1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
10		
11		
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG 17-872000	
13	Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT) AS TO AMY'S KITCHEN, INC.	
14		
15	AMY'S KITCHEN, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	
16	Defendants.	
17)	
18		
19		
20		
21	1. DEFINITIONS	
22	1.1 The "Complaint" means the operative complaint in the above-captioned matter.	
23	1.2 "Covered Product" means Amy's Tofu Scramble.	
24	1.3 "Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of this Consent	
25	Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendant.	
26		
27		
28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper	- 1 -	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – AMY'S KITCHEN, INC. – CASE NO. RG17-872000	

2.

INTRODUCTION

2 2.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center For Environmental Health
3 ("CEH"), a California non-profit corporation, and Amy's Kitchen, Inc. ("Settling Defendant").
4 CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain
5 claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in Complaint.

6 2.2 On November 29, 2016, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of
7 Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, to the District Attorneys of every county in
8 California, to the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000,
9 and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing
10 persons to acrylamide without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

2.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures,
distributes, sells, or offers for sale the Covered Product which is sold in the State of California or
has done so in the past.

14 2.4 On August 17, 2017, CEH filed the Complaint, naming Settling Defendant as a
15 defendant in the action.

2.5 Settling Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations made in the
Notice of Violation and Complaint, and maintains that the Covered Product it has sold or distributed
for sale in California has been, and is, in compliance with Proposition 65.

19 2.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court
20 has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal
21 jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper
22 in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent
23 Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the
24 Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to the Covered Product manufactured,
25 distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

26 2.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the
27 Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with

the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact,
conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall
prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any
other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation
and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and
resolving issues disputed in this Action.

7

3.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Commencing on the date that is three (3) months after the Effective Date (the
"Compliance Date"), Settling Defendant shall not purchase or manufacture any Covered Product
that thereafter will be sold or offered for sale in California that exceeds the following acrylamide
concentration limits (the "Acrylamide Levels"). Such concentration are to be determined by use
of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatograph/Mass
Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry) or any other testing
method agreed upon by the Parties:

3.1.1 The average acrylamide concentration of the Covered Product as used per
cooking instructions, shall not exceed, on average, 250 parts per billion ("ppb") by weight (the
"Average Level"). The Average Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least 1
sample each from 5 different lots of the Covered Product (or the maximum number of lots
available for testing if less than 5) with each test separated by a period of at least 60 days.

3.1.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit, as used per cooking
instructions, shall not exceed 300 ppb by weight (the "Unit Level"), based on a representative
composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested.

3.2 Compliance Testing. Compliance with the Acrylamide Levels shall be
determined after cooking each Covered Product as if prepared for consumption in accordance
with the instructions on the packaging label of that Covered Product.

26

28

27

DOCUMENT PREPAREE ON RECYCLED PAPER

4.

ENFORCEMENT

4.1 General Enforcement Provisions. CEH may, by motion or application for an
order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent
Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3.1 by Settling Defendant shall be
brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement
of Section 4.2.5, if applicable.

7

4.2 **Enforcement of Injunctive Relief.**

4.2.1 <u>Notice of Violation</u>. In the event that CEH identifies a Covered Product
that was sold or offered for sale to California consumers and that has a best-by or sell-by (or
equivalent) date or other code that reflects that the Covered Product was manufactured on or after
the Compliance Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered
Product, as prepared for consumption in accordance with the instructions on the packaging label
of that Covered Product, has an acrylamide level exceeding the Unit Level, then CEH may issue a
Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section.

15

4.2.2 <u>Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation</u>.

4.2.2.1 The Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in
Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be served within sixty (60) days of
the date the Covered Product at issue was purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH, provided,
however, that CEH may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to send the Notice of Violation
if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 4.2.2.2 below
cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day
period.

4.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date
the Covered Product was purchased; (b) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the
alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was
obtained and if available information that identifies the product lot; and (c) all test data obtained
by CEH regarding the Covered Product and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of

28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control
 reports associated with testing of the Covered Product.

4.2.3 <u>Notice of Election of Response</u>. No more than forty-five (45) days after
effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to
CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of
Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within forty-five (45) days of effectuation of
service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation.

4.2.3.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall
include all then-available documentary evidence regarding the alleged violation, including all
available test data. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data
regarding the alleged violation, it shall notify the other party and promptly provide all such data
or information to the party.

4.2.4 13 Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling 14 Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of 15 serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant may withdraw 16 the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not 17 contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay 18 \$2,500 in addition to any payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may 19 withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the result shall be 20 as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of 21 Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an 22 enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. In any such proceeding, CEH may 23 seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are provided by law for 24 failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

4.2.5 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the
allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if
any, as set forth below.

1 4.2.5.1 Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed 2 description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, 3 4 provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Product having the same lot number as that of the 5 Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Product") will 6 not thereafter be sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendant in California. Settling Defendant 7 shall make available to CEH for inspection and copying records of non-privileged 8 correspondence sufficient to show the compliance actions taken with respect to the Noticed 9 Covered Product to the extent it has such documents on file. If the Notice of Violation is based 10 on a violation of the Unit Level with respect to a single unit of Covered Product, Settling 11 Defendant may be excused from the corrective action obligation described in the foregoing (but 12 not the monetary payments, if any, required by this Section 4) if Settling Defendant produces test 13 results and other evidence that: (1) demonstrates that the acrylamide levels found by CEH in the 14 unit alleged to be in violation is an aberration; and (2) otherwise provides reasonable assurance 15 that the remainder of the Noticed Covered Products, aside from the unit alleged to be in violation, 16 comply with the Reformulation Levels. However, to avail itself of this provision, Settling 17 Defendant must provide CEH with all acrylamide test data in its possession, custody or control 18 pertaining to the same lot of the Noticed Covered Product that was performed within the year 19 prior to the date of the Notice of Violation. If there is a dispute over the corrective action or over 20 whether Settling Defendant is excused therefrom, Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and 21 confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of 22 Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product, nor shall CEH issue more than two 23 Notices of Violation in the first calendar year following the Effective Date. 24 4.2.5.2 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third, or fourth Notice

4.2.5.2 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third, or fourth Notice
of Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.1 that was not successfully
contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$10,000 for each Notice of Violation.
If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation (each for a Covered

1 Product from a separate lot) under Section 4.2.2 that were not successfully contested or 2 withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$20,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling 3 Defendant is excused from the corrective action obligation pursuant to Section 4.2.5.1, then 4 Settling Defendant shall pay \$1,750 for that Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant produces 5 with its Notice of Election test data for the same lot of Covered Product as the Noticed Covered 6 Product that demonstrates acrylamide levels below the Unit Level, then any payment under this 7 Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five 8 percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation, and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent 9 Notice of Violation. In no case shall Settling Defendant be obligated to pay more than \$50,000 10 for uncontested Notices of Violation in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued. 11

4.2.6 <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check
payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a
Notice of Election triggering a payment and which shall be used as reimbursement for costs for
investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse
attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities, and shall be the extent of all
monetary remedies available to CEH under this Consent Judgment for a non-contested Notice of
Violation.

4.3 Repeat Violations. If Settling Defendant has received four (4) or more Notices of
Violation (each for a Covered Product from a separate lot) that were not successfully contested
or withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines,
costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply
with the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling
Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on
measures that Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future violations.

- **26 5. PAYMENTS**
- 27

5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within fifteen (15) calendar days of the

28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper

- 7 -

Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$49,000 as a settlement payment as
 further set forth in this Section.

3 5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall 4 be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth 5 below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be 6 subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each 7 day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. 8 The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' 9 fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4.1 of this Consent Judgment. 10 The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following 11 categories and made payable as follows: 12 \$6,435 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). 5.2.1 13 The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 14 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health 15 Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty 16 payment for \$4,826.25 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer 17 identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows: 18 For United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics 19 **Fiscal Operations Branch Chief** Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 20 P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 21 For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: 22 Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief 23 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B 24 Sacramento, CA 95814 25 The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$1,608.75 shall be made payable 26 to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-27 28 - 8 -

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER 3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San
 Francisco, CA 94117.

3 5.2.2 \$4,825 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to 4 Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH 5 intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from the Consent Judgment before the Court to the 6 following purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support 7 CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic 8 chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to 9 acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and 10 risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall 11 obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and 12 CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any 13 request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable 14 to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-15 3251981. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center For 16 Environmental Health, associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981 and delivered 17 to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$37,740 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys'
fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks
as follows: (a) \$32,025 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer
identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$5,715 payable to the Center For Environmental
Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be
delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

24

6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

6.1 Modification. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by
express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court and prior notice to the
Attorney General's Office, or by an order of this Court upon motion and prior notice to the

Attorney General's Office and in accordance with law.

6.2 Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment
shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to
modify the Consent Judgment.

5

7.

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

6 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on 7 behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, 8 affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, 9 shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to 10 which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Covered Product, including 11 but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, importers, franchisees, licensors, 12 and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on 13 failure to warn about alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in the Covered Product that was 14 manufactured by Settling Defendant prior to the Compliance Date.

7.2 CEH, for itself, its agents, successors, and assigns, releases, waives, and forever
discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream
Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or
common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the
public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in connection
with the Covered Product manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the
Compliance Date.

CEH, in its individual capacity only and not in its representative capacity, also provides a
release to Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees which shall be effective as
a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs,
expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of CEH of any nature,
character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged
or actual exposures to acrylamide in the Covered Product manufactured by Settling Defendant

28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper

prior to the Compliance Date.

2	7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and		
3	Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant,		
4	Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to		
5	warn about acrylamide in the Covered Product manufactured by Settling Defendant after the		
6	Compliance Date.		
7	8. PROVISION OF NOTICE		
8	8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the		
9	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
10	Howard Hirsch		
11	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street		
12	San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com		
13	8.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent		
14	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
15	Trenton H. Norris		
16	Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP Three Embarcadero Center, 10 th Floor		
17	San Francisco, CA 94111		
18	Trent.Norris@apks.com		
19	Amy's Kitchen, Inc. Office of General Counsel		
20	Attn: Mike Resch 1650 Corporate Cir.		
21	Petaluma, CA 94954		
22	Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent		
23	by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper	- 11 -		
ET RECTCEED I MER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – AMY'S KITCHEN, INC. – CASE NO. RG17-872000		

9.

COURT APPROVAL

9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and
Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided, however, that CEH shall prepare and file a
Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support approval of
such Motion.

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court. The
Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a
noticed motion is required for judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which motion CEH
shall draft and file and Settling Defendant shall support, appearing at the hearing if so requested.
If any third party objection to the motion for approval is filed, CEH and Settling Defendant agree
to work together to file a response and appear at any hearing.

12 If the Court does not approve the Consent Judgment, the Parties agree to meet and confer 13 as to whether to modify the language or appeal the ruling. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, then the case shall proceed in its normal course on the Court's trial 14 15 calendar. If the Court's approval is ultimately overturned by an appellate court, the Parties shall 16 meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the parties do not 17 jointly agree on a course of action to take then the case shall proceed in its normal course on the 18 Court's trial calendar. In the event that this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court and 19 subsequently overturned by any appellate court, then any monies that have been provided to CEH 20 or its counsel under this Consent Judgment shall be refunded within fifteen (15) calendar days of 21 the appellate decision becoming final and the Parties shall reasonably cooperate to obtain a timely 22 refund of monies paid to OEHHA under this Consent Judgment.

9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

- 26 **10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION**
- 27

28

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of

- 12 -

1 California.

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

3 11.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent
4 Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs..

5 11.2 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of
6 sanctions pursuant to law.

7

2

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

8 12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding 9 of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, 10 negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein 11 and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties 12 except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, 13 other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party 14 hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, 15 shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically 16 contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the 17 Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, 18 modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in 19 writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent 20 Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof 21 whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

22

25

26

27

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

23 13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the24 Consent Judgment.

28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper

14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorizedby the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into andexecute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

15.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
against any entity other than Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained
in this Consent Judgment. Settling Defendant may move to modify this Consent Judgment
pursuant to Section 6 to substitute higher Acrylamide Levels that CEH agrees to in a future
consent judgment applicable to hash brown or fried potato products substantially similar to the
Covered Product, and CEH agrees not to oppose any such motion except for good cause shown.

16. COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

13 16.1 CEH agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health
14 and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).

17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

16 17.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
17 means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to
18 constitute one document.

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
10		
11		
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG 17-872000	
13	Plaintiff,)[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT)AS TO AMY'S KITCHEN, INC.	
14	V.)	
15	AMY'S KITCHEN, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,)	
16	Defendants.)	
17)	
18		
19		
20		
21	1. DEFINITIONS	
22	1.1 The "Complaint" means the operative complaint in the above-captioned matter.	
23	1.2 "Covered Product" means Amy's Tofu Scramble.	
24	1.3 "Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of this Consent	
25	Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendant.	
26		
27		
28 Document Prepared	- 1 -	
ON RECYCLED PAPER		

2.

INTRODUCTION

2 2.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center For Environmental Health
3 ("CEH"), a California non-profit corporation, and Amy's Kitchen, Inc. ("Settling Defendant").
4 CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain
5 claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in Complaint.

6

7

8

9

10

2.2 On November 29, 2016, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of
Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, to the District Attorneys of every county in
California, to the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000,
and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing
persons to acrylamide without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

2.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures,
 distributes, sells, or offers for sale the Covered Product which is sold in the State of California or
 has done so in the past.

14 2.4 On August 17, 2017, CEH filed the Complaint, naming Settling Defendant as a
15 defendant in the action.

2.5 Settling Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations made in the
Notice of Violation and Complaint, and maintains that the Covered Product it has sold or
distributed for sale in California has been, and is, in compliance with Proposition 65.

19 2.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court
20 has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal
21 jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper
22 in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent
23 Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the
24 Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to the Covered Product manufactured,
25 distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.

26 2.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the
27 Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with

the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact,
conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall
prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any
other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation
and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and
resolving issues disputed in this Action.

7

3.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 Commencing on the date that is three (3) months after the Effective Date (the
"Compliance Date"), Settling Defendant shall not purchase or manufacture any Covered Product
that thereafter will be sold or offered for sale in California that exceeds the following acrylamide
concentration limits (the "Acrylamide Levels"). Such concentration are to be determined by use
of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using either GC/MS (Gas Chromatograph/Mass
Spectrometry), LC-MS/MS (Liquid Chromatograph-Mass Spectrometry) or any other testing
method agreed upon by the Parties:

3.1.1 The average acrylamide concentration of the Covered Product as used per
cooking instructions, shall not exceed, on average, 250 parts per billion ("ppb") by weight (the
"Average Level"). The Average Level is determined by randomly selecting and testing at least 1
sample each from 5 different lots of the Covered Product (or the maximum number of lots
available for testing if less than 5) with each test separated by a period of at least 60 days.

3.1.2 The acrylamide concentration of any individual unit, as used per cooking
instructions, shall not exceed 300 ppb by weight (the "Unit Level"), based on a representative
composite sample taken from the individual unit being tested.

3.2 Compliance Testing. Compliance with the Acrylamide Levels shall be
determined after cooking each Covered Product as if prepared for consumption in accordance
with the instructions on the packaging label of that Covered Product.

26

28

27

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

4.

ENFORCEMENT

4.1 General Enforcement Provisions. CEH may, by motion or application for an
order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent
Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3.1 by Settling Defendant shall be
brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement
of Section 4.2.5, if applicable.

7

4.2 **Enforcement of Injunctive Relief.**

4.2.1 <u>Notice of Violation</u>. In the event that CEH identifies a Covered Product
that was sold or offered for sale to California consumers and that has a best-by or sell-by (or
equivalent) date or other code that reflects that the Covered Product was manufactured on or after
the Compliance Date, and for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered
Product, as prepared for consumption in accordance with the instructions on the packaging label
of that Covered Product, has an acrylamide level exceeding the Unit Level, then CEH may issue a
Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section.

15

4.2.2 <u>Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation</u>.

4.2.2.1 The Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in
Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be served within sixty (60) days of
the date the Covered Product at issue was purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH, provided,
however, that CEH may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to send the Notice of Violation
if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 4.2.2.2 below
cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day
period.

4.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date
the Covered Product was purchased; (b) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the
alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was
obtained and if available information that identifies the product lot; and (c) all test data obtained
by CEH regarding the Covered Product and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of

the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control
 reports associated with testing of the Covered Product.

4.2.3 <u>Notice of Election of Response</u>. No more than forty-five (45) days after
effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to
CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of
Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within forty-five (45) days of effectuation of
service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation.

4.2.3.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall
include all then-available documentary evidence regarding the alleged violation, including all
available test data. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data
regarding the alleged violation, it shall notify the other party and promptly provide all such data
or information to the party.

4.2.4 13 Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling 14 Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of 15 serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant may withdraw 16 the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not 17 contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay 18 \$2,500 in addition to any payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may 19 withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the result shall be 20 as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of 21 Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an 22 enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. In any such proceeding, CEH may 23 seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are provided by law for 24 failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

4.2.5 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the
allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if
any, as set forth below.

1 4.2.5.1 Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed 2 description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, 3 4 provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Product having the same lot number as that of the 5 Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Product") will 6 not thereafter be sold or offered for sale by Settling Defendant in California. Settling Defendant 7 shall make available to CEH for inspection and copying records of non-privileged 8 correspondence sufficient to show the compliance actions taken with respect to the Noticed 9 Covered Product to the extent it has such documents on file. If the Notice of Violation is based 10 on a violation of the Unit Level with respect to a single unit of Covered Product, Settling 11 Defendant may be excused from the corrective action obligation described in the foregoing (but 12 not the monetary payments, if any, required by this Section 4) if Settling Defendant produces test 13 results and other evidence that: (1) demonstrates that the acrylamide levels found by CEH in the 14 unit alleged to be in violation is an aberration; and (2) otherwise provides reasonable assurance 15 that the remainder of the Noticed Covered Products, aside from the unit alleged to be in violation, 16 comply with the Reformulation Levels. However, to avail itself of this provision, Settling 17 Defendant must provide CEH with all acrylamide test data in its possession, custody or control 18 pertaining to the same lot of the Noticed Covered Product that was performed within the year 19 prior to the date of the Notice of Violation. If there is a dispute over the corrective action or over 20 whether Settling Defendant is excused therefrom, Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and 21 confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of 22 Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product, nor shall CEH issue more than two 23 Notices of Violation in the first calendar year following the Effective Date. 24 4.2.5.2 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third, or fourth Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.1 that was not successfully 25

contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$10,000 for each Notice of Violation.

27 If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation (each for a Covered

1 Product from a separate lot) under Section 4.2.2 that were not successfully contested or 2 withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$20,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling 3 Defendant is excused from the corrective action obligation pursuant to Section 4.2.5.1, then 4 Settling Defendant shall pay \$1,750 for that Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant produces 5 with its Notice of Election test data for the same lot of Covered Product as the Noticed Covered 6 Product that demonstrates acrylamide levels below the Unit Level, then any payment under this 7 Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five 8 percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation, and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent 9 Notice of Violation. In no case shall Settling Defendant be obligated to pay more than \$10,000 10 for uncontested Notices of Violation in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued. 11

4.2.6 <u>Payments</u>. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check
payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a
Notice of Election triggering a payment and which shall be used as reimbursement for costs for
investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse
attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities, and shall be the extent of all
monetary remedies available to CEH under this Consent Judgment for a non-contested Notice of
Violation.

4.3 Repeat Violations. If Settling Defendant has received four (4) or more Notices of
Violation (each for a Covered Product from a separate lot) that were not successfully contested
or withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines,
costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply
with the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling
Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on
measures that Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future violations.

- **26 5. PAYMENTS**
- 27

5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within fifteen (15) calendar days of the

Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$49,000 as a settlement payment as
 further set forth in this Section.

3 5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall 4 be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth 5 below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be 6 subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each 7 day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. 8 The late fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' 9 fees, in an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4.1 of this Consent Judgment. 10 The funds paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following 11 categories and made payable as follows: 12 \$6,435 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b). 5.2.1 13 The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 14 25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health 15 Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty 16 payment for \$4,826.25 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer 17 identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows: 18 For United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics 19 **Fiscal Operations Branch Chief** Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 20 P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95812-4010 21 For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: 22 Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief 23 Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street, MS #19B 24 Sacramento, CA 95814 25 The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$1,608.75 shall be made payable 26 to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-27 28 - 8 -DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San
 Francisco, CA 94117.

3 5.2.2 \$4,825 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to 4 Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH 5 intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from the Consent Judgment before the Court to the 6 following purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support 7 CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic 8 chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to 9 acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and 10 risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall 11 obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and 12 CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any 13 request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable 14 to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-15 3251981. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center For 16 Environmental Health, associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981 and delivered 17 to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$37,740 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys'
fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks
as follows: (a) \$32,025 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer
identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$5,715 payable to the Center For Environmental
Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be
delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

24

6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

6.1 Modification. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by
express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court and prior notice to the
Attorney General's Office, or by an order of this Court upon motion and prior notice to the

Attorney General's Office and in accordance with law.

6.2 Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment
shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to
modify the Consent Judgment.

5

7.

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

6 7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on 7 behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, 8 affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, 9 shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to 10 which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Covered Product, including 11 but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, importers, franchisees, licensors, 12 and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on 13 failure to warn about alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in the Covered Product that was 14 manufactured by Settling Defendant prior to the Compliance Date.

7.2 CEH, for itself, its agents, successors, and assigns, releases, waives, and forever
discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream
Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or
common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the
public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in connection
with the Covered Product manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the
Compliance Date.

CEH, in its individual capacity only and not in its representative capacity, also provides a
release to Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees which shall be effective as
a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs,
expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of CEH of any nature,
character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged
or actual exposures to acrylamide in the Covered Product manufactured by Settling Defendant

28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper

prior to the Compliance Date.

2	7.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant and		
3	Defendant Releasees shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant,		
4	Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to		
5	warn about acrylamide in the Covered Product manufactured by Settling Defendant after the		
6	Compliance Date.		
7	8. PROVISION OF NOTICE		
8	8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the		
9	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
10	Howard Hirsch		
11	Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street		
12	San Francisco, CA 94117 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com		
13	8.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent		
14	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:		
15	Trenton H. Norris		
16	Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP Three Embarcadero Center, 10 th Floor		
17	San Francisco, CA 94111		
18	Trent.Norris@apks.com		
19	Amy's Kitchen, Inc. Office of General Counsel		
20	Attn: Mike Resch 1650 Corporate Cir.		
21	Petaluma, CA 94954		
22	Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent		
23	by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.		
24			
25 26			
26 27			
27 28			
28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper	- 11 -		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – AMY'S KITCHEN, INC. – CASE NO. RG17-872000		

9.

COURT APPROVAL

9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and
Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided, however, that CEH shall prepare and file a
Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support approval of
such Motion.

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court. The
Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a
noticed motion is required for judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which motion CEH
shall draft and file and Settling Defendant shall support, appearing at the hearing if so requested.
If any third party objection to the motion for approval is filed, CEH and Settling Defendant agree
to work together to file a response and appear at any hearing.

12 If the Court does not approve the Consent Judgment, the Parties agree to meet and confer 13 as to whether to modify the language or appeal the ruling. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, then the case shall proceed in its normal course on the Court's trial 14 15 calendar. If the Court's approval is ultimately overturned by an appellate court, the Parties shall 16 meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the parties do not 17 jointly agree on a course of action to take then the case shall proceed in its normal course on the 18 Court's trial calendar. In the event that this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court and 19 subsequently overturned by any appellate court, then any monies that have been provided to CEH 20 or its counsel under this Consent Judgment shall be refunded within fifteen (15) calendar days of 21 the appellate decision becoming final and the Parties shall reasonably cooperate to obtain a timely 22 refund of monies paid to OEHHA under this Consent Judgment.

9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

- 26 **10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION**
- 27

28

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of

1 California.

11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

3 11.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent
4 Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs..

5 11.2 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of
6 sanctions pursuant to law.

7

2

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

8 12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding 9 of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, 10 negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein 11 and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties 12 except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, 13 other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party 14 hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, 15 shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically 16 contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the 17 Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, 18 modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in 19 writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent 20 Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof 21 whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

22

25

26

27

28

13.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

23 13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the
24 Consent Judgment.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorizedby the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into andexecute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

15.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
against any entity other than Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained
in this Consent Judgment. Settling Defendant may move to modify this Consent Judgment
pursuant to Section 6 to substitute higher Acrylamide Levels that CEH agrees to in a future
consent judgment applicable to hash brown or fried potato products substantially similar to the
Covered Product, and CEH agrees not to oppose any such motion except for good cause shown.

16. COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

13 16.1 CEH agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health
14 and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).

17. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS

16 17.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
17 means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to
18 constitute one document.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
2	010		
4	Dated: <u>10er</u> , 2017	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
5	· · ·	11-	
6		<u>Simutum</u>	
7		Signature	
8		CHARLIE PIZMERO	
9		Printed Name	
10		Associate Dipatoe	
11		Title	
12			
13	Dated:, 2017	AMY'S KITCHEN, INC.	
14			
15			
16		Signature	
17			
18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Printed Name	
19			
20		Title	
21			
22	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED,		
23	AND DECREED		
24			
25	Dated:	Judge of the Superior Court	
26			
27			
28 Document Prepared	- 15 -		
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – AMY'S KITCHEN, INC. – CASE NO. RG17-872000		

•

1 2	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
3	Dated:, 2017	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4 5		
6		Signature
7		
8		Printed Name
9		
10		
11		Title
12		
13	Dated: October 27, 2017	AMY'S KITCHEN, INC.
14		
15		Star Rich
16		Signature
17		Stave Rich
18 19		Printed Name
20		Associate General Counsel Title
20		Title
22		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED	
24		
25	Dated:	<u></u>
26		Judge of the Superior Court
27		
28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper		- 15 -
	CONSENT JUDGMENT - AMY'S KITCHEN, INC CASE NO. RG17-872000	