1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
10		
11	CENTED FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	O N DC 17 070000
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	
13	Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT) AS TO CALBEE NORTH AMERICA,
14	v.) LLC
15	GOYA FOODS, INC., et al.,))
16	Defendants.))
17))
18		
19		
20		
21	1. DEFINITIONS	
22	1.1 The "Complaint" means the operativ	ve complaint in the above-captioned matter.
23	1.2 "Covered Products" means the speci	ific fried or baked potato or sweet potato based
24	snack food products listed on Exhibit A, attached h	ereto, all of which are sliced potato chip
25	products.	
26		which this Consent Judgment is entered by the
27	Court.	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED	1	
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – CALBEE NORTH AN	MERICA, LLC – CASE NO. RG 17-870238

- 1.4 "First Payment Date" means the date ten (10) calendar days after the Effective Date.
 - 1.5 "Second Payment Date" means December 31, 2018.

2. INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Calbee North America, LLC ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the Complaint.
- 2.2 On or about October 28, 2016, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 ("60-Day Notice") to the California Attorney General, to the District Attorneys of every county in California, to the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons in California to acrylamide contained in fried or baked potato or sweet potato based snack foods without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 2.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells, or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so in the past. Since receiving CEH's 60-Day Notice, Settling Defendant has taken steps to reduce acrylamide levels in the Covered Products.
- 2.4 On August 3, 2017, CEH filed the initial Complaint in the above-captioned matter, naming Settling Defendant as an original defendant. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment, the operative Complaint is deemed amended such that the term "Potato or Sweet Potato Snack Products" as to Settling Defendant only means Covered Products.
- 2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent

10

11

12

13

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

2.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action.

3.1

Reformulation of Covered Products. Upon the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell, or offer for sale Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale in California that exceed the following acrylamide concentration levels (the "Reformulation Levels"), such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatrograph/Mass Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry), or any other testing method agreed upon by the Parties, unless such Covered Products contain a "Clear and Reasonable Warning" as set forth in Section 3.2 below:

3.1.1 The average acrylamide concentration shall not exceed 281 parts per billion ("ppb") by weight (the "Average Level"). The Average Level shall be determined by randomly selecting and testing at least 1 sample each from 5 different lots of a particular Covered Product (or the maximum number of lots available for testing if less than 5) during a testing period of at least sixty (60) days.

- 3.1.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit shall not exceed 350 ppb by weight, based on a representative composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested (the "Unit Level").
- 3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings. A Covered Product purchased, manufactured, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant may, as an alternative to meeting the Reformulation Levels set forth in Section 3.1, be sold or offered for sale in California with a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with the provisions of this Section 3.2. A Clear and Reasonable Warning may only be provided for Covered Products that Settling Defendant reasonably believes do not meet the Reformulation Levels. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Agreement shall state:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including acrylamide, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product, on the packaging of the Covered Product, or on a placard or sign provided that the statement is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be seen, read, and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the warning statement is displayed on the Covered Product's label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a text box. If the warning statement is displayed on a placard or sign where the Covered Product is offered for sale, the warning placard or sign must enable an ordinary individual to easily determine which specific Covered Products the warning applies to, and to differentiate between that Covered Product and other products to which the warning statement does not apply. For internet, catalog, or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

3.3 **Further Reformulation Efforts.** In the event that Settling Defendant is unable to meet the Reformulation Levels set forth in Section 3.1 as to any Covered Product and opts instead to provide a Clear and Reasonable Warning under Section 3.2, Settling Defendant shall make good faith efforts to reduce acrylamide levels in such Covered Products with the goal of ultimately achieving compliance with the Reformulation Levels.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 **General Enforcement Provisions**. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3.1 or 3.2 by Settling Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.2.4 if applicable.

4.2 **Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.**

4.2.1 <u>Notice of Violation</u>. In the event that CEH identifies a Covered Product that was sold or offered for sale to California consumers with a best-by or sell-by (or equivalent) date or other code that reflects that the Covered Product was manufactured on or after the Effective Date, and which lacks a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with Section 3.2, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product has an acrylamide level exceeding the Unit Level, CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section.

4.2.2 <u>Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.</u>

4.2.2.1 Subject to Section 4.2.1, the Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be served within sixty (60) days of the later of the date the Covered Product at issue was purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH or the date that CEH can reasonably determine that the Covered Product at issue was manufactured, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 4.2.2.2

below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period.

- 4.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the Covered Product was purchased; (b) the location at which the Covered Product was purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and pictures of the product packaging from all sides, which identifies the product lot; and (d) all test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Product and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Product.
- 4.2.3 <u>Notice of Election of Response.</u> No more than thirty (30) days after effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. Upon notice to CEH, Settling Defendant may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to elect if, notwithstanding Settling Defendant's good faith efforts, Settling Defendant is unable to verify the test data provided by CEH before expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.
- 4.2.3.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all documents upon which Settling Defendant is relying to contest the alleged violation, including all available test data. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation during the meet and confer period described in Section 4.2.4, it shall notify the other Party and promptly provide all such data or information to the Party unless either the Notice of Violation or Notice of Election has been withdrawn.
- 4.2.4 <u>Meet and Confer.</u> If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant may withdraw

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2	4	
2	5	
2	6	

the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 in addition to any other payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. In any such proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are provided by law for an alleged failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

4.2.5 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.

4.2.5.1 Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that, unless they contain Clear and Reasonable Warnings, all Covered Products having the same lot number as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products") will not be thereafter sold in California or offered for sale to California customers by Settling Defendant and that Settling Defendant has sent instructions to any retailers or customers that offer the Noticed Covered Products for sale to cease offering the Noticed Covered Products for sale to California consumers and to return all such Noticed Covered Products to Settling Defendant if Settling Defendant has reason to believe the Noticed Covered Products are still offered for sale without Clear and Reasonable Warnings to California consumers. Settling Defendant shall keep for a period of one year and make available to CEH upon reasonable notice (which shall not exceed more than one request per year) for inspection and copying records of any correspondence regarding the foregoing. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before

seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a Covered Product, nor shall CEH issue more than two Notices of Violation in the first 365 days following the Effective Date.

- 4.2.5.2 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third, or fourth Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation under Section 4.2.1 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$25,000 for each subsequent Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data for the Covered Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH gave Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on the same manufacturing lot of Covered Product; and (iii) demonstrates acrylamide levels below the Unit Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation, and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent Notice of Violation. In no case shall Settling Defendant be obligated to pay more than \$100,000 for all Notices of Violation not successfully contested or withdrawn in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued.
- 4.2.6 <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.
- 4.3 **Repeat Violations.** If Settling Defendant has received four (4) or more Notices of Violation concerning the same Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling

Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on measures that Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future alleged violations.

5. **PAYMENTS**

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$196,250 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section according to the following schedule: (a) \$150,000 on or before the First Payment Date; (b) \$46,250 on or before the Second Payment Date.
- 5.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant to CEH in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and made payable as follows:
- 5.2.1 \$34,410 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for \$25,807.50 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This total amount shall be paid in two installments: (1) one payment in the amount of \$19,725.48, due on the First Payment Date; (2) one payment in the amount of \$6,082.02, due on the Second Payment Date. Each of the OEHHA penalty payments shall be delivered as follows:

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief

ON RECYCLED PAPER

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814

The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$8,602.50 shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This total amount shall be paid in two installments: (1) one payment in the amount of \$6,575.16, due on the First Payment Date; (2) one payment in the amount of \$2,027.34, due on the Second Payment Date. Each of the CEH penalty payments shall be delivered to the Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.2 \$25,806 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$ 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, \$ 3204. CEH intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from this Consent Judgment to the following purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. The total ASP amount shall be paid in two installments: (1) one payment in the amount of \$19,724.33, due on the First Payment Date; (2) one payment in the amount of \$6,081.67, due

on the Second Payment Date. Each of the ASP payments shall be delivered to the Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$136,034 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This total amount shall be paid in two installments: (1) one payment in the amount of \$103,975.03, due on the First Payment Date; (2) one payment in the amount of \$32,058.97, due on the Second Payment Date. Each of the attorneys' fees and cost payments shall be delivered to the Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office, or by an order of this Court upon motion and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

7.1 Provided that Settling Defendants comply in full with their obligations under Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on

OOCUMENT PREPAREI ON RECYCLED PAPER

liz.shoemaker@yorozulaw.com

Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

9. COURT APPROVAL

- 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 11.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action, motion, or application arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.2 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise,

1	shall b	oe deem	ned to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically
2	contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the		
3	Partie	s hereto	only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation,
4	modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in		
5	writin	g by the	e Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent
6	Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof		
7	whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.		
8	13.	RETI	ENTION OF JURISDICTION
9		13.1	This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the
10	Conse	ent Judg	ment.
11	14.	AUTI	HORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT
12		14.1	Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
13	by the	Party l	ne or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and
14	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.		
15	15.	NO E	FFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS
16		15.1	Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
17	agains	st an ent	tity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different from those contained in
18	this Consent Judgment.		
19	16.	EXE	CUTION IN COUNTERPARTS
20		16.1	The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
21	means	s of facs	simile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to
22	consti	tute one	e document.
23			
24	II IS SO ORDERED, INDUCE GED,		
25	AND	DECR	EED.
26			
27	Dated	:	Judge of the Superior Court
28			14

1 2	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
	Dated: [b///17], 2018	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
5		Clin
6		Signature
7 8		Colania Papas
9		Printed Name
10		ACSOCIATE DIRECTOR
11		Title
12	Dated: , 2018	
13	Dated, 2016	CALBEE NORTH AMERICA, LLC
14		
15 16		Signature
17		
18		Printed Name
19		Timed Name
20		Title
21		Title
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

ļ	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2	7	
3	Dated:, 2018	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4		
5		
6		Signature
7		
8 :		Printed Name
9		
10		Title
l.t.		Title
12.	D. 1 1-/15 2010	
13	Dated: <u>5//5</u> , 2018	CALBEE NORTH AMERICA, LLC
14		700
15	,	[March
16	6	Signature
17		Mio Sabata
18:		Printed Name
19		COO/ President
20		Title
21		
22		
23		
24.		
25		
26		
27		
28. DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER		1,5
is-sa denet i ist by	CONSENT JUDGMENT - CALBEE NO	DRTH AMERICA, LLC - CASE NO. RG 17-870238

1	EXHIBIT A
2	Covered Products
3	Calbee Honey Butter Potato Chips
4	Calbee Hot & Spicy Potato Chips
5	Calbee Seaweed & Salt Potato Chips
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
13 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – CALBEE NORTH AMERICA, LLC – CASE NO. RG 17-870238