1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
10	
11	GENTED FOR ENLUDONIMENTAL HEALTH .) G N. D.C. 17 070220
12	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,) Case No. RG 17-870238
13	Plaintiff, () [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT () AS TO OLD LYME GOURMET () COMPANY
14	GOYA FOODS, INC., et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	1. DEFINITIONS
22	1.1 The "Complaint" means the operative complaint in the above-captioned matter.
23	1.2 "Compliance Date" shall mean June 1, 2019.
24	1.3 "Covered Products" means fried or baked potato or sweet potato based snack food
25	products, including sliced Potato Chips (as defined below) and snack food products containing
26	potato or sweet potato flour (such as extruded vegetable chips, vegetable sticks, and vegetable
27	
28	1

straws). An initial list of the Covered Products divided by Potato Chips and Extruded Products is attached as Exhibit A hereto.

- 1.4 "Potato Chips" means sliced potato chips. It is the Parties' intent that the Potato Chips referenced in this Consent Judgment are the kind of products falling within in the "potato chip products" category in the Consent Judgment as to Defendant Frito-Lay, Inc., entered August 1, 2008, in *People v. Frito-Lay, Inc., et al.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC 338956.¹
- 1.5 "Extruded Products" means all Covered Products other than Potato Chips. It is the Parties' intent that the Extruded Products referenced in this Consent Judgment are the kind of products falling within Type 4 in the "extruded, pellet, and baked products" category in the Consent Judgment as to Defendant Snak King Corporation, entered August 31, 2011, in *People v. Snyder's of Hanover, et al.*, Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG 09-455286.²
- 1.6 "Effective Date" means the date on which notice of entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court is served upon Settling Defendant.

2. INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Old Lyme Gourmet Company ("Settling Defendant"). CEH and Settling Defendant (the "Parties") enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the Complaint in the above-captioned matter.
- 2.2 On or about January 12, 2017, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation of Proposition 65 to the California Attorney General, to the District Attorneys of every county in California, to the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, and to Settling Defendant, alleging that Settling Defendant violated Proposition 65 by exposing

¹ Examples of these products are found in Exhibit A to the Frito-Lay Consent Judgment.

² These products are referred to as "Group C, Type 4" products in Exhibit A to the Snak King Consent Judgment, which is available on the Attorney General's website at https://oag.ca.gov/prop65/litigation.

persons to acrylamide contained in Covered Products without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

- 2.3 Settling Defendant is a corporation or other business entity that manufactures, distributes, sells, or offers for sale Covered Products that are sold in the State of California or has done so in the past.
- 2.4 On August 3, 2017, CEH filed the original complaint in the above-captioned matter, naming Settling Defendant as an original defendant. On August 17, 2017, CEH filed the Complaint.
- 2.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the operative Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the operative Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the operative Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Settling Defendant.
- 2.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

3.1 **Reformulation of Covered Products.** Upon the Compliance Date, Settling Defendant shall not purchase, manufacture, ship, sell, or offer for sale Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale in California that exceed the following acrylamide concentration levels

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Settling Defendant reasonably believes do not meet the Reformulation Levels. A Clear and Reasonable Warning under this Agreement shall state:

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to acrylamide, which is known to the State of California to cause cancer. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

The word "WARNING" shall be displayed in all capital letters and bold print. This warning statement shall be prominently displayed on the Covered Product, on the packaging of the Covered Product, or on a placard or sign provided that the statement is displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to sale. If the warning statement is displayed on the Covered Product's label, it must be set off from other surrounding information and enclosed in a text box. If the warning statement is displayed on a placard or sign where the Covered Product is offered for sale, the warning placard or sign must enable an ordinary individual to easily determine which specific Covered Products the warning applies to, and to differentiate between that Covered Product and other products to which the warning statement does not apply. For internet, catalog or any other sale where the consumer is not physically present, the warning statement shall be displayed in such a manner that it is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual prior to the authorization of or actual payment.

4. ENFORCEMENT

4.1 **General Enforcement Provisions**. CEH may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce alleged violations of Section 3 by Settling Defendant shall be brought exclusively pursuant to this Section 4, and be subject to the meet and confer requirement of Section 4.2.4 if applicable.

4.2 Enforcement of Reformulation Commitment.

4.2.1 <u>Notice of Violation</u>. In the event that CEH purchases a Covered Product in California that was manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant with a best-by or

sell-by (or equivalent) date or other code that reflects that the Covered Product was manufactured on or after the Compliance Date, for which CEH has laboratory test results showing that the Covered Product has an acrylamide level exceeding the Unit Level, and which lacks a Clear and Reasonable Warning that complies with Section 3.2, CEH may issue a Notice of Violation pursuant to this Section. Provided, however, CEH may not issue any Notice of Violation if the packaging of the Covered Product is marked or labeled with the statement "Not for Sale in California" or substantially similar language as long as such statement is prominently placed upon such Covered Product's label or other labeling as compared with other words or statements on the label or labeling as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customer conditions of purchase or use. If Settling Defendants mark or label a Covered Product with such a statement, Settling Defendants shall include a letter to their retailer or distributor customer notifying the customer that the Covered Product may not be sold in California.

4.2.2 <u>Service of Notice of Violation and Supporting Documentation.</u>

4.2.2.1 Subject to Section 4.2.1, the Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 8.2 to receive notices for Settling Defendant, and must be served within sixty (60) days of the later of the date the Covered Product at issue was purchased or otherwise acquired by CEH or the date that CEH can reasonably determine that the Covered Product at issue was manufactured, shipped, sold, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant, provided, however, that CEH may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to send the Notice of Violation if, notwithstanding CEH's good faith efforts, the test data required by Section 4.2.2.2 below cannot be obtained by CEH from its laboratory before expiration of the initial sixty (60) day period.

4.2.2.2 The Notice of Violation shall, at a minimum, set forth: (a) the date the Covered Product was purchased; (b) the location at which the Covered Product was purchased; (c) a description of the Covered Product giving rise to the alleged violation, including the name and address of the retail entity from which the sample was obtained and pictures of the

product packaging from all sides, which identifies the product lot; and (d) all test data obtained by CEH regarding the Covered Product and supporting documentation sufficient for validation of the test results, including any laboratory reports, quality assurance reports, and quality control reports associated with testing of the Covered Product.

- 4.2.3 <u>Notice of Election of Response</u>. No more than thirty (30) days after effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant shall provide written notice to CEH whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation ("Notice of Election"). Failure to provide a Notice of Election within thirty (30) days of effectuation of service of a Notice of Violation shall be deemed an election to contest the Notice of Violation. Upon notice to CEH, Settling Defendant may have up to an additional sixty (60) days to elect if, notwithstanding Settling Defendant's good faith efforts, Settling Defendant is unable to verify the test data provided by CEH before expiration of the initial thirty (30) day period.
- 4.2.3.1 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all documents upon which Settling Defendant is relying to contest the alleged violation, including all available test data. If Settling Defendant or CEH later acquires additional test or other data regarding the alleged violation during the meet and confer period described in Section 4.2.4, it shall notify the other Party and promptly provide all such data or information to the Party unless either the Notice of Violation or Notice of Election has been withdrawn.
- 4.2.4 Meet and Confer. If a Notice of Violation is contested, CEH and Settling Defendant shall meet and confer to attempt to resolve their dispute. Within thirty (30) days of serving a Notice of Election contesting a Notice of Violation, Settling Defendant may withdraw the original Notice of Election contesting the violation and serve a new Notice of Election to not contest the violation, provided, however, that, in this circumstance, Settling Defendant shall pay \$2,500 in addition to any payment required under this Consent Judgment. At any time, CEH may withdraw a Notice of Violation, in which case for purposes of this Section 4.2 the result shall be as if CEH never issued any such Notice of Violation. If no informal resolution of a Notice of Violation results within thirty (30) days of a Notice of Election to contest, CEH may file an

enforcement motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1. In any such proceeding, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies are provided by law for an alleged failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.

- 4.2.5 <u>Non-Contested Notices</u>. If Settling Defendant elects to not contest the allegations in a Notice of Violation, it shall undertake corrective action(s) and make payments, if any, as set forth below.
- 4.2.5.1 Settling Defendant shall include in its Notice of Election a detailed description with supporting documentation of the corrective action(s) that it has undertaken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation. Any such correction shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that all Covered Products having the same lot number as that of the Covered Product identified in CEH's Notice of Violation (the "Noticed Covered Products") will not be thereafter sold in California or offered for sale to California customers by Settling Defendant and that Setting Defendant has sent instructions to any retailers or customers that offer the Noticed Covered Products for sale to cease offering the Noticed Covered Products for sale to California consumers and to return all such Noticed Covered Products to Settling Defendant. Settling Defendant shall keep for a period of one year and make available to CEH upon reasonable notice (which shall not exceed more than one request per year) for inspection and copying records of any correspondence regarding the foregoing. If there is a dispute over the corrective action, Settling Defendant and CEH shall meet and confer before seeking any remedy in court. In no case shall CEH issue more than one Notice of Violation per manufacturing lot of a type of Covered Product, nor shall CEH issue more than two Notices of Violation in the first year following the Compliance Date.
- 4.2.5.2 If the Notice of Violation is the first, second, third, or fourth Notice of Violation received by Settling Defendant under Section 4.2.1 that was not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$15,000 for each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant has received more than four (4) Notices of Violation under Section 4.2.1 that were not successfully contested or withdrawn, then Settling Defendant shall pay \$25,000 for

each Notice of Violation. If Settling Defendant produces with its Notice of Election test data for the Covered Product that: (i) was conducted prior to the date CEH gave Notice of Violation; (ii) was conducted on the same or same type of Covered Product; and (iii) demonstrates acrylamide levels below the applicable Unit Level, then any payment under this Section shall be reduced by 100 percent (100%) for the first Notice of Violation, by seventy-five percent (75%) for the second Notice of Violation, and by fifty percent (50%) for any subsequent Notice of Violation. In no case shall Settling Defendant be obligated to pay more than \$100,000 for uncontested Notices of Violation in any calendar year irrespective of the total number of Notices of Violation issued.

- 4.2.6 Payments. Any payments under Section 4.2 shall be made by check payable to the "Lexington Law Group" and shall be paid within thirty (30) days of service of a Notice of Election triggering a payment and shall be used as reimbursement for costs for investigating, preparing, sending, and prosecuting Notices of Violation, and to reimburse attorneys' fees and costs incurred in connection with these activities.
- 4.3 Repeat Violations. If Settling Defendant has received four (4) or more Notices of Violation concerning the same type of Covered Product that were not successfully contested or withdrawn in any two (2) year period then, at CEH's option, CEH may seek whatever fines, costs, penalties, attorneys' fees, or other remedies that are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment. Prior to seeking such relief, CEH shall meet and confer with Settling Defendant for at least thirty (30) days to determine if Settling Defendant and CEH can agree on measures that Settling Defendant can undertake to prevent future alleged violations.

5. **PAYMENTS**

- 5.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$290,000 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- 5.2 Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount for Settling Defendant shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth

1	below. Any failure by Settling Defendant to comply with the payment terms herein shall be
2	subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendant in the amount of \$100 for each
3	day the full payment is not received after the payment due date set forth in Section 5.1. The late
4	fees required under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in
5	an enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment. The funds
6	paid by Settling Defendant shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories
7	and made payable as follows:
8	5.2.1 \$51,020 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).
9	The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code §
10	25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health
11	Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty
12	payment for \$38,265 shall be made payable to OEHHA and associated with taxpayer
13	identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be delivered as follows:
14	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
15	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
16	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B
17	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
18	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics
19	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
20	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814
21	
22	The CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$12,755 shall be made
23	payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification
24	number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero
25	Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
26	5.2.2 \$38,260 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant
27	to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204.

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

CEH intends to restrict use of the ASPs received from this Consent Judgment to the following purposes: the funds will be placed in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and used to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to acrylamide and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty (30) days of any request from the Attorney General. The payment pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

5.2.3 \$200,720 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

6. MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 6.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office, or by an order of this Court upon motion and prior notice to the Attorney General's Office and in accordance with law.
- 6.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.
- 6.3 **Change in Proposition 65.** If Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations (including but not limited to the "safe harbor no significant risk level" for acrylamide set forth at

Cal. Code Regs., tit. 27, section 25705, subdivision (c)(2)) are changed from their terms as they exist on the date of entry of this Consent Judgment in a manner that impacts the Reformulation Levels, or if OEHHA takes some other final regulatory action for products similar to the Covered Products in a manner that impacts the Reformulation Levels or determines that warnings for acrylamide are not required for such products, then Settling Defendant may seek to modify this Consent Judgment to modify the Reformulation Levels. The Parties recognize that the Reformulation Levels are based on a compromise of a number of issues, and that a change to the "safe harbor no significant risk level" for acrylamide would not necessarily entitle a Party to a modification of the terms of this Consent Judgment corresponding to a linear relationship with such a change.

7. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 7.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to acrylamide contained in Covered Products that were sold, distributed, or offered for sale by Settling Defendant prior to the Compliance Date.
- 7.2 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 5 hereof, CEH, for itself and its agents, successors, and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to acrylamide arising in

ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	connection with Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior
2	to the Compliance Date.
3	7.3 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under
4	Section 5 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant
5	shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and
6	Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to any alleged failure to warn about acrylamide in
7	Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Settling Defendant after the Compliance
8	Date.
9	8. PROVISION OF NOTICE
10	8.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
11	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
12	Howard Hirsch Lexington Law Group
13	503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117
14	hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com
15	8.2 When Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
16	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
17	Meredith A. Jones-McKeown
18	Perkins Coie LLP 505 Howard Street, Suite 1000
19	San Francisco, CA 94105 mjonesmckeown@perkinscoie.com
20	Any Party may modify the person and/or address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the
21	other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
22	9. COURT APPROVAL
23	9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon the date signed by CEH and
24	Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall prepare and file a
25	Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support entry of this
26	Consent Judgment by the Court.
27	9.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
28 Document Prepared	13
ON RECYCLED PAPER	

CONSENT JUDGMENT - OLD LYME GOURMET COMPANY - CASE NO. RG 17-870238

effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 9.1.

10. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

10.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

11. **ATTORNEYS' FEES**

- A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action, motion, or application arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 11.2 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

27

26

24

25

ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION		
2	13.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the		
3	Consent Judgment.		
4	14. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT		
5	14.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorize	d	
6	by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and		
7	execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.		
8	15. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS		
9	15.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim		
10	against any other entity on terms that are different than those contained in this Consent Judgmer	ıt.	
11	Settling Defendant may move to modify this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 6 to		
12	substitute higher Reformulation Levels that CEH agrees to in a future consent judgment		
13	applicable to Potato Chips or other products substantially similar to the Covered Products, and		
14	CEH agrees not to oppose any such motion except for good cause shown.		
15	16. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
16	16.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and b	y	
17	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to		
18	constitute one document.		
19			
20	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED,		
21	AND DECREED.		
22			
23	Dated: Judge of the Superior Court		
24	raage of the Superior Court		
25			
26			
27			
28 PARED	15		
DARED			

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
2 3	Dated: 3 A 4 , 2018	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
4	, 2010	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
5		
6		Signature
7		CHARLE PITALLO
8		Printed Name
9		
10		Asserbe Unseroa
11		Title
12		
13	Dated:, 2018	OLD LYME GOURMET COMPANY
14		
15		Signature
16		Signature
17		
18		Printed Name
19 20		
20		Title
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 Document Prepared		16
ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT JUDGMENT – OLD LYME	GOURMET COMPANY – CASE NO. RG 17-870238

1 2	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
3 4	Dated:, 2018	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
5		
6		Signature
7		
8		Printed Name
9		
10		Title
11		
12	Dated: 8/3//, 2018	OLD LYME GOURMET COMPANY
14		
15		
16		Signature
17		
18		Printed Name
19		
20		Title
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 ENT PREPARED		16

1	<u>EXHIBIT A</u>
2	
3	Potato Chips
4	Aged Cheddar Horseradish Kettle Chips
5	Baked Crisps Sea Salt Block To Carlotte Claim Block To Carlotte
6	Black Truffle Kettle Chips Pulls Original Solted Wettle Chips
7	 Bulk Original Salted Kettle Chips Classic Salted Flat Chips
8	Cracked Pepper Kettle Chips
9	Mesquite BBQ Kettle Chips
10	New York Spicy Dill Pickle Kettle Chips
11	Ninja Ginger Kettle Chips
12	Original Salted Kettle Chips
13	Reduced Fat Lightly Salted Kettle Chips
14	Rosemary and Olive Oil Kettle Chips Salt and Wingson Kettle Chips
15	Salt and Vinegar Kettle ChipsSour Cream and Onion Kettle Chips
16	Sweet Maui Onion Kettle Chips
17	Zesty Jalapeno Kettle Chips
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	17

CONSENT JUDGMENT - OLD LYME GOURMET COMPANY - CASE NO. RG 17-870238