1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	TATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY (OF ALAMEDA
10		
11		
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,)	Case No. RG 17-851470
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO SNACK INNOVATIONS INC.
14	v.)	AS TO SNACK INNOVATIONS INC.
15	SNACK INNOVATIONS INC., et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	1. DEFINITIONS	
22	1.1 The "Complaint" means the operative	e complaint in the above-captioned matter.
23	1.2 "Covered Products" means air poppe	d potato or sweet potato based snack food
24	products, including but not limited to Smart Fries air	r popped potato sticks. "Covered Products"
25	do not include sliced potato chips or sliced sweet por	tato chips. The Covered Products referenced
26	in this Consent Judgment are similar to the "pellet-b	ased popped potato crisps" covered by the
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – SNACK INNOVATI	IONS INC. – CASE NO. RG 17-851470

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein and in the Notice with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

2.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** As of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell, or offer for sale Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale in California that exceed the following acrylamide concentration levels (the "Reformulation Levels"), such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatrograph/Mass Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties:
- 3.1.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 350 parts per billion ("ppb") by weight (the "Average Level"). The Average Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least 1 sample each from 5 different lots of a particular type of Covered Product (or the maximum number of lots available for testing if less than 5) during a testing period of at least sixty (60) days.
- 3.1.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit shall not exceed 490 ppb by weight, based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested (the "Unit Level").

4. ENFORCEMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

4.1 **General Enforcement Provisions**. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3.1 by Settling Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the notice of violation requirement of Section 4.2.2, and the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.2.5 if applicable.

4.2 Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.

4.2.1 Covered Product Identification. Within thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall notify CEH of a means sufficient to allow CEH to identify Covered Products supplied or offered by Settling Defendant for sale on or after that date by, for example, a unique brand name or characteristic system of product numbering or labeling. Settling Defendant shall provide a copy of the same notice to the Oakland Office of the Attorney General, Attn: Laura Zuckerman, subject and pursuant to Evidence Code § 1040. Except as provided for in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(i), the Attorney General shall maintain, and ensure that all recipients maintain, the submitted information as confidential official information to the full extent authorized in Evidence Code § 1040. Upon written request by CEH, but no more than once in any calendar year, Settling Defendant shall, within thirty (30) days of receiving a request from CEH, update the information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 4.2.1 by notifying CEH of a means sufficient to allow CEH to identify Covered Products currently supplied or offered for sale by Settling Defendant. If CEH is unable to determine whether a particular product is a Covered Product as to Settling Defendant based on the information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 4.2.1, Settling Defendant shall cooperate in good faith with CEH in determining whether the product at issue is a Covered Product supplied or offered for sale by Settling Defendant. Information provided to CEH pursuant to this Section 4.2.1, including but not limited to the identities of parties to contracts between Settling Defendant and third parties, may be designated by Settling Defendant as competitively sensitive confidential business information, and if so designated shall not be disclosed to any person without the written

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

permission of Settling Defendant. Any motions or pleadings or any other court filings that may reveal information designated as competitively sensitive confidential business information pursuant to this Section shall be submitted in accordance with California Rules of Court 8.46 and 2.550, *et seq.*, if applicable.

4.2.2 <u>Notice of Violation</u>. Prior to initiating enforcement of Section 3.1, CEH must issue a Notice of Violation. CEH may issue a Notice of Violation only in the event that CEH purchases a Covered Product in California that was sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendant with a best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date more than twelve (12) months after the Effective Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product exceeds the Unit Level.

4.2.3 <u>Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.</u>

4.2.3.1 The Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be served within sixty (60) days of the later of the date the Covered Product at issue was purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH or the date that CEH can reasonably determine that the Covered Product at issue was manufactured, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 4.2.3.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period.

4.2.3.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the Covered Product was purchased; (b) the location at which the Covered Product was purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and pictures of the product packaging from all sides, which identifies the product lot; and (d) all test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Product and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Product.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	ı

4.2.4 <u>Notice of Election of Response</u>. No more than thirty (30) days after effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH whether they elect to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. Upon notice to CEH, Settling Defendant may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to elect if, notwithstanding Settling Defendant's good faith efforts, Settling Defendant is unable to verify the test data provided by CEH before expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.

4.2.4.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all documents upon which Settling Defendant is relying to contest the alleged violation, including all available test data. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation during the meet and confer period described in Section 4.2.5, they shall notify the other Party and promptly provide all such data or information to the Party unless either the Notice of Violation or Notice of Election has been withdrawn.

4.2.5 <u>Meet and Confer.</u> If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 in addition to any other payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. In any such proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are provided by law for an alleged failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

4.2.6 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.

4.2.6.1 Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that they have undertaken or propose to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Products having the same lot number as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products") will not be thereafter sold in California or offered for sale to California customers by Settling Defendant, and that Setting Defendant has sent instructions to any retailers or customers that offer the Noticed Covered Products for sale to cease offering the Noticed Covered Products for sale to California consumers and to return all such Noticed Covered Products to Settling Defendant if Settling Defendant has reason to believe the Noticed Covered Products are still offered for sale to California consumers. Settling Defendant shall keep for a period of one year and make available to CEH upon reasonable notice (which shall not exceed more than one request per year) for inspection and copying records of any correspondence regarding the foregoing. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product, nor shall CEH issue more than two Notices of Violation in the first calendar year following the Effective Date.

4.2.6.2 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third, or fourth Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation under Section 4.2.2 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$25,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data for the Covered Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH gave Notice of Violation;

27

25

(ii) was conducted on the same type of Covered Product; and (iii) demonstrates acrylamide levels below the Unit Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation, and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent Notice of Violation. In no case shall Settling Defendant be obligated to pay more than \$100,000 for all Notices of Violation not successfully contested or withdrawn in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued.

- 4.2.7 <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.
- 4.3 **Repeat Violations.** If Settling Defendant has received four (4) or more Notices of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on measures that Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future alleged violations.

5. PAYMENTS

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$60,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section according to the following schedule: (a) \$30,000 on or before September 1, 2018; (b) \$30,000 on or before December 1, 2018.
- 5.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount shall be paid in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by

1	Settling Defendant to CEH in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received
2	after the payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall
3	be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought
4	pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be
5	allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:
6	5.2.1 \$10,276 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code §
7	25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety
8	Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental
9	Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty
10	payment for \$7,707 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification
11	number 68-0284486. This total amount shall be made in two payments of \$3,853.50 each, due on
12	September 1, 2018 and December 1, 2018 respectively, and payment shall be delivered as
13	follows:
14	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
15	Attn: Mike Gyurics
16	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
17	P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
18	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:
19	Attn: Mike Gyurics
20	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
21	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814
22	
23	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$2,569 shall be made payable to
24	the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-
25	3251981. This total amount shall be made in two payments of \$1,284.50 each, due on September
26	1, 2018 and December 1, 2018 respectively, each of which payments shall be delivered to the
27	Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

28
DOCUMENT PREPARED
ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

5.2.2 \$7,704 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from this Consent Judgment to the following purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. The total amount under this Section shall be made in two payments of \$3,852 each, due on September 1, 2018 and December 1, 2018 respectively, each of which payments shall be delivered to the Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$42,020 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. The total amount due under this Section shall be made in two payments of \$21,010 each, due on September 1, 2018 and December 1, 2018 respectively, each of which payments shall be delivered to the Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office, or by an order of this Court upon motion and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office and in accordance with law.
 - 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment

shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Covered Products that were sold, distributed, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.2 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.
- 7.3 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about acrylamide in Covered Products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

1	8. PROVISION OF NOTICE
2	When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
3	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
4	Howard Hirsch
5	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street
6	San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com
7	
8	When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
9	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
10	Stacy E. Don Law Office of Stacy E. Don
11	3007 Douglas Blvd., Suite 100 Roseville, CA 95661
12	sdon@sdonlaw.com
13	Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent
14	by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
15	9. COURT APPROVAL
16	9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and
17	Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a
18	Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this
19	Consent Judgment by the Court.
20	9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
21	effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
22	purpose, other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.
23	10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION
24	10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of
25	California.
26	11. ATTORNEYS' FEES
27	11.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action, motion, or application
28	12

attorneys' fees and costs.

4

3

sanctions pursuant to law.

12.1

11.2

5

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

21

Consent Judgment.

14.1

13.1

23

22

14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

24

25

23

26

27

28

13

arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable

of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions,

negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein

and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties

except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied,

other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party

hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise,

contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the

Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation,

modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized

writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent

Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof

by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and

execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically

Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding

15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS 1 2 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim 3 against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different from those contained in 4 this Consent Judgment. Settling Defendant may move to modify this Consent Judgment pursuant 5 to Section 6 to substitute higher Reformulation Levels that CEH agrees to in a future consent 6 judgment applicable to products similar to the Covered Products, and CEH agrees not to oppose 7 any such motion except for good cause shown. 8 16. **EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS** 9 16.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by 10 means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to 11 constitute one document. 12 13 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, 14 AND DECREED 15 16 Dated: Judge of the Superior Court 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 14 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER CONSENT JUDGMENT - SNACK INNOVATIONS INC. - CASE NO. RG 17-851470

1 2	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
3	Dated:, 2018	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5		Signature
7		
8		Printed Name
9		
10		Title
11	Dated: April 18 , 2018	
12	Dated. <u>April 10</u> , 2018	SNACK INNOVATIONS INC.
13		_4
14		Signature
15		Allen Benzaken
16		Printed Name
17		050
18 19		Title
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED		15
ON RECYCLED PAPER	-	- SNACK INNOVATIONS INC CASE NO. RG 17-851470

Exhibit A

OFIGINAL

1	Kamala ⁻ D. Harris	
2	Attorney General of California LAURA J. ZUCKERMAN	
	Deputy Attorney General	San Francis
3	State Bar No. 161896 TIMOTHY E. SULLIVAN	ancisco Con E
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 197054	CIN JAN SUDON
5	1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor Oakland, CA 94612-0550	San Francisco Coumy Superior Court SAN - 8 2012 Mars The
6	Telephone: (510) 622-2174	Maria VAIE CO
7	Fax: (510) 622-2270 E-mail: Laura.Zuckerman@doj.ca.gov	Deput
8	Attorneys for People of the State of California	SAN -8 2012 SERK OF THE COURT Domoy Cient July
9	ex rel. Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of th State of California	ne
10	State of Canyorina	•
ł	STIDED TO THE TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11		
12	COUNTY OF S.	AN FRANCISCO
13		
14	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA <i>ex rel</i> . KAMALA D.	CASE NO. CGC-11-516122
15	HARRIS, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS
16	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	TO DEFENDANT POPCHIPS, INC.
17	Plaintiff,	Date: December 20, 2011 Time: 9:30 a.m.
18	v .	Dept.: 302 Judge: Hon. Harold E. Kahn
19	POPCHIPS, INC., a California corporation,	Trial Date: None set.
	Defendant.	Action Filed: November 23, 2011
20		
21		I
22	1. INTRODUCTION	
23	A. Background	
24	1.1. On August 26, 2005, the People of	of the State of California ex rel. the Attorney
25	General for the State of California (the "People"	or the "Attorney General") filed a complaint for
26	civil penalties and injunctive relief for violations	of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxics
27	Enforcement Act of 1986 and unlawful business	practices in Superior Court for the County of Los
28	Angeles. The People's complaint ("First AG Co	mplaint") alleges that Defendants in that case,

[Proposed] Consent Judgment as to Defendant Popchips, Inc. (Case No. CGC-11-516122)

captioned People of the State of California v. Frito-Lay, Inc., et al. (Case No. BC 338956), failed to provide clear and reasonable warnings that ingestion of the French fries, potato chips, and potato crisps identified in the complaint would result in exposure to acrylamide, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer. The First AG Complaint further alleges that under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health & Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq., also known as "Proposition 65," businesses must provide persons with a "clear and reasonable warning" before exposing individuals to this chemical, and that defendants failed to do so. The First AG Complaint also alleges that these acts constitute unlawful acts in violation of the Unfair Competition Law pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq.

1.2 On February 1, 2008, the Court entered a consent judgment resolving the People's claims against defendants Procter & Gamble Manufacturing Company and Procter & Gamble Distributing Company arising out of the sale of potato crisp products, also known as restructured potato chips. This consent judgment required the Procter & Gamble Manufacturing Company, inter alia, to reduce the level of acrylamide in its covered potato crisp products to 490 parts per billion ("ppb") by February 1, 2011, or be subject to the consent judgment's warning requirements. On August 1, 2008, the Court entered consent judgments in People v. Frito-Lay, Inc., et al., resolving the People's claims against defendants Frito-Lay, Inc., Lance, Inc., and Kettle Foods, Inc. arising out of the sale of sliced potato chips and restructured potato chips, or potato crisps. These consent judgments required, inter alia, that defendants reduce the level of acrylamide in their covered potato crisp products to 490 ppb by April 30, 2011, and reduce the level of acrylamide in their covered potato chip products to 281.6 ppb by December 31, 2011, or be subject to the consent judgments' warning requirements.

B. The Popchips Litigation

1.3 Beginning in May 2007, Popchips, Inc. began manufacturing pellet-based popped potato crisps ("Potato Crisps") and shipping them for sale in California. On November 23, 2011, the People filed a complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief for violations of Proposition 65 and unlawful business practices in the Superior Court for the County of San Francisco. The

People's Complaint ("Complaint") alleges that defendant Popchips, Inc. failed to provide clear and reasonable warnings that ingestion of the products identified in the Complaint would result in exposure to acrylamide. The Complaint also alleges that these acts constitute unlawful acts in violation of the Unfair Competition Law, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq.

- 1.4. Popchips, Inc. ("Settling Defendant") is a Delaware corporation that employs more than ten employees, and has employed more than ten employees at all times relevant to the allegations of the Complaint, and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Potato Crisp products in the State of California and has done so in the past. Both the People and Settling Defendant shall be referred to as a "Party" to this Consent Judgment, and collectively they shall be referred to herein as the "Parties" to this Consent Judgment.
- 1.5. The products covered by this Consent Judgment (hereinafter, "Covered Products") are those Potato Crisp products manufactured by Settling Defendant and sold by Settling Defendant or its Affiliates (as defined in Paragraph 8 herein) that are identified in Exhibit A. After the Effective Date, should Settling Defendant introduce for sale to consumers in California a Potato Crisp product not described in Exhibit A, then Settling Defendant shall give notice of such new product(s) ("New Product") to the Attorney General in the form of a revised version of Exhibit A. Should the Attorney General object to such notice within 45 days following receipt of such notice, and the Parties are unable to resolve the objection informally, then the Parties shall proceed in accordance with Paragraph 5.1; otherwise, this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to be modified to include such product as a Covered Product.
- 1.6. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the People and Settling Defendant stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the People's Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the People's Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.
 - 1.7. The People and Settling Defendant stipulate to the entry of this Consent Judgment

as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Complaint (except as specified in Paragraph 8 herein) arising out of the facts or conduct alleged therein. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, or defense the Attorney General or Settling Defendant may have in any other or in future legal proceedings unrelated to these proceedings. However, this paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of the Parties under this Consent Judgment.

- 1.8. By stipulating to the entry of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to provide the relief and remedies specified herein, Settling Defendant does not admit (a) that it has violated, or threatened to violate, Proposition 65 or Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq., or any other law or legal duty; or (b) that the chemical acrylamide in food poses any risk to human health.
- 1.9. The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment shall be the date on which the Consent Judgment is entered as a judgment by this Court.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: ACRYLAMIDE REDUCTION

2.1. Target Level and Compliance Date.

Settling Defendant shall reduce the level of acrylamide in its Covered Products shipped for sale in California to 490 parts per billion, calculated pursuant to the protocol described in Paragraph 2.3 (the "Target Level"), or be subject to the provisions of Paragraph 3. Provided that Settling Defendant is at all times in material compliance with its work plan for achieving the Target Level for all Potato Crisps shipped for sale in California (the "Workplan"), a copy of which is attached as Exhibit B to this Consent Judgment, the date by which the Covered Products must be in compliance with the Target Level shall be September 30, 2012 (the "Compliance Date"). If at any time between the Effective Date and the Compliance Date, Settling Defendant is not in material compliance with the Workplan, Settling Defendant shall provide warnings in compliance with Section 3 for all Potato Crisps shipped for sale in California that contain acrylamide in excess of the Target Level. Between the Effective Date and the Compliance Date, Settling Defendant shall continue its program of research, development, and implementation of

technologies and methods intended to reduce the presence of acrylamide in the Covered Products shipped for sale in California. Settling Defendant shall endeavor in good faith, using commercially and technologically reasonable efforts, to achieve the Target Level in the Covered Products shipped for sale in California by the Compliance Date. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Paragraph 2.1, once Settling Defendant has achieved the Target Level, it shall be deemed in material compliance with the Workplan, and shall not be obligated to undertake any task identified in the Workplan that has not yet been completed.

- 2.2. "Shipped for sale in California" means Covered Products that Settling Defendant either directly ships into California for sale in California or that it sells to a distributor who Settling Defendant knows will sell the Covered Products to consumers in California. Where a retailer or distributor sells products both in California and other states, Settling Defendant shall take commercially reasonable steps to ensure that, after the Target Level has been reached, the only Covered Products that are sold in California are either (i) Covered Products for which Settling Defendant has complied with Paragraph 2; or (ii) Covered Products for which Settling Defendant has complied with Paragraph 3.
 - 2.3. Standard and Verification.
- (a) Testing for acrylamide shall be performed using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties to this Consent Judgment.
- (b) Settling Defendant shall collect, over no less than a ten-day period, random samples of each of the Covered Products from at least 30 different batches, or production lots, of such Covered Products produced at locations that supply such Covered Products to California.

 Each unique stock keeping unit shall be sampled at least once.
- (c) To comply with the Target Level, testing conducted in accordance with the protocol set forth in Paragraph 2.3(a) of samples selected in accordance with the protocol set forth in Paragraph 2.3(b) must establish that the arithmetic mean of acrylamide levels for the Covered Products sampled is at or below 490 parts per billion with a 95% confidence level, i.e., p<0.05.

6

10 11

9

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

26 27

- (d) Between the Effective Date and the Compliance Date, Settling Defendant shall make its test data available to the Attorney General on written request. All test results of acrylamide concentrations, once provided to the Attorney General, shall be public documents, but nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude Settling Defendant from claiming business confidentiality as to sales volume, revenue, or profits of any or all of the Covered Products.
- On or before the Compliance Date, Settling Defendant shall provide the Attorney (e) General with written notice of compliance with the Target Level for Covered Products shipped for sale in California, including the calculation required to demonstrate achievement of the Target Level, and test results (provided separately from any sales or revenue data or related calculations) ("Compliance Report"). Thereafter, Settling Defendant shall be required to conduct additional tests of the Covered Products according to the protocol described in this Paragraph 2.3 both (1) in the first year after the Target Level has been achieved, and (2) during the second year after the Target Level has been achieved, provided there is at least a nine-month interval between the last test conducted in the first year and the first test conducted in the second year. On or before September 30, 2013, Settling Defendant shall provide the Attorney General with a second Compliance Report regarding testing conducted after September 30, 2012. On or before September 30, 2014, Settling Defendant shall provide the Attorney General with a third Compliance Report regarding testing conducted after September 30, 2013. Provided that the second and third Compliance Reports confirm that the Target Level has been maintained for all of the Covered Products shipped for sale in California, as determined by the protocol set forth in Paragraph 2.3, Settling Defendant shall have no further duty to test the Covered Products.
- (f) If Settling Defendant has not achieved the Target Level by the Compliance Date for all of the Covered Products shipped for sale in California, it shall provide warnings for the Covered Products shipped for sale in California as provided in Paragraph 3. Settling Defendant may continue testing of the Covered Products until tests demonstrate that the Target Level has been achieved for all of the Covered Products shipped for sale in California, at which time, upon providing the Attorney General with written notice of compliance, including the calculation required to demonstrate achievement of the Target Level, and test results (provided separately

from any sales or revenue data or related calculations), Settling Defendant shall have no further duty to warn.

achieved, if the Attorney General believes that the Target Level has not been achieved, the Attorney General may apply to the Court for enforcement of this Consent Judgment based on results of the Attorney General's own testing showing that the Target Level has not been achieved. Any data used by the Attorney General for this purpose must be the result of testing and analysis performed by methods consistent with Paragraph 2.3(a) and include as many samples of each Covered Product as are required by Paragraph 2.3(b). A prima facie showing of violation based on such test results may be rebutted by a showing made in compliance with all aspects of the testing and sampling protocol under Paragraph 2.3.

2.4. Technology Licensing.

The requirements in this Consent Judgment are not contingent upon the use of any particular method to achieve the Target Level, but Settling Defendant shall license any patented technology used to meet the Target Level, whether existing or in the future, to others for use in other food products, at a commercially reasonable price and using other commercially reasonable terms.

2.5. Sales in Schools.

- (a) Direct sales and marketing. Settling Defendant shall not market Covered Products to California schools for students from kindergarten through grade 12, and shall not directly sell Covered Products to K-12 schools in California without the warning required by Paragraph 3, unless the Covered Products have achieved the Target Level.
- (b) Indirect sales and marketing. Within 30 days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant (or its agent) shall notify all of its distributors that distribute Covered Products in California that Covered Products may not be sold in California schools for students from kindergarten through grade 12 without the warning required by Paragraph 3 unless the Covered Products have achieved the Target Level. Settling Defendant (or its agent) shall notify its distributors by sending them a letter substantially as provided in Exhibit C. The letter shall

request that the receiving distributor provide Settling Defendant a written acknowledgment of receipt. Settling Defendant (or its agent) shall send a follow-up letter, substantially as provided in Exhibit D, to the same distributors who were sent the original letter and who did not send any acknowledgment. Settling Defendant (or its agent) shall maintain files demonstrating compliance with this provision, including the letters sent and receipts of any acknowledgments from retailers, which shall be provided to the Attorney General on written request.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNINGS

- 3.1. If Settling Defendant does not achieve the Target Level by the Compliance Date, Settling Defendant shall, within 30 days and until such time as it achieves the Target Level, provide warnings either:
- (a) by placing a warning label as described in Paragraph 3.2 (or Paragraph 3.4, if applicable) on the package of all Covered Products shipped for sale in California that Settling Defendant would need to exclude from the calculations in Paragraph 2.3(c) in order to achieve the Target Level; or, at Settling Defendant's option,
- (b) by providing signs as described in Paragraph 3.3 (or Paragraph 3.4, if applicable) for all Covered Products shipped for sale in California that Settling Defendant would need to exclude from the calculations in Paragraph 2.3(c) in order to achieve the Target Level.
- 3.2. Label Warnings. A label warning placed on the package of a Covered Product pursuant to Paragraph 3.1(a) shall either (a) conform to the requirements for the "safe harbor" warning methods set out in Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, sections 25601 et seq., and, at the Settling Defendant's option, may also state that acrylamide is the chemical in question; or (b) provide substantially the same information as set forth for sign warnings in Paragraph 3.3(b).
 - 3.3. Sign Warnings.
- (a) Form of Sign. A warning sign shall be rectangular and at least 36 square inches in size, with the word "WARNING" centered one-half of an inch from the top of the sign in ITC Garamond bold condensed type face all in one-half inch capital letters. The body of the warning message shall be in ITC Garamond bold condensed type face. For the body of the warning message, left and right margins of at least one-half of an inch, and a bottom margin of at least

6

11

10

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

one-half inch shall be observed. Larger signs shall bear substantially the same proportions of type size and spacing to sign dimension as a sign that is 36 square inches in size.

(b) Text of Sign.

Unless modified by agreement of the Parties to this Consent Judgment, or as provided in Paragraph 3.4, the sign shall contain the following text (text in brackets is optional):

WARNING

Potato crisps contain acrylamide, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer [and reproductive toxicity¹]. Acrylamide is not added to this food, but is created when this food and certain other foods, such as French fries, potato chips, crackers, and cookies, are cooked at high temperatures. The FDA has not advised people to stop eating potato crisps or any other foods containing acrylamide as a result of cooking. For more information, see the FDA's website at www.fda.gov or the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's website at www.oehha.ca.gov.

- Placement of Sign. To the extent that Settling Defendant is required to provide a (c) warning under this Consent Judgment and chooses to do so by providing signs, it shall instruct retailers that the sign shall be posted as follows: on the shelf(ves) or in the aisle(s) where the Covered Products for which the warning is being provided are sold; unless the store has less than 7,500 square feet of retail space and no more than two cash registers, in which case it may be placed at each cash register. In addition, if the store operates a customer service desk or similar central facility, the sign shall also be posted at that location.
- Distribution. Settling Defendant (or its agent) shall provide signs to retailers who (d) operate retail locations in California that are collectively responsible for at least 70 percent of Settling Defendant's sales in the State of California of Covered Products for which the warning is being provided. Signs shall be provided with a letter substantially as provided in Exhibit E, in which posting instructions are provided. The letter shall request that the receiving retailer provide Settling Defendant a written acknowledgment that the sign will be posted. Settling Defendant shall send a follow up letter substantially as provided in Exhibit F to the same retailers who were

¹ The language in brackets must be added if the Covered Product(s) contain acrylamide in levels exceeding the Maximum Allowable Dose Level for acrylamide as a reproductive toxicant.

sent the original letter and who did not send any acknowledgment. Settling Defendant (or its agent) shall maintain files demonstrating compliance with this provision, including the letters sent and receipts of any acknowledgments from retailers, which shall be provided to the Attorney General on written request.

- 3.4. Alternative Warning Language. If, after the Compliance Date, any other defendant in this action is allowed to provide warnings using language set forth in another consent judgment entered in this case that differs from the language required by this Consent Judgment, then Settling Defendant may, after providing 60 days' written notice to the Attorney General, use the same warning language set forth in that other consent judgment for labels or the text of signs, to the extent that such language is applicable to the Covered Products, provided that the Attorney General does not make a written objection within thirty days of the Attorney General's receipt of the proposed change in warning language. Settling Defendant may file an application with this Court in order to resolve any objection received from the Attorney General.
 - 3.5. Option to Provide Warnings.
- (a) With respect to the Covered Products, Settling Defendant may opt to provide warnings under Paragraph 3.1 and cease its acrylamide reduction efforts under Paragraph 2 if either or both of the following conditions have been satisfied with respect to the Covered Products: (i) acrylamide warnings covering potato crisps manufactured and sold by other companies appear on packages of such products accounting for 20% of sales of all such products in California that are not produced by Settling Defendant, based on IRI sales data; and/or (ii) non-package acrylamide warnings specifically mentioning potato crisps appear at 500 or more store locations in California.
- (b) If Settling Defendant believes either or both conditions has/have occurred with respect to the Covered Products, it shall give notice of such to the Attorney General, together with documentation evidencing such occurrence. Following such notice, Settling Defendant and the Attorney General will promptly meet and confer regarding the situation, and following that meet and confer period of no longer than 30 days, Settling Defendant, by giving further notice of at least 30 days to the Attorney General, which the Attorney General may extend, at his option, by

12 ¹

///

up to 60 days, may elect to (i) cease acrylamide reduction efforts with respect to the Covered Products; (ii) provide the warnings required by Paragraph 3.1 for the Covered Products; and (iii) within 30 days make all remaining payments required by Paragraph 4 with respect to the Covered Products.

3.7. Extra-Territorial Effect. Nothing in this Consent Judgment requires that warnings be given for any Covered Products that are not shipped for sale in California.

4. PAYMENTS

4.1. Initial Civil Penalty. Settling Defendant shall pay a civil penalty to the Attorney General pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.12 of \$100,000 no later than January 2, 2012. In addition, if Settling Defendant has not achieved the Target Level by December 31, 2011, for all Covered Products shipped for sale in California, using the methodology set forth in Paragraph 2.3, Settling Defendant shall make additional monthly penalty payments, beginning January 31, 2012, and continuing through August 31, 2012, for each month that Settling Defendant has not achieved the Target Level for all Covered Products shipped for sale in California. These monthly payments shall be as follows:

January 31, 2012	\$2,000
February 28, 2012	\$3,000
March 31, 2012	\$4,000
April 30, 2012	\$5,000
May 31, 2012	\$6,000
June 30, 2012	\$15,000
July 31, 2012	\$20,000
August 31, 2012	\$25,000

Each of these penalty payments shall be divided in accordance with Health & Safety Code section 25249.12, subdivisions (c) and (d), with 75% of the penalty to be deposited in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund, and 25% of the penalty to be paid to the Office of the Attorney General, as follows:

Date of payment	Payment to Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund	Payment to Office of the Attorney General
January 2, 2012	\$75,000	\$25,000
January 31, 2012	\$1,500	\$500
February 28, 2012	\$2,250	\$750
March 31, 2012	\$3,000	\$1,000
April 30, 2012	\$3,750	\$1,250
May 31, 2012	\$4,500	\$1,500
June 30, 2012	\$11,250	\$3,750
July 31, 2012	\$15,000	\$5,000
August 31, 2012	\$18,750	\$6,250

- (a) The 75% share of the penalties to be deposited in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund shall be paid by check payable to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, with the check to bear the notation "Proposition 65 AG Matter ID OK2011950032."
- (b) The 25% share of the penalties to be paid to the Office of the Attorney General shall be paid by check payable to the "California Department of Justice Litigation Deposit Fund." The check shall bear on its face "Proposition 65 Recoveries Fund" and the Attorney General's internal reference number for this matter (OK2011950032). The money paid to the Attorney General's Office pursuant to this paragraph shall be administered by the California Department of Justice and shall be used by the Environment Section of the Public Rights Division of the Attorney General's Office, until all funds are exhausted, for any of the following purposes:
- (1) implementation of the Attorney General's authority to protect the environment and natural resources of the State pursuant to Government Code section 12600 et seq. and as Chief Law Officer of the State of California pursuant to Article V, section 13 of the California Constitution; (2) enforcement of laws related to environmental protection, including, but not limited to, Chapters 6.5 and 6.95, Division 20, of the California Health & Safety Code; (3)

- 4.2. Final Civil Penalties. As a further incentive for earlier achievement of acrylamide reduction, Settling Defendant shall pay an additional civil penalty ("Final Civil Penalty") to the Attorney General pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25249.12 of \$30,000 no later than the Compliance Date, but if Settling Defendant has achieved the Target Level before the Compliance Date for all Covered Products shipped for sale in California, such Final Civil Penalty shall be waived. This payment, if made, shall be divided in accordance with Health & Safety Code section 25249.12, subdivisions (c) and (d), with \$22,500 (75% of the penalty) to be deposited in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund, and \$7,500 (25% of the penalty) to be paid to the Office of the Attorney General.
- (a) The 75% share of the penalty to be deposited in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund shall be paid by check payable to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, with the check to bear the notation "Proposition 65 AG Matter ID OK2011950032."
- (b) The 25% share of the penalty to be paid to the Office of the Attorney General shall be paid by check payable to the "California Department of Justice Litigation Deposit Fund."

 The check shall bear on its face "Proposition 65 Recoveries Fund" and the Attorney General's internal reference number for this matter (OK2011950032). The money paid to the Attorney

24

25

26

27

28

General's Office pursuant to this paragraph shall be administered by the California Department of Justice and shall be used by the Environment Section of the Public Rights Division of the Attorney General's Office, until all funds are exhausted, for any of the following purposes: (1) implementation of the Attorney General's authority to protect the environment and natural resources of the State pursuant to Government Code section 12600 et seq. and as Chief Law Officer of the State of California pursuant to Article V, section 13 of the California Constitution; (2) enforcement of laws related to environmental protection, including, but not limited to, Chapters 6.5 and 6.95, Division 20, of the California Health & Safety Code; (3) enforcement of the Unfair Competition Law, Business & Professions Code section 17200 et seq., as it relates to protection of the environment and natural resources of the State of California; and (4) other environmental actions that benefit the State and its citizens as determined by the Attorney General. Such funding may be used for the costs of the Attorney General's investigation, filing fees and other court costs, payment to expert witnesses and technical consultants, purchase of equipment, laboratory analyses, personnel costs, travel costs, and other costs necessary to pursue environmental actions investigated or initiated by the Attorney General for the benefit of the State of California and its citizens. The payment, and any interest derived therefrom, shall solely and exclusively augment the budget of the Attorney General's Office as it pertains to the Environment Section of the Public Rights Division and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the Attorney General's budget.

4.3. Enforcement Fund Payment. Within 30 days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay \$10,000 to be used by the Attorney General for the enforcement of Proposition 65. This payment shall be made by check payable to the "California Department of Justice." The check shall bear on its face "Proposition 65 Enforcement Fund" and the Attorney General's internal reference number for this matter (OK2011950032). Funds paid pursuant to this paragraph shall be placed in an interest-bearing Special Deposit Fund established by the Attorney General. These funds, including any interest, shall be used by the Attorney General, until all funds are exhausted, for the costs and expenses associated with the enforcement and implementation of Proposition 65, including investigations, enforcement actions, and other

litigation or activities as determined by the Attorney General to be reasonably necessary to carry out his duties and authority under Proposition 65. Such funding may be used for the costs of the Attorney General's investigation, filing fees and other court costs, payment to expert witnesses and technical consultants, purchase of equipment, travel, purchase of written materials, laboratory testing, sample collection, or any other cost associated with the Attorney General's duties or authority under Proposition 65. Funding placed in the Special Deposit Fund pursuant to this paragraph, and any interest derived therefrom, shall solely and exclusively augment the budget of the Attorney General's Office and in no manner shall supplant or cause any reduction of any portion of the Attorney General's budget.

- 4.4. Delivery. The payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be made as follows:
 - (a) All payments required by Paragraphs 4.1(a) and 4.2(a) shall be sent directly to:

Senior Accounting Officer – MS 19-B
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010
Sacramento, CA 95812-0410

(b) All payments required by Paragraphs 4.1(b), 4.2(b), and 4.3 shall be made through the delivery of separate checks to the attention of Laura J. Zuckerman, Deputy Attorney General, California Department of Justice, 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor, Oakland, CA 94612, with a copy of the checks and cover letter to be sent to Robert Thomas, Legal Analyst, California Department of Justice, 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor, Oakland, CA 94612.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1. Procedure for Modification. Except as provided in Paragraph 1.5, this Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of the Attorney General and Settling Defendant, after noticed motion, and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of the Attorney General or Settling Defendant as provided herein or as otherwise provided by law, and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court. Before filing an application with the Court for a modification to this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendant shall meet and confer with the Attorney General to determine whether the Attorney General will

consent to the proposed modification. If a proposed modification is agreed upon, then Settling Defendant and the Attorney General will present the modification to the Court by means of a stipulated modification to the Consent Judgment. Otherwise, Settling Defendant shall bear the burden of establishing that the modification is appropriate based on the occurrence of a condition set forth in this Consent Judgment or as otherwise provided by law.

- 5.2 Other Settlements.
- (a) If the Attorney General agrees in a settlement or judicially entered consent judgment with another manufacturer of pellet-based popped potato crisps on terms, as drafted or as implemented, that (i) are materially more beneficial to the defendant than those set forth in this Consent Judgment as to the Compliance Date, or the form, manner or content of warning, or (ii) allow pellet-based popped potato crisps with a designated Target Level higher than 490 ppb to be shipped for sale and/or sold in California without a warning, this may provide grounds for Settling Defendant to seek modification pursuant to Paragraph 5.1.
- (b) If the Attorney General agrees in a settlement or judicially entered consent judgment that pellet-based popped potato crisps do not require a warning under Proposition 65 (based on the presence of acrylamide), or if a court of competent jurisdiction renders a final judgment, and the judgment becomes final, that pellet-based popped potato crisps (as sold by other companies) do not require a warning for acrylamide under Proposition 65, then Settling Defendant may seek, but is not automatically entitled to, a modification of this Consent Judgment to eliminate its duties to warn and/or other duties related to the reduction of acrylamide levels as to those products.
- 5.3. Change in Proposition 65. If Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations are changed from their terms as they exist on the date of entry of this Consent Judgment, either Party or both Parties may seek modification of the Consent Judgment through stipulated or noticed motion as follows:
- (a) If the change establishes that warnings for acrylamide in Covered Products are not required, Settling Defendant may seek a modification of this Consent Judgment to eliminate its duties to warn and/or its duty to reduce acrylamide levels.

 (b) If the change establishes that the warnings provided by this Consent Judgment would not comply with Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations, either Party may seek a modification of the Consent Judgment to conform the judgment to the change in law.

- safe-harbor warning, Settling Defendant shall meet and confer with the Attorney General and, following agreement (if one is reached), jointly apply to the Court for approval of a plan for implementing warnings in such manner. If no agreement is reached, Settling Defendant may seek a modification of this Consent Judgment to provide a new form, manner, or content for an optional or safe-harbor warning. In the absence of agreement between the Parties, it shall be Settling Defendant's burden to establish that the proposed warning complies with any new safe harbor method of providing warnings for food that is applicable to Covered Products, or that the warning is provided in a manner that complies with the law and is at least as effective (i.e., is not materially less informative or likely to be seen, read, and understood) as the forms of warning otherwise required by this Consent Judgment.
- 5.4. Correspondence with the Federal Government. If Settling Defendant corresponds in writing to an agency or branch of the United States Government in connection with the application of Proposition 65 to acrylamide in food products, then so long as such correspondence does not fall within one of the exemptions to the Freedom of Information Act, Settling Defendant shall provide the Attorney General with a copy of such communication as soon as practicable, but not more than 10 days after sending or receiving the correspondence; provided, however, that this Paragraph shall not apply to correspondence solely to or from trade associations or other groups of which Settling Defendant is a member, nor shall this Paragraph apply to the extent Settling Defendant is no longer required to test for acrylamide under this Consent Judgment.
- 5.5. Federal Preemption. If a court of competent jurisdiction or an agency of the federal government (including, but not limited to, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration) states, through any regulation or legally binding act, that federal law has preemptive effect on any of the requirements of this Consent Judgment, including, but not limited to precluding Settling Defendant from providing any of the warnings set forth in this Consent Judgment or the manner

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ENFORCEMENT

determination has not been made.

The People may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any such proceeding, the People may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment, and where said violations of this Consent Judgment constitute subsequent violations of Proposition 65 or other laws independent of the Consent Judgment and/or those alleged in the Complaint, the People are not limited to enforcement of the Consent Judgment, but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies are provided for by law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws. In any action brought by the People alleging subsequent violations of Proposition 65 or other laws, Settling Defendant may assert any and all defenses that are available.

Judgment to bring it into compliance with or avoid conflict with federal law. The modification

modification is necessary to bring this Consent Judgment into compliance with or avoid conflict

with federal law. Specifically, a determination that the provision of some, but not all, forms of

warning described in Paragraph 3 above is not permitted shall not relieve Settling Defendant of

the duty to provide one of the other warnings described under this judgment for which such

shall not be granted unless this Court concludes, in a final judgment or order, that such

7. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

Each signatory to the Parties' stipulation for entry of this Consent Judgment has certified that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment, to enter into and execute the stipulation on behalf of the Party represented, and legally to bind that Party.

8. CLAIMS COVERED

This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between the People and Settling Defendant, of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations, Business & Professions Code sections 17200 et seq., and any other statutory, regulatory or

common law duty or requirement, and fully and finally resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant, for failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to acrylamide from the consumption of the Covered Products, as well as any other claim based on the facts or conduct alleged in the Complaint as to the Covered Products, whether based on actions committed by Settling Defendant or by any entity to whom it distributes or sells Covered Products, or any entity that sells the Covered Products to consumers in the state of California. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves, as to Covered Products, any issue or claim, now, in the past, and in the future, concerning compliance by Settling Defendant, its parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, sister companies, affiliates, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees; and distributors, wholesalers, and retailers who sell Covered Products; and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Affiliates"), with the requirements of Proposition 65 and its implementing regulations as to the duty to warn about acrylamide in Covered Products shipped for sale in California, except that this sentence does not apply to, or resolve any claims against, the following entities:

- (a) distributors of Settling Defendant who, after the Effective Date, but prior to the date the Covered Products achieve compliance with the Target Level, sell Covered Products in California schools for students from kindergarten through grade 12; and
- (b) retailers who, after the Compliance Date, do not post signs sent to them pursuant to Paragraph 3.3(c) and (d).

It is the intent of the Parties that compliance with Paragraph 2.1 of this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65. Moreover, it is the Parties' understanding that the Environmental Law Foundation ("ELF") has represented that, if Settling Defendant enters into this Consent Judgment, and the People file a motion with the Court for its entry, ELF shall consider compliance with the Consent Judgment to be consistent with the requirements set forth in Paragraphs 2 and 3.1 of its Consent Judgment in Environmental Law Foundation v. Albertsons, et al. (Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC 384665).

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce this Consent Judgment.

10. PROVISION OF NOTICE

- 10.1. When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by overnight courier service to the person and address set forth in this Paragraph. Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by certified mail, return receipt requested. Said change shall take effect on the date the return receipt is signed by the Party receiving the change.
- 10.2. Notices shall be sent by First Class Mail and/or overnight delivery to the following when required:
- For the People/the Attorney General:
- 13 Laura J. Zuckerman

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Timothy E. Sullivan
 - Deputy Attorneys General
 - 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor
- 15 Oakland, CA 94612
- 16 For Settling Defendant:
- 17 Michèle B. Corash, Esq.
- Morrison & Foerster LLP
- 18 425 Market Street
- San Francisco, CA 94105

11. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment shall be submitted to the Court for entry by noticed motion. If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and may not be used by the Attorney General or Settling Defendant for any purpose.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1. This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party

Exhibit A

COVERED PRODUCTS

Group A. All flavors of pellet-based popped potato crisp products manufactured by Settling Defendant, including but not limited to

Original
Barbeque
Sour Cream and Onion
Salt and Vinegar
Salt and Pepper
Cheddar
Parmesan Garlic
Jalapeño

Exhibit B

Popchips Workplan for Acrylamide Reduction

This Workplan lists specific steps Popchips must take (in addition to those undertakings listed in the Consent Judgment as to Defendant Popchips, Inc. ["Consent Judgment"]) in good faith to achieve the Target Level no later than the Compliance Date set forth in Section 2.1 of the Consent Judgment for all of its pellet-based popped potato crisps shipped for sale in California ("Popped Crisps").

1. Contracts with suppliers

- (a) Growers No later than May 1, 2012, Popchips will contract directly with growers, setting specifications that secure adequate supply to our flakers of low-sugar, lower-asparagine potatoes from the crop to be used in the manufacture of flakes and pellets available to Popchips in 2012 ("2012 Crop"). These potatoes are hereinafter referred to as "Popchips Potatoes."
- (b) Flakers Beginning with the 2012 Crop, Popchips will also contract, no later than August 1, 2012, directly with potato flakers, setting specifications to assure that they:
 - Use only Popchips Potatoes to make the flakes sold to Popchips' pellet supplier ("Popchips Flakes").
 - Process Popchips Potatoes as soon as is feasible after arrival, to minimize the amount of time that the Popchips Potatoes are stored.
 - Improve quality control measures intended to minimize the presence of peels or other materials that could increase the sugar or asparagine content of the Popchips Flakes.
 - Test each batch of Popchips Flakes to make certain that they meet the specification for sugar content (no greater than 0.5% by weight) before shipping to Popchips' pellet suppliers.
- (c) Pellet Suppliers Popchips will require its pellet supplier(s) to implement the following upgrades to the pellet-making process:
 - For Popped Crisps manufactured from potatoes from crops available prior to the 2012 Crop, employ Novozyme or other similar asparaginase additive ("Enzymes") to reduce the levels of acrylamide in all pellets supplied to Popchips ("Popchips Pellets).
 - Install new or upgraded equipment necessary to facilitate the addition of Enzymes to Popchips Pellets.
 - Continue to review the process to discover and implement additional modifications to the process to reduce or moderate the presence of

21222324252627

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Exhibit C

THIS COMMUNICATION APPLIES ONLY TO DISTRIBUTORS WHO DISTRIBUTE POPCHIPS PRODUCTS IN CALIFORNIA

Popchips, Inc. has entered into a consent judgment with the Attorney General for the State of California regarding the presence of acrylamide in its pellet-based popped potato crisp products sold in California.

Under the terms of this consent judgment, a copy of which is attached, Covered Products may not be sold in California schools for students from kindergarten through grade 12 without the warning required by Paragraph 3 unless the Covered Products have achieved the Target Level. Popchips, Inc. requests that you ensure that you do not, directly or indirectly, distribute to or sell in any K-12 school in California any Popchips products until you have been notified to the contrary in writing by Popchips, Inc. In the consent judgment, Popchips, Inc. obtained a conditional release on your behalf. For the release to continue to be effective after the date of this letter, you need to comply with the directions in this communication.

Please sign and return the written acknowledgment below to acknowledge that you have received this letter and you will comply with its directions until you receive written instruction from Popchips, Inc. to the contrary.

Thank you for your cooperation. If you have any questions, please contact

Acknowledged by:

__(Signature) __(Print Name) (Company)

(Date)

Exhibit D

THIS COMMUNICATION APPLIES ONLY TO DISTRIBUTORS WHO DISTRIBUTE POPCHIPS PRODUCTS IN CALIFORNIA

On [Date], Popchips, Inc. sent you a letter notifying you of the requirements of a consent judgment entered into between Popchips, Inc. and the Attorney General for the State of California regarding the presence of acrylamide in its pellet-based popped potato crisp products sold in California.

Under the terms of this consent judgment, a copy of which is attached again for your convenience, Covered Products may not be sold in California schools for students in kindergarten through grade 12 without the warning required by Paragraph 3 unless the Covered Products have achieved the Target Level. Popchips, Inc. requests that you ensure that you do not, directly or indirectly, distribute to or sell in any K-12 school in California any Popchips products until you have been notified to the contrary in writing by Popchips, Inc.

As stated in our prior letter, Popchips, Inc. obtained a conditional release in the consent judgment on your behalf. For the release to be effective after the date of the prior letter, you need to comply with the directions in this communication.

We have not received your written acknowledgment that you have received our prior letter and will comply with its directions. Please sign and return the written acknowledgement below to acknowledge that you have received this letter and you will comply with its directions until you receive written instruction from Popchips, Inc. to the contrary.

Thank you for your cooperation. If you have any questions, please contact

(Signature)

(Date)

(Print Name) (Company)

17 Acknowledged by:

..

1 Exhibit E (For use if Settling Defendant provides sign warnings pursuant to Paragraph 3.3) 2 THIS COMMUNICATION APPLIES ONLY TO 3 **RETAIL LOCATIONS IN CALIFORNIA** 4 5 Popchips, Inc. has entered into a consent judgment with the Attorney General for the State of California regarding the presence of acrylamide in its pellet-based popped potato crisp products sold by retailers at retail locations in California. 6 7 Under the terms of this consent judgment, Popchips, Inc. is providing the enclosed sign warnings to retailers to be posted in retail stores selling any of the pellet-based popped potato crisp products identified below in California. In the consent judgment, Popchips, Inc. obtained a 8 conditional release on your behalf. For the release to continue to be effective after the date of this 9 letter, you need to comply with the directions in this communication. We request that you post these signs on your shelf(ves) or in your aisle(s) where the identified 10 products are sold. For stores less with than 7,500 square feet of retail space and no more than two cash registers, the sign may be placed at each cash register instead of on the shelf(ves) or in the 11 aisle(s). Additionally, stores that operate a customer service desk or similar central facility must 12 also post a sign at that location. Please sign and return the written acknowledgment below to acknowledge that you have received 13 the signs and that they will be posted in accordance with these specifications until you receive written instruction from Popchips, Inc. to the contrary. 14 15 Thank you for your cooperation. If you need more signs or have any questions, such as the appropriate sign locations for your specific retail store(s), please contact 16 Acknowledged by: 17 (Signature) (Print Name) 18 (Company/Store Location) (Date) 19 20 List of Products 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Exhibit F

2

1

(For use if Settling Defendant provides sign warnings pursuant to Paragraph 3.3)

3

THIS COMMUNICATION APPLIES ONLY TO RETAIL LOCATIONS IN CALIFORNIA

4 5

On [Date], Popchips, Inc. sent you a letter enclosing sign warnings for posting in your store(s) in California pursuant to a consent judgment entered into between Popchips, Inc. and the Attorney General for the State of California regarding the presence of acrylamide in its pellet-based popped potato crisp products sold by retailers at retail locations in California.

7

6

8 9

These signs are to be posted on your shelf(ves) or in your aisle(s) where any of the pellet-based popped potato crisp products identified below are sold in your stores in California. For stores with less than 7,500 square feet of retail space and no more than two cash registers, the sign may be placed at each cash register instead of on the shelf(ves) or in the aisle(s). Additionally, stores that operate a customer service desk or similar central facility must also post a sign at that location.

10

11

12

As stated in our prior letter, Popchips, Inc. obtained a conditional release in the consent judgment on your behalf. For the release to be effective after the date of the prior letter, you need to comply with the directions in this communication.

13

We have not received your written acknowledgment that you have received the signs and that your store(s) will post these signs. Please sign and return the written acknowledgement below to acknowledge that you have received the signs and that they will be posted in accordance with these specifications until you receive written instruction from Popchips, Inc. to the contrary.

15

14

Thank you for your cooperation. If you need more signs or have any questions, such as the appropriate sign locations for your specific retail store(s), please contact

17

16

Acknowledged by: 18

(Signature) (Print Name)

19

(Company/Store Location) (Date)

20

21

22

23

24

25

OK2011950032

90221505.doc

26

27

28

List of Products