1	Aqua Terra Aeris (ATA) Law Group MATTHEW C. MACLEAR, SBN 209228 ANTHONY M. BARNES, SBN 199048 828 San Pablo Avenue, Suite 115B Albany, CA 94706 Telephone: (415) 568 5200		
2			
3			
4	E-mail: mcm@atalawgroup.com		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff Center for Advanced Public Awareness, Inc. ("CAPA")		
6			
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO		
9	CENTER FOR ADVANCED PUBLIC	No. 34-2017-00214476-CU-TT-GDS	
10	AWARENESS, INC., a California nonprofit corporation,	[STIPULATED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
11	Plaintiff,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)	
12	vs.		
13	SACRAMENTO COMMERCIAL		
14	SERVICES, INC., doing business as FLORIN WELLNESS CENTER; and		
15	DOES 1-25, inclusive,		
16	Defendants.		

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 On June 21, 2017, Plaintiff, CENTER FOR ADVANCED PUBLIC AWARENESS, INC. ("CAPA"), a non-profit corporation, in the public interest as a private enforcer, commenced this action by filing a Complaint for Permanent Injunction, Civil Penalties and Other Relief (the "Complaint") pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code, section 25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"), against Sacramento Commercial Services, Inc., doing business as Florin Wellness Center ("Defendant") in Sacramento County Superior Court Case 34-17-00214476-CU-TT-GDS ("Action"). In this action, CAPA alleges that Defendant produced, processed, marketed, offered to sell, sold, and/or distributed for sale in the State of California marijuana intended for smoking, the consumption and use of which results in the generation of marijuana smoke, without first providing the clear and reasonable exposure warning required by Proposition 65. Marijuana smoke is listed CASE NO. 34-2017-00214476-CU-TT-GDS

pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical that is known to the State of California to cause cancer. These products, including flowers, leaves, stems, and other organic parts of marijuana plants, are cannabis or marijuana intended for combustion via smoking and inhalation ("Subject Products"). The intended and foreseeable consumption and use (inhalation) of the Subject Products that are produced, processed, marketed, distributed, offered for sale and/or sold by Defendant in California allegedly results in exposures to marijuana smoke. Plaintiff alleges such exposures require a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning, but no such warning was given. Defendant disputes this and other of Plaintiff's allegations.

- **1.2** CAPA and Defendant are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.3 CAPA is a California non-profit corporation that, among other purposes and causes it is dedicated to, provides information about the hazards of toxins in consumer products, protects the public health by preventing pollution and toxics from being discharged, released or emitted into the environment, and enforces state and federal laws in protection of consumers and the environment.
- **1.4** Defendant is a California non-profit corporation that, among other purposes and causes it is dedicated to, provides medical cannabis to ill Californians pursuant to the laws of the State of California and the laws of the City of Sacramento. Defendant is a collective and only provides products to its registered patient members.
- **1.5** For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that Defendant is a business entity that has employed ten or more persons for the alleged violation period, and qualifies as a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65. Defendant provides the Subject Products to registered members.
- 1.6 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in CAPA's Notice of Violation, dated January 25, 2017, that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Defendant ("Notice of Violation" or "NOV"). More than 60 days, plus five (5) days for mailing, have passed since the NOV was mailed and uploaded to the Attorney General's website, and no designated governmental entity has diligently prosecuted this matter.

1.7 CAPA's NOV and Complaint allege that use of the Subject Products exposes persons
in California to marijuana smoke without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation
of California Health and Safety Code, section 25249.6. Defendant denies all material allegations
contained in the Notice and Complaint

- 1.8 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle, compromise and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation. Except for the representations made above, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by any of the Parties, or by any of their respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, or its subsidiaries or divisions, of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law, at any time, for any purpose.
- 1.9 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties have in any other or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.
- **1.10** The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment is the date on which this Court approves and enters the Judgment.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2.1 The Parties stipulate and agree that the Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties to this Final Judgment. The Parties further stipulate that venue is proper in Sacramento County, and that this Court has jurisdiction and authority to enter a full, final and binding resolution of all claims, which were or could have been brought in this action based on the allegations contained in the NOV and/or Complaint.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND WARNINGS TO BE PROVIDED

3.1 Commencing on the Effective Date, pursuant to the provisions of California Health & Safety Code, section 25249.7, subdivision (a), Defendant is enjoined and prohibited from violating 24249.6 *et seq.* with respect to alleged exposures to marijuana smoke from the Subject Products.

In-Store or Product Label Warnings.

Defendant shall either: (1) post in-store warning signs ("In-Store Warnings") in accordance with Section 3.1.1 **or** (2) affix, in accordance with Section 3.1.1, a warning label to the packaging, labeling, or directly on each Subject Product ("Product Label Warning") sold in its dispensary or through a delivery service, if any, in California.

3.1.1 In-Store Warnings: Warning signs shall be posted at two or more of the following locations in Defendant's California stores where Subject Products are sold: (a) at or near each cash register in the store; (b) at or near each display case of Subject Products in the store; or (c) at or near the entrance to the store, which shall include the check in window before entry to the product show room. The warnings shall be at least 8 inches by 10 inches, and posted at a height and location that will make it conspicuous and easy to read for the average person. The text of the warning shall be printed in black ink, in a font that is easy to read and legible, but in no case smaller than size 34 font. The signs shall provide a warning that is compliant with Title 27, California Code of Regulations, Section 25600 et. seq. that is in effect today or as amended and effective commencing August 2018, with the warning language to be substantially similar to one of the warnings set forth below. At Defendant's sole discretion, Defendant can warn for birth defects or other reproductive harm by using the third and/or fourth warning set forth below, without further approval by the Court:

WARNING: Smoking marijuana products can expose you to chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer.

Or

WARNING: Smoking marijuana products can expose you to chemicals, including

marijuana smoke, which are known to the State of California to cause

cancer.

Or

WARNING: Smoking [or consuming] marijuana products can expose you to

chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer [and birth

defects or other reproductive harm].

Or

4.2 PENALTY AMOUNT \$24,900.00 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (B)(1). CAPA shall remit 75% (\$18,675.00) of the total civil penalty to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code, section 25249.12, subdivision (c). CAPA will retain the remaining 25% (\$6,225.00) of the civil penalty.

4.3 <u>COSTS</u> **\$1,487.11** shall be distributed to CAPA as reimbursement for reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action.

4.4 <u>ATTORNEYS FEES AMOUNT.</u> \$31,625.00 shall be distributed to Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group ("ATA") for legal fees and costs incurred as a result of this matter, including investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

4.5 In the event that Defendant fails to remit the Total Settlement Payment owed under Section 4 of this Consent Judgment on or before 30 days after the Effective Date, Defendant shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this Consent Judgment. CAPA shall provide written notice of the delinquency to Defendant's counsel via electronic mail. If Defendant fails to deliver the Total Settlement Payment within five (5) after the written notice, the Total Settlement Payment shall become immediately due and payable and shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in the Code of Civil Procedure, section 685.010. Additionally, Defendant agrees to pay ATA's reasonable attorney fees and costs for reasonably necessary efforts to collect the payment due under this Consent Judgment.

4.6 ADDITIONAL SETTLEMENT PAYMENTS. \$18,487.89 shall be distributed to CAPA as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP"), pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 27, sections 3203, subdivision (d), and 3204. CAPA will utilize the ASP for activities that address the same public harm as allegedly caused by Defendant in this matter. These activities are detailed below and support CAPA's overarching goal of reducing use, misuse, and exposure to hazardous and toxic chemicals, fostering and increasing the public awareness of chemicals inherent in consumer products, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility. CAPA's activities have had, and will continue to have, a direct and primary effect within the State of California because California consumers will be benefitted by the reduction of exposure to marijuana smoke and increase informed choices made by patients and consumers before exposure by providing clear and reasonable warnings to California consumers prior to exposure resulting from purchase of the products.

CAPA hereby provides the following list of activities CAPA engages in to protect California consumers through Proposition 65 citizen enforcement, along with a breakdown of how ASP funds will be utilized to facilitate those activities: (1) ENFORCEMENT (70%-80%): investigating, obtaining, shipping, analyzing, and/or testing dispensaries or products that may contain carcinogenic chemicals contained in marijuana smoke and are sold to and expose California consumers to

22

21

23

24

25

2627

28

chemicals listed under Proposition 65; continued monitoring and enforcement of past consent judgments and settlements to ensure companies are in compliance with their obligations thereunder, with a specific focus on those judgments and settlements concerning chemicals of concern (which necessarily includes additional work, investigating, purchasing, processing, analyzing and/or testing consumer products; litigating matters that result in settlements, judgments, defaults, bankruptcies, or dismissals); (2) PUBLIC OUTREACH (up to 5%): public outreach through CAPA's continuing advocacy in regulatory proceedings and rulemakings related to sales and use of cannabis in California to ensure the public receives information about the carcinogens contained in marijuana smoke; and public service announcements about the risks associated with exposure to marijuana smoke; (3) SPECIAL PROJECTS (up to 5%): projects involving expert, non-legal opinions not specific to any one marijuana smoke case that are necessary to the continued private enforcement of Proposition 65 concerning exposure to marijuana smoke and related chemicals; assessing exposure scenarios and types of products associated with marijuana smoke and related chemical exposures; and/or (4) PRODUCT DATABASE (up to 5%): maintaining a database with all products sold to California consumers that CAPA has obtained which could cause an exposure to marijuana smoke or other toxic, carcinogenic or reproductive harms. CAPA will maintain adequate records to document that the funds paid as an ASP are spent on the activities described herein. CAPA shall provide the Attorney General, within thirty days of any request, copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

- **4.7** Defendant shall issue separate 1099 forms for each of its payments under this Consent Judgment to the persons identified below:
 - (a) "Center for Advanced Public Awareness, Inc.," whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished after this Consent Judgment has been fully executed by the Parties.
 - (b) "Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group," for attorneys' fees and costs reimbursed pursuant to Section 4.4.

For any payment that is returned for insufficient funds, payment must be made by a cashier's check

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

within ten (10) calendar days of notification of insufficient funds.

5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

5.1 Plaintiff's Public Binding Release of Proposition 65 Claims. This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAPA, acting on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and Defendant, and its parents, affiliates, shareholders, subsidiaries, directors, officers, employees, representatives, agents, attorneys, and for those affiliated entities under common ownership and their predecessors, successors and assigns (collectively, "Releasees") related to any claims for violations of Proposition 65 that were or could have been asserted by CAPA, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, against Releasees for unwarned exposures to marijuana smoke, and for claims based on the NOV and/or Complaint, relating to the Subject Products produced, processed, marketed, offered for sale, sold or distributed for sale in California by Defendant prior to the Effective Date. This Consent Judgment shall have preclusive effect such that no others person or entity, whether purporting to act in his, her or its interests or in the public interest, shall be permitted to pursue and/or take action with respect to any claimed violation of Proposition 65 that was alleged in CAPA's NOV or Complaint, or that could have been brought pursuant to CAPA's NOV or Complaint against Defendant. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the claims asserted in the NOV and exposures to marijuana smoke from the Products sold by or through Defendant after the Effective Date.

5.2 CAPA's Individual Release of Claims. CAPA, on behalf of itself and its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys and successors and/or assigns, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases Defendant from any and all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, suits, obligations, debts, liabilities and demands of CAPA of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, in law or equity, fixed or contingent, now or in the future, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to marijuana smoke, and claims that were or could have been asserted based on the NOV and/or Complaint relating to the Subject Products grown, cultivated, processed, sold or distributed for sale by Defendant in California before the Effective Date.

5.3 Defendant's Release of CAPA. Defendant, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims and rights that it may have against CAPA and its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by CAPA and its attorneys and other representatives in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter.

5.4 California Civil Code, Section 1542. It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice and relating to the Subject Products will develop or be discovered. CAPA on its behalf only, on one hand, and Defendant, on the other hand, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include all such claims up through the Effective Date, including all rights of action therefor. CAPA and Defendant acknowledge that the claims released in Sections 5.2 and 5.3 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California Civil Code, section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code, section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

CAPA and Defendant each acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of California Civil Code, section 1542.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment has no force or effect until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be

adversely affected.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

8. GOVERNING LAW AND CONTINUING JURISDICTION

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, the Court retains jurisdiction over this matter and terms of the Judgment contained herein. In the event Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Subject Products, then Defendant may provide written notice to CAPA of any asserted change in the law, and have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Subject Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendant from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state laws concerning labeling, warning or toxics in consumer products. If OEHHA or other governmental body with authority promulgates regulations setting forth warning text and/or methods of transmission required or permitted to be used under Proposition 65 for exposures to marijuana smoke in the Subject Products as defined herein, then at its sole discretion Defendant may use such other warning text and/or method of transmission, without being in breach of this Consent Judgment, provided that Defendant sends written notice of this decision and includes the content and means of transmission of the warning to CAPA sixty (60) days in advance of implementing any such changes. CAPA shall have an opportunity to provide comments and to meet and confer regarding the proposed changes before they are fully implemented.

9. **NOTICES**

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by the following methods, with additional copy to counsel via electronic mail: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class mail, registered or certified, with return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

For Defendant:

Sacramento Commercial Services, Inc.

- 1		
1	ATTN: Grach Serobyan	
2	1421 47th Ave. Sacramento, CA 95822	
3	With a copy to:	
	Brad Hirsch	
4	The Law Offices of Bradley L. Hirsch 1013 Galleria Blvd. Suite 290	
5	Roseville, CA 95678	
6	Bhirsch08@gmail.com	
7	For CAPA:	
8	Executive Director	
9	Center for Advanced Public Awareness, Inc. 180 Promenade Cir.	
10	Sacramento, CA 95834	
11	With a copy to:	
	Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group	
12	c/o Matthew Maclear 828 San Pablo Avenue, Suite 115B	
13	Albany, CA 94706	
14	mcm@atalawgroup.com	
15	Any Party may change its notice name and address by informing the other party in writing,	
16	but no change is effective until proof of receipt is confirmed. All notices and other communications	
17	required or permitted under this Final Judgment that are properly addressed as provided in this	
18	paragraph are effective upon delivery if delivered personally or by overnight mail, or are effective	
19	five (5) days following deposit in the United States mail, postage prepaid, if delivered by First Class	
20	mail.	
21	10. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES	
22	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, and by facsimile or portable	
23	document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when	
24	taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.	
25	11. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES	
26	CAPA agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety	
27	Code, section 25249.7, subdivision (f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health &	
28	- 12 - CASE NO. 34-2017-00214476-CU-TT-GDS	

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

of the settlement. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, CAPA and Defendant agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and that of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of the settlement in a timely manner. If the Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best individual and collective efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible in advance of the hearing on the motion to approve settlement. If the Court does not approve of the Stipulated Consent Judgment, it shall be void or voided and have no force or effect.

12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon successful motion or application of any Party and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

13. **AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

14. DRAFTING

It shall be conclusively presumed that the Parties participated equally in the drafting of this Consent Judgment. The Parties discussed each and every term and provision, and the meaning thereof, in advance of executing this stipulation. Each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel.

15. **ENFORCEMENT**

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, in writing or via telephone to attempt to resolve the dispute in an amicable and amenable fashion. No action or motion may be filed unless such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute occurs before such a filing.

Before moving to enforce the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment with respect to

16. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

by the Parties or resolved by the Court or an agreed upon arbitrator.

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with regard to this matter, including any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments or understanding related thereto. No representations, oral, written or otherwise, express or implied, unless specifically referred to herein shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party as it relates to the allegations made in this action.

17. STIPULATED REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon request of the Parties for the Court

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	to fully review	w its terms and to be fully info	rmed re	garding the matters which are the subject of thi
2	action, and to	:		
3	(1)	Find the terms and provisions	of this	Consent Judgment represent a fair and equitable
4		settlement of all matters raise	d by the	allegations of the Complaint, that the matter ha
5				he public interest is served by such settlement
6		and		
7	(2)	Make the statutory findings	required	pursuant to Health and Safety Code section
8		25249.7, subdivision (f)(4),	approv	e the settlement and approve this Consen
9		Judgment.		
10	IT IS SO	STIPULATED.		
11				
12				CENTER FOR ADVANCED PUBLIC AWARENESS, INC.
13		1.1-		11 M
14	DATED: 11	1/20/17	1	3V: 1-11/21/
15				Clifford Brechner Executive Director
16				
17				SACRAMENTO COMMERCIAL SERVICES, NC., doing business as FLORIN WELLNESS
18				ENTER
19	DATED:			
20	DATED:		ŀ	Name: Grach Scrobyan
21				Title:
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28			- 15 -	CASE NO. 34-2017-00214476-CU-TT-GDS
			ENT JUIDO	RCIAL SERVICES, INC. MENT

1	to fully review	v its terms and to be fully informed regarding the matters which are the subject of	f this
2	action, and to:		
3	(1)	Find the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and equ	itable
4		settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint, that the matter	er has
5		been diligently prosecuted and that the public interest is served by such settles	ment;
6		and ·	
7	(2)	Make the statutory findings required pursuant to Health and Safety Code se	ection
8		25249.7, subdivision (f)(4), approve the settlement and approve this Co	nsent
9		Judgment.	
10	IT IS SO	STIPULATED.	
11		CENTER FOR ADVANCED PUBLIC	
12		AWARENESS, INC.	
13			
14	DATED:	BY: Clifford Brechner	
15		Executive Director	
16		CACDANGENTO COM CEDITAL CEDIT	CEC
17		SACRAMENTO COMMERCIAL SERVI INC., doing business as FLORIN WELLN	
18		CENTER	
19	DATED:	11/21/17 BY: All	
20		yame: Grach Serobyan	
21		o Title.	
22			
23			
24 25			
26			
27			
28			
20		- 15 - CASE NO. 34-2017-00214476-CU-TT CAPA v SACRAMENTO COMMERCIAL SERVICES, INC.	-GDS
	CAPA V SACRAMENTO COMMERCIAL SERVICES, INC. CONSENT JUDGMENT		

1	1 APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
2	2 AQUA	A TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP, LLP	
3	3		
4	4 DATED: November 20, 2017 BY: _	Matthew Maclear	
5	5 DATED: BY:	Watthew Watereas	
6	6	Attorney for Plaintiff, Center for Advanced Public Awareness, Inc.	
7	7		
8		OFFICE OF BRADLEY HIRSCH	
9			
10	DATED: RV.		
11		Brad Hirsch	
12		Attorney for Defendant, Sacramento Commercial Services, Inc., doing	
13		business as Florin Wellness Center	
14			
15			
16			
17 18			
19			
20	ORDER AND HIDGE	<u>MENT</u>	
21	Based upon the Parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent Judgmen		
22	is approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to it	is approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.		
24	24		
25	Date: Judge	of the Superior Court	
26			
27	27		
28	28	CASE NO. 34-2017-00214476-CU-TT-GDS	
	CADA V SACRAMENTO COMPREDICIA	CEDVICES INC	

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
2		AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP, LLP	
3			
4			
5	DATED:	BY: Matthew Maclear	
6		Attorney for Plaintiff, Center for Advanced Public Awareness, Inc.	
7		ridvanced rabite rivalencess mer	
8		LAW OFFICE OF BRADLEY HIRSCH	
9		LAW OFFICE OF BRADEL THRISCH	
10	(1 2) 17	B. 181.	
H	DATED: 11-21-17	BY: Brad Hirsch	
12		Attorney for Defendant, Sacramento Commercial Services, Inc., doing	
13		business as Florin Wellness Center	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20	ORDER AND JUDGMENT		
21	Based upon the Parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent Judgment		
22	is approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms. IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.		
23			
24	Date:		
25		Judge of the Superior Court	
26			
27			
28	- 16 -	CASE NO. 34-2017-00214476-CU-TT-GDS	
ľ	CAPA V SACRAMENTO CON	IMERCIAL SERVICES INC.	

CONSENT JUDGMENT