1	Michael Freund SBN 99687			
2	Ryan Hoffman SBN 283297			
3	Michael Freund & Associates 1919 Addison Street, Suite 105			
	Berkeley, CA 94704			
4	Telephone: (510) 540-1992 Facsimile: (510) 540-5543			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
6	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC			
7	Anthony Cortez SBN 251743			
8	Greg Sperla SBN 278062 Greenberg Traurig LLP			
9	1201 K Street, Suite 1100			
10	Sacramento, CA 95814-3938 Telephone: (916) 442-1111			
11	Facsimile: (916) 448-1709			
12	Attorney for Defendants			
13	HARD EIGHT NUTRITION LLC, HARD EIGHT NUTRITION LLC dba BULKSUPPLEMENTS.COM			
14				
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
16	COUNTY OF	ALAMEDA		
17				
18	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC., a California non-profit	CASE NO. RG17847182		
19	corporation	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT		
20	Plaintiff,			
21	vs.	Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.		
22	HARD EIGHT NUTRITION LLC, HARD	Action Filed: January 26, 2017 Trial Date: None set		
23	EIGHT NUTRITION LLC dba BULKSUPPLEMENTS.COM, and DOES			
	1-100 Defendants.			
24	Detenuants.			
25				
26	1. INTRODUCTION			
27	1.1 On January 26, 2017, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), a			
28	non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by Page 1 of 18			

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Case No. RG17847182

1	filing a Complaint for Injunctive and Declaratory Relief and Civil Penalties (the "Complaint")		
2	pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq.		
3	("Proposition 65"), against Hard Eight Nutrition, LLC, Hard Eight Nutrition LLC dba		
4	BulkSupplements.com ("Hard Eight Nutrition") and Does 1-1001. In this action, ERC alleges		
5	that a number of products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Hard Eight Nutrition contain		
6	lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and exposition		
7	consumers to this chemical at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products		
8	(referred to hereinafter individually as a "Covered Product" or collectively as "Covered		
9	Products") are:		
10	(1) BulkSupplements.com Butcher's Broom Extract		
11	(2) BulkSupplements.com Dandelion Root		
12	(3) BulkSupplements.com Fenugreek		
13	(4) BulkSupplements.com Holy Basil		
14	(5) BulkSupplements.com Garcinia Cambogia		
15	(6) BulkSupplements.com Ginger Root Extract		
16	(7) BulkSupplements.com Psyllium Husk 500 mg.		
17	(8) BulkSupplements.com Casein Protein		
18	(9) BulkSupplements.com Spirulina Powder		
19	(10) BulkSupplements.com Spirulina Powder (Organic)		
20	(11) BulkSupplements.com Beet Root		
21	(12) BulkSupplements.com Moringa Leaf		
22	(13) BulkSupplements.com Pomegranate Extract 40% Ellagic Acid		
23	(14) BulkSupplements.com Psyllium Husk		
24	(15) BulkSupplements.com Pea Protein		
25	(16) BulkSupplements.com Bee Pollen		
26			
27			

Defendant "Hard Eight Nutrition LLC" was dismissed by Plaintiff on April 3, 2017. "Hard Eight Nutrition LLC dba Bulksupplements.com" is one entity.

Page 2 of 18

- (17) BulkSupplements.com Garcinia Cambogia 60% HCA
- (18) BulkSupplements.com Garcinia Cambogia (60% HCA) 500 mg.
- (19) BulkSupplements.com Barley Extract
- (20) BulkSupplements.com Star Anise
- (21) BulkSupplements.com Glucosamine Sulfate Potassium
- 1.2 ERC and Hard Eight Nutrition are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.3 ERC is a 501 (c)(3) California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that Hard Eight Nutrition is a business entity that has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and qualifies as a "person in the course of business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. Hard Eight Nutrition manufactures, distributes, and/or sells the Covered Products.
- 1.5 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notice of Violation dated November 14, 2016 that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Hard Eight Nutrition ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the 60-Day Notice dated November 14, 2016 is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and is incorporated herein by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney General, public enforcers, and Hard Eight Nutrition and no designated governmental entity has filed a complaint against Hard Eight Nutrition with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.
- 1.6 ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposes persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. Hard Eight Nutrition denies all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.

- 1.7 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law.
- 1.8 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- 1.9 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which the Notice of Entry of Judgment is served by Plaintiff. Electronic service of the Notice via e-mail to Counsel shall be deemed sufficient service.

#### 2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Hard Eight Nutrition as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

# 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

3.1 Beginning six months from the Effective Date, Hard Eight Nutrition shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of California", or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Products which exposes a person to a "Daily Lead Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.

shall be displayed to the customer before the purchase of any Covered Product on Hard Eight's Website (BulkSupplements.com).

## 3.2.3 General Requirements for Warnings

Hard Eight Nutrition must display the above Warnings with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, design of the label, container, or on its website, as applicable, to render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product. Hard Eight Nutrition shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the Warning only if the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is greater than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality control methodology set forth in Section 3.4. The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings also appearing on its website or on the label or container of Hard Eight Nutrition's product packaging and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters. No statements intended to or likely to have the effect of diminishing the impact of, or reducing the clarity of, the Warning on the average lay person shall accompany the Warning.

#### 3.3 Reformulated Covered Products

A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is no greater than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4.

## 3.4 Quality Control Methodology

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day, excluding amounts of allowances of lead in the ingredients listed in the table below.

INGREDIENT	ALLOWANCES OF AMOUNT OF LEAD
Calcium (Elemental)	0.8 micrograms/gram
Ferrous Fumarate	0.4 micrograms/gram
Zinc Oxide	8.0 micrograms/gram
Magnesium Oxide	0.4 micrograms/gram
Magnesium Carbonate	0.332 micrograms/gram
Magnesium Hydroxide	0.4 micrograms/gram
Zinc Gluconate	0.8 micrograms/gram
Potassium Chloride	1.1 micrograms/gram
Cocoa-powder	1.0 micrograms/gram

If at any time six months from the Effective Date, ERC tests a Covered Product and the test results indicate that the Daily Lead Exposure Level is greater than 0.5 micrograms per day, Hard Eight Nutrition agrees to confidentially supply to ERC within 30 days a list of ingredients, including the percentage of each ingredient ("Ingredient List"), of that particular Covered Product so that ERC may be able to calculate the daily exposure based on the allowances contained in the table above.

## 3.5 Testing & Recordkeeping

3.5.1 Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, Hard Eight Nutrition shall arrange for lead testing of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of three consecutive years by arranging for testing of three randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user, which Hard Eight Nutrition intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into the State of California." If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no Warning is required for a Covered Product during each of three consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the three-year testing period, Hard Eight

Nutrition changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, Hard Eight Nutrition shall test that Covered Product annually for at least three (3) consecutive years after such change is made.

- 3.5.2 For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level," the highest lead detection result of the three (3) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.
- 3.5.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg or any other testing method subsequently agreed to in writing by the Parties and approved by the Court through entry of a modified consent judgment.
- 3.5.4 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.
- 3.5.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Hard Eight Nutrition's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.
- Within thirty (30) days of a written letter from ERCshowing that one or 3.5.6 more Covered Products is above the Daily Lead Exposure Level, Hard Eight Nutrition shall deliver lab reports of the relevant Covered Products obtained pursuant to Section 3.4 to ERC. Hard Eight Nutrition shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of three years from the date of each test.

3.5.7 Notwithstanding any of the foregoing, this section shall not apply with respect to any Covered Product for which Hard Eight is providing a warning pursuant to Section 3.2 of this Consent Judgment.

#### 4. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4.1 In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, attorney's fees, and costs, Hard Eight Nutrition shall make a total payment of \$60,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC within 5 business days of the Effective Date ("Due Date"). Hard Eight Nutrition shall make this payment by wire transfer to ERC's escrow account, for which ERC will give Hard Eight Nutrition the necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:
- 4.2 \$15,055.29 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$11,291.47) of the civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$3,763.82) of the civil penalty.
- 4.3 \$6,817.67 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action.
- 4.4 \$11,291.45 shall be distributed to ERC as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP"), pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 11, sections 3203, subdivision (d) and 3204. ERC will utilize the ASP for activities that address the same public harm as allegedly caused by Hard Eight Nutrition in this matter. These activities are detailed below and support ERC's overarching goal of reducing and/or eliminating hazardous and toxic chemicals in dietary supplement products in California. ERC's activities have had, and will continue to have, a direct and primary effect within the State of California because California consumers will be benefitted by the reduction and/or elimination of exposure to lead in dietary supplements and/or by providing clear and reasonable warnings to California consumers prior to ingestion of the products.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Based on a review of past years' actual budgets, ERC is providing the following list of activities ERC engages in to protect California consumers through Proposition 65 citizen enforcement, along with a breakdown of how ASP funds will be utilized to facilitate those activities: (1) ENFORCEMENT (65-80%): obtaining, shipping, analyzing, and testing dietary supplement products that may contain lead and are sold to California consumers. This work includes continued monitoring and enforcement of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with their obligations thereunder, with a specific focus on those judgments and settlements concerning lead. This work also includes investigation of new companies that ERC does not obtain any recovery through settlement or judgment; (2) VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE PROGRAM (10-20%): maintaining ERC's Voluntary Compliance Program by acquiring products from companies, developing and maintaining a case file, testing products from these companies, providing the test results and supporting documentation to the companies, and offering guidance in warning or implementing a selftesting program for lead in dietary supplement products; and (3) "GOT LEAD" PROGRAM (up to 5%): maintaining ERC's "Got Lead?" Program which reduces the numbers of contaminated products that reach California consumers by providing access to free testing for lead in dietary supplement products (Products submitted to the program are screened for ingredients which are suspected to be contaminated, and then may be purchased by ERC, catalogued, sent to a qualified laboratory for testing, and the results shared with the consumer that submitted the product).

ERC shall be fully accountable in that it will maintain adequate records to document and will be able to demonstrate how the ASP funds will be spent and can assure that the funds are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes described in this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide the Attorney General, within thirty days of any request, copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

4.5 \$1,305.00 shall be distributed to Michael Freund as reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, \$6,022.50 shall be distributed to Ryan Hoffman as reimbursement of ERC's

attorney's fees, while \$19,508.09 shall be distributed to ERC for its in-house legal fees. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

4.6 In the event that Hard Eight Nutrition fails to remit the Total Settlement Amount owed under Section 4 of this Consent Judgment on or before the Due Date, Hard Eight Nutrition shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide written notice of the delinquency to Hard Eight Nutrition via electronic mail. If Hard Eight Nutrition fails to deliver the Total Settlement Amount within five (5) business days from the written notice, the Total Settlement Amount shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010. Additionally, Hard Eight Nutrition agrees to pay ERC's reasonable attorney's fees and costs for any efforts to collect the payment due under this Consent Judgment.

## 5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by written stipulation of the Parties or pursuant to Section 5.4 and (ii) upon entry by the Court of a modified consent judgment.
- 5.1, then Hard Eight Nutrition must provide written notice to ERC of its intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to Hard Eight Nutrition within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If notice of ERC's intent to meet and confer is given in a timely manner, then the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of notification of an intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if either party disputes the proposed modification, a written basis of such dispute shall be provided. The Parties shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any

remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different deadlines for the meet-and-confer period.

5.3 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek judicial relief on its own.

# 6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for all purposes, including to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.

## 7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no application to any Covered Product which is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of California and which is not used by California consumers.

# 8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Hard Eight Nutrition and its respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of Hard Eight Nutrition), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"). ERC hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, fees, costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted from the handling, use, or consumption of the Covered Products, as to any

- 8.2 ERC on its own behalf only, and Hard Eight Nutrition on its own behalf only, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.
- 8.3 It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, and Hard Eight Nutrition on behalf of itself only, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefore. ERC and Hard Eight Nutrition acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 8.1 and 8.2 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

ERC on behalf of itself only, and Hard Eight Nutrition on behalf of itself only, acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

8.4 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any releasee regarding alleged exposures to lead in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.

With a copy to:
Anthony Cortez
Greg Sperla
GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP
1201 K Street, Suite 1100
Sacramento, CA 95814-3938
Telephone: (916) 442-1111
Facsimile: (916) 448-1709
cortezan@gtlaw.com
sperlag@gtlaw.com

#### 12. COURT APPROVAL

- 12.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 12.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion.
- 12.3 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have no force or effect.

#### 13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid as the original signature.

#### 14. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any

portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

## 15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

#### 16. ENFORCEMENT

- Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no Warning has been provided), then ERC shall inform Hard Eight Nutrition in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, including information sufficient to permit Hard Eight Nutrition to identify the Covered Products at issue. Hard Eight Nutrition shall, within thirty (30) days following such notice, provide ERC with testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4, demonstrating Hard Eight Nutrition's compliance with the Consent Judgment, if warranted. If compliance is promptly demonstrated by Hard Eight, ERC shall take no further action. The Parties shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.
- 16.2 ERC may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment if compliance is not demonstrated pursuant to 16.1. In any action brought by ERC to enforce this Consent Judgment, ERC may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

#### 17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

17.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
2		ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC. 1	
4	n n	sv: / Maring for the state of the same of	
5		bais Hominatan, Executive Director	
6		. 00	
7	The state of the s	IARD EIGHT NUTRITION LLC dba BULKSUPPLEMENTS.COM	
8			
9	III:	By: Kevin Baronowsky ts:	
10			
11	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
12	Dated: <u>6/6</u> , 2017 N	MICHAEL FREUND & ASSOCIATES	
13		JED M	
14		By: Michael Freund	
15		Ryan Hoffman Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental	
16		Research Center, Inc.	
17	Dated:, 2017	REENBERG TRAURIG LLP	
19			
20	В	y:	
21		Anthony Cortez Attorney for Defendant Hard Eight Nutrition LLC dba Bulksupplements.com	
22		radition bee dod bulksupplements.com	
23	ORDER AND JUDGMENT		
24	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is		
25	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREE	D.	
27	Dated:, 2017	of the Superior Court	
28	Judge of the Superior Court		
	Page 18 of 18  STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. RG1784718		

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
2	Dated:, 2017	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.	
4		By:	
5		By:Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director	
6	Inno 6	HADD GLOVED VICTORION LA CAL	
7	Dated:June 6, 2017	HARD EIGHT NUTRITION LLC dba BULKSUPPLEMENTS.COM	
8		2	
9		By: Kevin Baronowsky	
10		Its: MANAGING MEMBER	
11	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
12	Dated:, 2017	MICHAEL FREUND & ASSOCIATES	
13			
14		Ву:	
15		Michael Freund Ryan Hoffman	
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc.	
17		,	
18	Dated: June 6, 2017	GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP	
19 20		By: a. Corte	
21		Anthony Cortez Attorney for Defendant Hard Eight	
22		Nutrition LLC dba Bulksupplements.com	
23		JUDGMENT	
24	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is		
25	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.		
27	Dated:, 2017		
28	1970 2	lge of the Superior Court	
Page 18 of 18  STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Case N			