Section.

## 4.2.3 <u>Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.</u>

4.2.3.1 Subject to Section 4.2.2, the Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be served within sixty (60) days of the later of the date the Covered Products at issue were purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH or the date that CEH can reasonably determine that the Covered Products at issue were manufactured, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 4.2.3.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period.

4.2.3.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the Covered Products were purchased; (b) the location at which the Covered Products were purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Products giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and pictures of the product packaging from all sides, which identifies the product lot; and (d) all test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Products and supporting documentation sufficient

5

6

4

7 8

9 10

11

12 13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

CONSENT JUDGMENT - THE FRENCH'S FOOD COMPANY, LLC - CASE NO. RG 16-838609

for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Products.

- Notice of Election of Response. No more than thirty (30) days after 4.2.4 effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. Upon notice to CEH, Settling Defendant may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to elect if, notwithstanding Settling Defendant's good faith efforts, Settling Defendant is unable to verify the test data provided by CEH before expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.
- 4.2.4.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all documents upon which Settling Defendant is relying to contest the alleged violation, including all available test data. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation during the meet and confer period described in Section 4.2.5, they shall notify the other Party and promptly provide all such data or information to the Party unless either the Notice of Violation or Notice of Election has been withdrawn.
- 4.2.5 Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 in addition to any payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. In any such proceeding, CEH may

seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are provided by law for an alleged failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

4.2.6 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.

4.2.6.1 Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Products having the same lot number as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products") will not be thereafter sold in California or offered for sale to California customers by Settling Defendant and that Setting Defendant has sent instructions to any retailers or customers that offer the Noticed Covered Products for sale to cease offering the Noticed Covered Products for sale to California consumers and to return all such Noticed Covered Products to Settling Defendant if Settling Defendant has reason to believe the Noticed Covered Products are still offered for sale to California consumers. Settling Defendant shall keep for a period of one year and make available to CEH upon reasonable notice (which shall not exceed more than one request per year) for inspection and copying records of any correspondence regarding the foregoing. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product, nor shall CEH issue more than two Notices of Violation in the first calendar year following the Compliance Date.

4.2.6.2 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third, or fourth Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation under Section 4.2.2 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$25,000 for

25

26

each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data for the Covered Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH gave Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on the same or same type of Covered Product; and (iii) demonstrates acrylamide levels below the applicable Reformulation Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation, and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent Notice of Violation. In no case shall Settling Defendant be obligated to pay more than \$100,000 for uncontested Notices of Violation in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued.

- 4.2.7 <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.
- 4.3 **Repeat Violations.** If Settling Defendant has received four (4) or more Notices of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on measures that Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future alleged violations.

## 5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within twenty (20) calendar days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$100,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- 5.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in four (4) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth

1	below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be					
2	subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each					
3	day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 5.1					
4	The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys					
5	fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The					
6	funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following					
7	categories and made payable as follows:					
8	5.2.1 \$17,145 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).					
9	The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code §					
10	25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health					
11	Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty					
12	payment for \$12,858.75 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer					
13	identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:					
14	For United States Postal Service Delivery:					
15	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief					
16	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B					
17	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010					
18	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics					
19	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment					
20	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814					
21						
22	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$4,286.25 shall be made					
23	payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification					
24	number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero					
25	Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.					
26	5.2.2 \$12,855 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant					
27	to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204.					

CEH intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from the Consent Judgment before the Court to the following purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$70,000 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.4 If, on the Compliance Date, Settling Defendant has availed itself of the warning option provided for by Section 3.2, Settling Defendant shall make an additional payment of \$50,000 as a civil penalty, concurrently with its written notice as provided in Section 3.2. This additional civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for \$37,500 shall be made payable to OEHHA, associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486, and sent to the OEHHA address set forth in section 5.2.1 above. The CEH portion of the additional civil penalty payment for \$12,500 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This

payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117 within 10 business days of the Compliance Date.

## 6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

## 7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Covered Products that were sold, distributed or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Compliance Date.
- 7.2 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the

1	Compliance Date.					
2	7.3 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under					
3	Section 5 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant					
4	shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and					
5	Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about acrylamide in					
6	Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant after the Compliance					
7	Date.					
8	8. PROVISION OF NOTICE					
9	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the					
10	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:					
11	Howard Hirsch					
12	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street					
13	San Francisco, CA 94117					
14	hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com					
15	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent					
16	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:					
17	Greg Sperla					
18	George Gigounas 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2400					
	Sacramento, CA 95814 greg.sperla@dlapiper.com					
19						
20	Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the					
21	other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.					
22	9. COURT APPROVAL					
23	9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and					
24	Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a					
25	Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this					
26	Consent Judgment by the Court.					
27	9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or					
28	14					

# 10.

# 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

## GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

#### 11. **ATTORNEYS' FEES**

- A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action, motion, or application arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.2 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

#### 12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

1	13.	13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION					
2		13.1	This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the				
3	Consent Judgment.						
4	14.	14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT					
5		14.1	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized				
6	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and						
7	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.						
8	15.	NO E	FFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS				
9		15.1	Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim				
10	agains	st an ent	ity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different from those contained in				
11	this C	onsent .	Judgment.				
12	16.	EXE	CUTION IN COUNTERPARTS				
13		16.1	The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by				
14	means	of facs	imile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to				
15	constitute one document.						
16							
17			EDERED, ADJUDGED,				
18	AND	DECR	EED.				
19							
20	Dated	:	Judge of the Superior Court				
21			raage of the superior court				
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28			16				

# IT IS SO STIPULATED: Dated: , 2019 CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH Signature Printed Name Title Dated: My 10, 2019 THE FRENCH'S FOOD COMPANY, LLC DOCUMENT PREPARED CONSENT JUDGMENT - THE FRENCH'S FOOD COMPANY, LLC - CASE NO. RG 16-838609 ON RECYCLED PAPER

# Exhibit A

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

l.	French'	s	Franks	Red	Hot	Buffalo	Potato	Snack

- 2. French's Franks Red Hot Buffalo Potato Stick
- 3. French's Original Potato Snack
- 4. French's Original Potato Stick
- 5. French's Original Onion Snack
- 6. French's Original Onion Sticks

18

25

26

27

# Exhibit B

## Exhibit A

## **COVERED PRODUCTS**

## CORN, GRAIN, AND LEGUME CHIPS AND STICKS

Group A. All corn, grain, and legume-based chips and sticks manufactured by Settling Defendant, including El Sabroso Guacachips, El Sabroso Jalapenitos, Private Label Tortilla Chips, Private Label Organic Blue Tortilla Chips, Private Label Organic Fiesta Tortilla Chips, Private Label Organic White Tortilla Chips, Whole Earth Really Seedy Tortilla Chips, El Sabroso Reduced Fat Tortilla Chips, Private Label Reduced Fat Tortilla Chips, Granny Goose Restaurant Style Tortilla Chips, Private Label Organic Yellow Rounds Tortilla Chips, El Sabroso Salsitas, El Sabroso Yellow Rounds Tortilla Chips, Granny Goose White Corn Tortilla Strips, Private Label White Corn Tortilla Strips, El Sabroso Chile Y Limon Churritos, El Sabroso Chile Y Limon Corn Chips, Granny Goose Corn Chips

Type 1: Triangle-shaped chips

Type 2: Round, rolled, and other non-triangle or non-strip-shaped chips

Type 3: Strip-shaped chips

Type 4: Corn chips and corn sticks (e.g., churritos)

# **POPCORN**

Group B. All popcorn products, including Snak King Popcorn (Cheddar Cheese and Butter), Granny Goose Butter Popcorn, Kettle Corn, Whole Earth Lightly Salted Popcorn, Private Label Organic Popcorn (White Cheddar and Light Salt), Granny Goose Caramel Popcorn

Type 1: Popcorn (plain, flavored and kettle)

Type 2: Caramel and candy corn (with or without nuts)

# EXTRUDED, PELLET, AND BAKED PRODUCTS

Group C. All extruded, pellet, and baked products (excluding baked products in Group A), including Private Label Lavash Chips, Private Label Salted Pita Chips, Whole Earth Salted Pita Chips, Private Label Hot Fries, Snak King Hot Fries, Private Label Puffed Rice or Corn, Snak King Cheese Puffs, Private Label Cheese Puffs, Private Label Rice Balls, Private Label Multigrain Chips, Private

Label Baked Cheese Curls, Granny Goose Cheese Blazin Curls, Snak King Baked Cheese Curls, Snak King Fried Cheese Curls, Snak King Hot Cheese Curls, Jensen Orchards Veggie Chips, Private Label Veggie Sticks, Private Label Mini Veggie Chips, El Sabroso Duros, Private Label Popped Chips

Type 1: Pita and lavash chips (all flavors)

Type 2: Puffs, fries, baked curls, and multigrain chips (all flavors)

Type 3: Fried curls (all flavors)

Type 4: Potato, vegetable, and other grain-based pellet chips and sticks (all flavors)

Type 5: Duros (all flavors)

## **PRETZELS**

Group D. All pretzels

Type 1: Twists and sticks

## **OTHER**

**Group E.** All pork rinds and "cracklins," including El Sabroso Regular Pork Rinds, El Sabroso Regular Pork Rinds with Salsa, El Sabroso Hot & Spicy Pork Rinds, El Sabroso Regular Cracklins, and El Sabroso Hot & Spicy Cracklins.

Type 1: Pork rinds and "cracklins"