1			
2			
3			
4 5			
5			
7			
8	SUDEDIOD COUDT FOD TH	Ε STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Case No. RG-16-834949	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO CINEMARK HOLDINGS, INC., CINEMARK USA,	
14	DEL TACO RESTAURANTS, INC., et al.,	HOLDINGS, INC., CINEMARK USA, INC. AND CENTURY THEATRES, INC.	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 Document Prepared on Recycled Paper		l-	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – CINEMA	RK – CASE NO. RG-16-834949	

1.

INTRODUCTION

2 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a 1.1. 3 California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and Cinemark Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, 4 and its wholly owned subsidiaries Cinemark USA, Inc., a Texas Corporation and Century 5 Theatres, Inc., a California corporation (collectively, "Settling Defendants"). The Parties enter 6 into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendants 7 as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned matter. This 8 Consent Judgment covers thermal paper that is provided by Settling Defendants to their 9 customers in California as transactional documentation such as receipts and tickets ("Thermal 10 Paper"). CEH alleges that the Thermal Paper provided by Settling Defendants to their customers 11 in the past was coated with bisphenol A, a chemical known to the State of California to cause 12 birth defects or other reproductive harm ("BPA").

13

1.2. On February 9, 2017, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation under 14 Proposition 65 to Cinemark Holdings, Inc., Cinemark USA, Inc., the California Attorney General, 15 the District Attorneys of every county in California and the City Attorneys of every California 16 city with a population greater than 750,000, alleging that Cinemark Holdings, Inc. and Cinemark 17 USA, Inc. violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to BPA from Thermal Paper without first 18 providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

19 1.3. On May 11, 2018, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation under Proposition 20 65 to Century Theatres, Inc., the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every 21 county in California and the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 22 750,000, alleging that Century Theatres, Inc. violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to BPA 23 from Thermal Paper without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.

24 1.4. On October 13, 2016, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter. On 25 November 2, 2016, CEH filed the First Amended Complaint in the above-captioned matter. The 26 First Amended Complaint has since been amended to add additional defendants, including

27

Cinemark Holdings, Inc. and Cinemark USA, Inc. on May 5, 2017 and to add Century Theatres,
 Inc. on or about July 25, 2018.

1.5. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court
has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal
jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper
in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent
Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the
Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Thermal Paper provided to
consumers by Settling Defendants.

10 1.6. Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the 11 Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with 12 the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, 13 conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall 14 prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any 15 other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation 16 and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising and 17 resolving issues disputed in this Action.

18

1.7. The date of entry of this Consent Judgment is the "Effective Date."

19

2.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

20 2.1. Specification Compliance Date. To the extent it has not already done so, no 21 more than thirty (30) days after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment, before a Settling 22 Defendant purchases any Thermal Paper for use in its California locations, it shall instruct each of 23 its suppliers of Thermal Paper (*i.e.*, the contracting party from whom the Settling Defendant 24 purchases Thermal Paper) (a "Thermal Paper Supplier") that the Thermal Paper to be used in its 25 California locations must meet the BPA Reformulation Level (defined below). If in the future a 26 Settling Defendant purchases Thermal Paper from a Thermal Paper Supplier that it has not 27 previously provided with instructions to provide Thermal Paper for use in its California locations

1 that meets the BPA Reformation Level, the Settling Defendant shall provide instructions to such 2 Thermal Paper Supplier prior to placing an initial order for Thermal Paper that directs the 3 Thermal Paper Supplier to provide it with Thermal Paper for use in its California locations that 4 meets the BPA Reformation Level. Each Settling Defendant shall retain and make available to 5 CEH upon reasonable written request records of communications sent to and received from 6 Thermal Paper Suppliers that are related to the requirement of this Section 2.1 for a period of one 7 (1) year from the Effective Date. Any confidential information provided to CEH by a Settling 8 Defendant pursuant to this Consent Judgment may be provided subject to the Protective Order 9 entered by the Court in this matter on June 6, 2017 (the "Del Taco Protective Order"), regardless 10 of whether the Del Taco Protective Order is determined to pertain to this Consent Judgment and 11 related settlement correspondence stemming from this Consent Judgment.

12 2.2. **Reformulation of Thermal Paper**. After the Effective Date, no Settling 13 Defendant shall purchase, sell, offer for sale, ship, distribute, use or provide to any employee or 14 customer any Thermal Paper to be used in California that contains BPA that does not meet the 15 Reformulation Level. Thermal Paper is deemed to meet the Reformulation Level if it contains no 16 BPA that was intentionally added to the Thermal Paper in the manufacturing process and it 17 contains less than 20 parts per million ("ppm") BPA by weight, such concentration to be 18 determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using inductively coupled 19 plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) equipment. So long as a Settling Defendant is in 20 compliance with the requirements of this Section, a Settling Defendant has no independent 21 obligation under this Consent Judgment to test Thermal Paper for BPA.

22 2.3. Additional Research Efforts on Thermal Paper. CEH contends that certain
23 alternatives to BPA used in Thermal Paper are other phenols (*e.g.*, bisphenol S ("BPS")) that
24 allegedly have adverse health effects, although they are not subject to Proposition 65. Settling
25 Defendants agree to use commercially reasonable efforts to research alternatives to phenol-based
26 Thermal Paper and strategies to reduce the use of thermal paper in their California
27 locations. Settling Defendants shall prepare a joint written report describing the results from this

work, including the feasibility of switching to phenol-free Thermal Paper, that shall be submitted
 to CEH within thirty (30) days of the one-year anniversary of the Effective Date.

3

3.

ENFORCEMENT

3.1. Enforcement Procedures. Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to
enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating
party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and
confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate
cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may,
by new action, motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to
enforce Proposition 65 and the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

11

4. **PAYMENTS**

4.1. Payments by Settling Defendants. CEH agrees to provide a separate W-9 form
for each legal entity that will receive a check pursuant to this Agreement. The Settling
Defendants shall be jointly and severally liable for a total payment of \$195,000 dollars (\$) within
ten (10) calendar days of entry of this Consent Judgment.

16

4.2. Allocation of Payments. The total settlement amount shall be paid in four (4) 17 separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by 18 Settling Defendants to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late 19 fee to be paid by Settling Defendants in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not 20 received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 4.1. The late fees required 21 under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an 22 enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid 23 by Settling Defendants shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and 24 made payable as follows:

4.2.1. Settling Defendants shall pay \$34,028 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health
& Safety Code \$25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with
Health & Safety Code \$25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of

1	Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, Settling Defendants shall
2	pay the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for \$25,521 by check made payable to
3	OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be
4	delivered as follows:
5	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
6	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
7	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B
8	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
9	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics
10	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
11	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814
12	Settling Defendants shall pay the CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$8,507 by check
13	made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification
14	number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero
15	Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
16	4.2.2. Settling Defendants shall pay \$25,521 as an Additional Settlement
17	Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code
18	of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to place these funds in CEH's Toxics in Food
19	Fund and use them to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about
20	BPA and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to
21	reduce exposure to BPA and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public
22	health impacts and risks of exposure to BPA and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California.
23	CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these
24	activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty
25	days of any request from the Attorney General. The payments pursuant to this Section shall be
26	made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification
27	
28	

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1	number 94-3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503			
2	Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.			
3	4.2.3. Settling Defendants shall pay \$135,451 as a reimbursement of a portion of			
4	CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and	costs. The attorn	eys' fees and co	st reimbursement shall be
5	made payable to the Lexington Law G	Froup and associat	ed with taxpaye	r identification number
6	94-3317175. This payment shall be d	elivered to Lexing	gton Law Group	, 503 Divisadero Street,
7	San Francisco, CA 94117.			
8	4.2.4. To summarize,	Settling Defendar	nts shall deliver	checks made out to the
9	payees and in the amounts set forth be	elow:		
10	Damas	True	A 0 f	
11	Payee OEHHA	Type Penalty	Amount	Deliver To
12	Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$25,521 \$8,507	OEHHA per Section
13	Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$25,521	LLG
14	Lexington Law Group	Fees and Costs	\$135,451	LLG
15	5. MODIFICATION OF CONS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
16	5.1. Modification. This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by			
17	express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this			
18	Court upon motion and in accordance with law.			
19	5.2. Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment			
20	shall attempt in good faith to meet and	l confer with all a	ffected Parties p	rior to filing a motion to
21	modify the Consent Judgment.			
22 23	6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE			
23 24	6.1. This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on			
24 25	behalf of itself and the public interest and each Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries,			
23 26	affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents,			
20	shareholders, successors, assigns and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees") of any violation of			
28				
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER				
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – CINEMARK – CASE NO. RG-16-834949			

1 Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about exposure to BPA contained in Thermal Paper that 2 was purchased, sold, offered for sale, shipped, distributed, used or otherwise provided to 3 employees or customers by a Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

6.2. CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives and forever discharges any and all claims against each Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to BPA contained in Thermal Paper that was purchased, sold, offered for sale, shipped, distributed, used or otherwise provided to employees or customers by a Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

11 6.3. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by a Settling Defendant shall 12 constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by such Settling Defendant, its Defendant Releasees 13 with respect to any alleged failure to warn about BPA contained in Thermal Paper purchased, 14 sold, offered for sale, shipped, distributed, used or otherwise provided to employees or customers 15 by such Settling Defendant after the Effective Date.

16

DOCUMENT PRI ON RECYCLED

7.

PROVISION OF NOTICE

17 7.1. When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the 18 notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:

		-
19		Eric S. Somers
20		Lexington Law Group 503 Divisadero Street
21		San Francisco, CA 94117 esomers@lexlawgroup.com
22	7.2.	When Settling Defendants are entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
23	Judgment, the	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
24		Ashley Crawford
25		Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP 580 California Street, Suite 1500
26		San Francisco, CA 94104 avcrawford@akingump.com
27		
28		
PARED PAPER		-8-
		CONSENT JUDGMENT – CINEMARK – CASE NO. RG-16-834949

1	7.3. Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by
2	sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.
3	8. COURT APPROVAL
4	8.1. CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment. Each
5	Settling Defendant shall support entry of the Consent Judgment and notwithstanding Section 8.2
6	this covenant shall become effective and enforceable upon execution of this Consent Judgment by
7	all Parties.
8	8.2. If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or
9	effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any
10	purpose.
11	9. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION
12	9.1. The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of
13	California.
14	10. ATTORNEY'S FEES
15	10.1. A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent
16	Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs
17	unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this
18	Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the
19	Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016.010, et seq.
20	10.2. Notwithstanding Section 10.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement
21	action brought pursuant to Section 3 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of
22	Civil Procedure § 1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party
23	seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of § 1021.5, and this
24	provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for
25	obtaining such an award.
26	10.3. Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of
27	sanctions pursuant to law.
28	

11. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

2 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding 11.1. 3 of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, 4 negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein 5 and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties 6 except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, 7 other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party 8 hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, 9 shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically 10 contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the 11 Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation, 12 modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in 13 writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent 14 Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof 15 whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

16

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

- 17 12.1. This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the18 Consent Judgment.
- 19

13. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

13.1. Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized
by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and
execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

23

14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

14.1. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim
against an entity that is not Settling Defendants on terms that are different than those contained in
this Consent Judgment.

27

1	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
2	15.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
3	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to		
4	constitute one document.		
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
6	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
7			
8	Cu		
9	Charlie Pizarro		
10	Associate Director		
11	CINEMARK HOLDINGS, INC.		
12			
13	Signature		
14			
15	Printed Name		
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
17			
18	Title		
19 20			
20	CINEMARK USA, INC.		
21			
22	Signature		
24			
25	Printed Name		
26			
27			
28	Title		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-11- CONSENT JUDGMENT – CINEMARK – CASE NO. RG-16-834949		
l	CONSERVENCE CONSERVE CONSERVE CONSERVENCE CONSERVENCE CONSERVE CONSERVE CONSER		

1	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS	
2	15.1. The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by	
3	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to	
4	constitute one document.	
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
6	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	
7		
8		
9	Charlie Pizarro Associate Director	
10	Associate Director	
11	CINEMARK HOLDINGS, INC.	
12	10 At Kelingt	
13	Signature	
14	Signature WALTER HEBERT	
15	<u>Printed Name</u>	
16		
17 18	EVP	
18	Title	
19 20		
20	CINEMARK USA, INC.	
21	11) Alexant	
22	Signature	
24	WALTER HEBERT	
25	Printed Name	
26		
27	EVP	
28	Title	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-11- CONSENT JUDGMENT – CINEMARK – CASE NO. RG-16-834949	-
1	CONSERVI JODOMERT – CINEMARK – CASE NO. KO-10-034747	

 CENTURY THEATRES, INC. Walter Kolvert 	
2 Walter Salut	
IN TRADE TRIDINGA	
3 Signature	
5 Signature 4 5 MALTER HEBERT	
5 <u>MANER HEBERT</u> Printed Name	
6	
7 EVP	
8 Title	
9	
10	
11 IT IS SO ORDERED:	
12	
13 Dated:, 2018 14 Judge of the Superior Court of Californ	_ a
	u
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 Document Prepared -12-	
ON RECYCLED PAPER CONSENT JUDGMENT – CINEMARK – CASE NO. RG-16-834949	