- 1		
1 2 3 4 5 6	Melvin B. Pearlston (SBN 54291) Robert B. Hancock (SBN 179439) Elizabeth D. Sonnichsen (SBN 321131) PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER 50 California Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 310-1940 Email: robh@rbhancocklaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	ERIKA MCCARTNEY	
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT	OF CALIFORNIA
10	COUNTY OF SAI	N FRANCISCO
11		
12		
13	ERIKA MCCARTNEY, in the public interest,	CIVIL ACTION NO. CGC-17-558822
14	Plaintiff,)	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT
15	v. ([Cal. Health and Safety Code
16 17	NUTRACEUTICAL CORPORATION, a) Delaware corporation; and DOES 1 through 500) inclusive,)	Sec. 25249.6, et seq.]
18		
19	Defendants.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
26		
27		
28		
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATE	TO CONSENT HIDOMENT
	[PROPOSED] STIPULATE McCartney v. Nutraceutical Corporation	n, et al. Civil Action No. CGC-17-558822

1.1 This action arises out of the alleged violations of California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5, et seq. (also known as and referred to as "Proposition 65") regarding Defendant Nutraceutical Corporation's maca, including but not limited to "AllviaTM Clinical Strength Maca Dietary Supplement" and products where the sole active ingredient is maca (hereinafter the "Covered Products"). Plaintiff alleges that the Covered Product exposes consumers in California to lead. Lead is hereinafter referred to as the "Listed Chemical."

- 1.2 Plaintiff ERIKA MCCARTNEY ("MCCARTNEY") is a California resident acting as private enforcer of Proposition 65. MCCARTNEY alleges that she brings this action in the public interest pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq., asserts that she is dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.
- 1.3 Defendant Nutraceutical Corporation is a Delaware corporation ("NUTRACEUTICAL" or "Defendant").
- 1.4 MCCARTNEY and NUTRACEUTICAL are referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.5 NUTRACEUTICAL manufactures, acquires, distributes and/or sells the Covered Product.
- 1.6 On or about December 15, 2016¹ and February 16, 2017, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d)(1), MCCARTNEY served 60-Day Notices of Violation of Proposition 65 on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers and NUTRACEUTICAL alleging that NUTRACEUTICAL violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons in California to lead in connection with their use of the Covered Product without first providing a Proposition 65 warning (the "Notices of Violation").

00085513.

¹ Nutraceutical did not receive the Notice of Violation dated December 15, 2016.

- 1.7 After more than sixty (60) days passed since service of the Notices of Violation, and no designated governmental agency having filed a complaint against NUTRACEUTICAL with regard to the Covered Product or the alleged violations, MCCARTNEY filed a complaint (the "Complaint") for injunctive relief and civil penalties. The Complaint, dated May 10, 2017, is based on the allegations in the Notices of Violation in connection with the Covered Product.
- 1.8 NUTRACEUTICAL generally denies all material and factual allegations of the Notices of Violation and the Complaint, and specifically denies that any Proposition 65 warning is required on the Covered Product, that any reasonable user of the Covered Product would be exposed to chemicals in amounts or concentrations that would require a warning, and that Plaintiff or any California consumer have been harmed or damaged by its conduct. NUTRACEUTICAL and MCCARTNEY each reserve all rights to allege additional facts, claims, and affirmative defenses if the Court does not approve this Consent Judgment.
- 1.9 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and avoid prolonged and costly litigation.
- 1.10 Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers, of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, violation of law, fault, wrongdoing, or liability, including without limitation, any admission concerning any alleged violation of Proposition 65. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other or future legal proceeding.
- 1.11 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date this Consent Judgment is entered as a judgment.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2.1 For purposes of the approval of entry of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and personal

00085513.

jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND WARNINGS

3.1 Beginning on the Effective Date, and except as provided in Section 3.2 below, NUTRACEUTICAL shall be permanently enjoined from offering for sale to a consumer in California, directly selling to a consumer in California, or Distributing into California the Covered Product, unless the label of the Covered Product contains a Proposition 65 compliant warning, consistent with Section 3.2, below. "Distributing into California" means to ship the Covered Product to California for sale or to sell the Covered Product to a distributor that NUTRACEUTICAL knows will redistribute the Covered Product in or into California.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

(A) For the Covered Product that is subject to the warning requirement of Section 3.1, NUTRACEUTICAL shall provide a compliant warning. The Parties agree the following long-form and short-form warnings constitute clear and reasonable warnings:

California Residents Only

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including lead, which are known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information, go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

California Residents Only

Or

⚠ WARNING: Reproductive Harm-www.P65warnings.ca.gov

(B) The warning shall be permanently affixed to or printed on (at the point of manufacture, or distribution, but prior to shipment into California, or prior to distribution within California) the outside packaging or container of the Covered Product. The warning shall be displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs or devices on the outside packaging or labeling, as to render it likely be to read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to use. If the warning is displayed on the product packaging or labeling, the warning

shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the product packaging or labeling, and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and bold. If the product label does not use the color yellow, the triangle symbol in the short-form warning may be in black and white.

(C) In lieu of the preceding warning content and methods set forth above, NUTRACEUTICAL may use any warning content and method that complies with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, section 25600 et seq., as amended August 30, 2016 and subsequently thereafter.

4. REQUIRED MONETARY PAYMENTS

- 4.1 Defendant shall pay \$7,500 within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, which shall be a full and final satisfaction of all civil penalties pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). Of this amount, one check shall be payable to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), in the sum of \$5,625, a second check shall be payable to MCCARTNEY in the sum of \$1,875. The payment will be in the form of two separate checks sent to counsel for MCCARTNEY, Robert B. Hancock, Pacific Justice Center, 50 California Street, Suite 1500, San Francisco, California 94111.
- 4.2 Defendant shall pay \$42,500 as reimbursement of MCCARTNEY's attorneys' fees, costs, investigation and litigation expenses ("Attorneys' Fees and Costs") within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date. The check shall be made payable to Robert B. Hancock and sent to Robert B. Hancock, Pacific Justice Center, 50 California Street, Suite 1500, San Francisco, California 94111.

5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) written agreement and stipulation of the Parties and upon having such stipulation entered as a modified Consent Judgment by the Court; or (ii) upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court pursuant to a motion by one of the Parties after exhausting the meet and confer process set forth as follows. If either Party requests or initiates a modification, then it shall meet and confer with the other Party in good faith before filing a motion with the Court seeking to modify it. MCCARTNEY is entitled to

reimbursement of all reasonable attorneys' fees and costs regarding the Parties' meet and confer efforts for any modification requested or initiated by NUTRACEUTICAL. Similarly, NUTRACEUTICAL is entitled to reimbursement of all reasonable attorneys' fees and costs regarding the Parties' meet and confer efforts for any modification requested or initiated by MCCARTNEY. If, despite their meet and confer efforts, the Parties are unable to reach agreement on any proposed modification, the Party seeking the modification may file the appropriate motion and the prevailing party on such motion shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion. One basis, but not the exclusive basis, for NUTRACEUTICAL to seek a modification of this Consent Judgment is if Proposition 65 is changed, narrowed, limited, or otherwise rendered inapplicable in whole or in part to the Covered Product or lead due to legislative change, a change in the implementing regulations, court decisions or other legal basis.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify or terminate this Consent Judgment.
- 6.2 Subject to Section 6.3, any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause filed with this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. The prevailing party in any such motion or application may request that the Court award its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such motion or application.
- 6.3 Before filing a motion or application for an order to show cause, MCCARTNEY shall provide NUTRACEUTICAL with thirty (30) days' written notice of any alleged violations of the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. As long as NUTRACEUTICAL cures any such alleged violations within the 30-day period (or if any such violation cannot practicably be cured within thirty (30) days, it expeditiously initiates a cure within thirty (30) days and completes it as soon as practicable) and NUTRACEUTICAL provides proof to MCCARTNEY that the alleged violation(s) were the result of good faith mistake or accident, then NUTRACEUTICAL shall not be in violation of the Consent Judgment. NUTRACEUTICAL shall have the ability to avail itself of the benefits of this section two (2) times following the Effective Date.

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

7.1 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon and benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, successors, and assigns and it shall benefit the Parties and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns.

8. BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between MCCARTNEY, on behalf of herself and in the public interest, and NUTRACEUTICAL, of any and all direct or derivative violations (or claimed violations) of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings of exposure from the handling or use of the Covered Product and fully resolves all claims that have been or could have been asserted in this action by any person up to and including the Effective Date. MCCARTNEY, on behalf of herself and in the public interest, hereby forever releases and discharges NUTRACEUTICAL and its past and present officers, directors, owners, shareholders, employees, agents, attorneys, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities and persons in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"), from any and all claims and causes of action and obligations to pay damages, restitution, fines, civil penalties, payment in lieu of civil penalties and expenses (including but not limited to expert analysis fees, expert fees, attorneys' fees and costs) (collectively, "Claims") arising under, based on, or derivative of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations up through the Effective Date relating to actual or potential exposure to chemicals known by the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects or other reproductive harm, from the Covered Product and/or failure to warn about lead, as set forth in the Notices of Violation and the Complaint.

8.2 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to constitute compliance by any Released Party with Proposition 65 regarding alleged exposures from the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

00085513.

Covered Product as described above or set forth in the Notices of Violation and the Complaint. However, to the extent that the Proposition 65 warning regulations impose an independent duty to warn on third-party online retailers for the Covered Product, this Section 8.2 does not relieve those third-party online retailers of their independent duty to warn, to the extent that such a duty exists under the law.

8.3 It is possible that other Claims not known to MCCARTNEY arising out of the facts alleged in the Notices of Violation or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Product that were manufactured, sold or distributed into California before the Effective Date will develop or be discovered. MCCARTNEY, on behalf of herself only, acknowledges that the Claims released herein include all known and unknown Claims and waives California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown Claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

MCCARTNEY, on behalf of herself only, acknowledges and understands the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code section 1542.

8.4 MCCARTNEY, on one hand, and NUTRACEUTICAL, on the other hand, each release and waive all Claims they may have against each other for any statements or actions made or undertaken by them in connection with the Notices of Violation or the Complaint. However, this shall not affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

9. CONSTRUCTION AND SEVERABILITY

9.1 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. In any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, the terms and conditions shall not be construed against any Party.

	9.2	In the	event tl	hat an	y of th	e provision	ns of this Co	nsent Judgi	nent are	held	by a cour
to be u	unenfor	ceable,	the val	lidity	of the	remaining	enforceable	provisions	shall no	ot be	adversely
affecte	ed.										

9.3 The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.

10. PROVISION OF NOTICE

10.1 All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below by: (a) first-class, registered, (b) certified mail, (c) overnight courier, or (d) personal delivery to the following:

For Erika McCartney:

Melvin B. Pearlston Robert B. Hancock PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER 50 California Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, California 94111

For NUTRACEUTICAL:

Stan Soper Senior Vice President, International and Chief Legal Officer Nutaceutical Corporation 1777 Sunpeak Dr. Park City, Utah 84098

11. COURT APPROVAL

- 11.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, MCCARTNEY shall notice a Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 11.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any terms in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion.
- 11.3 If, despite the Parties' best efforts, the Court does not approve this Consent Judgment, it shall be null and void and have no force or effect.

12. **EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS** This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed one document. A facsimile or electronic signature shall be construed as valid as the original signature. 13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party. 13.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS AND FOR APPROVAL This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), and approve this Consent Judgment. IT IS SO STIPULATED. 11/2/18 Dated: Dated: NUTRACEUTICAL CORPORATION By: _____

00085513.1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

2324

25

26

2728

12.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed one document. A facsimile or electronic signature shall be construed as valid as the original signature.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

13.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

13.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment. Except as explicitly provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own fees and costs.

14. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS AND FOR APPROVAL

14.1 This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f)(4), and approve this Consent Judgment. IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated:	
	Erika McCartney

	1	1	1		
Dated: _	11	13	18	NUTRACEUTICAL CORPORATIO	N

Its: Chief ugal officer

00085513.

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:				
2	11/2/11/				
3	Dated: // / // 8	PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER			
4		12211			
5		By: D. Mach			
6		Robert B. Hancock Attorneys for Plaintiff			
7		ERIKA MCCARTNEY			
8					
9					
10	Dated:	PEG CAREW TOLEDO, LAW CORPORATION			
11					
12 13		Ву:			
14		Peg Carew Toledo Attorneys for Defendant			
15		Nutraceutical Corporation			
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
00085513.1	IDDODOSENI STIDITI AT	FED CONSENT HIDCHENT			
20003010.1	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT McCartney v. Nutraceutical Corporation, et al. Civil Action no. CGC-17-558822				

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
2	
3	Dated: PACIFIC JUSTICE CENTER
4	
5	Ву:
6	By: Robert B. Hancock Attorneys for Plaintiff
7	ERIKA MCCARTNEY
8	
9	
10	Dated: 11-13-18 PEG CAREW TOLEDO,
11	LAW CORPORATION
12	By: Le Ceu Tele
13	Peg Carew Poledo
14	Attorneys for Defendant Nutraceutical Corporation
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
00085513.1	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

PCT

1	JUDGMENT
2	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent
3	Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.
4	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.
5	
6	Dated:, 2018
7	
8	Judge of the Superior Court
9	Judge of the Superior Court
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
00085513.1	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

McCartney v. Nutraceutical Corporation, et al. Civil Action no. CGC-17-558822