1	Attorneys for Plaintiff CONSUMED ADVOCACY CROUD INC		
2	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.		
3	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA		
4	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT		
5	CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., in the public interest,) Case No. BC679776	
6	Plaintiff,	PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
7	VS.		
8	VALU MART COMPANY, a California	Honorable Thomas D. Long	
9	Corporation, et al.,	Dept.: 48 Trial Date: March 6, 2023	
10	Defendants.		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		-	

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff, CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. ("Plaintiff" or "CAG") acting on behalf of itself and in the interest of the public, and Defendant, SOOFER COMPANY, INC. ("Defendant" or "Soofer") with each a Party to the action and collectively referred to as "Parties."

1.2 Defendant and Covered Products

1.2.1 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that Soofer employs ten or more persons, is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), and sells, manufactures, offers for sale and/or distributes Ground Ginger, Whole Ginger, Ground Clove and Ground Anise products in California, more particularly identified as "Covered Products" in ¶2.1 below.

1.3 Relevant Chemical

1.3.1 Lead and Lead Compounds ("Lead" or "Chemical") is a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and/or birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.4 Notices of Violation

- 1.4.1 On or about February 10, 2017, CAG served Soofer and various public enforcement agencies with a document titled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG#2017-00176) ("February 10, 2017 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in ground ginger products offered for sale by Soofer in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the February 10, 2017 Notice.
- 1.4.2 On or about April 26, 2017, CAG served Soofer and various public enforcement agencies with a document titled "60-Day Notice of Intent to Sue for Violation of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986" (AG#2017-00591) ("April 26, 2017 Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code §

25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to Lead contained in whole ginger, ground clove and ground anise products offered for sale by Soofer in California. No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the April 26, 2017 Notice.

1.4.3 Collectively, the February 10, 2017 Notice and the April 26, 2017 Notice shall hereinafter be referred to as the "Notices".

1.5 Complaint

1.5.1 On October 9, 2017, CAG filed a Complaint; and on February 2, 2018 CAG filed a First Amended Complaint ("Complaint") against Soofer, for civil penalties and injunctive relief in Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case N° BC679776. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Defendant violated Proposition 65 by failing to give clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to Lead from the Covered Products (see ¶2.1 below for definition).

1.6 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over Soofer as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full, final and binding settlement and resolution of the allegations against Soofer contained in the Notices and Complaint, and of all claims which were or could have been raised by any person or entity based in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, on the facts alleged therein or arising therefrom or related thereto.

1.7 No Admission

This Consent Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full, final and binding settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any material allegation in the Notices or the Complaint (each and every allegation of which Defendant denies), any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, including without limitation, any admission concerning any violation of

24

25

26

27

17

2.1.4 "'Sadaf'; 'Stay Fresh Resealable Bag'; 'Ground Anise Seeds'; 'Anis Vert Moulu'; 'Quality You Can Trust'; 'Net Wt/Poids Net 4 oz (113g)'; 'www.Sadaf.com'; 'Sadaf Foods'; 052851110087"

"Covered Products" are limited to those sold, offered for sale, manufactured, distributed and/or supplied by Soofer Company, Inc., its assigns or successors.

www.Sadaf.com'; 'Packed in USA'; 052851111411"

2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that this Consent Judgment is approved and entered

27

place a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 compliant warning on them, consistent with 27 CCR

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT

4.1.2

7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

Health Hazard Assessment, Attn: Mike Gyurics, 1001 I Street, Mail Stop 12-B, Sacramento, California 95812. Defendant shall provide written confirmation to CAG concurrently with payment to OEHHA.

Additional Settlement Payment: Soofer shall pay Eight Thousand Five Hundred Sixty dollars (\$8,560.00) in lieu of civil penalties to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." CAG will use this portion of the Total Settlement Payment as follows, eighty percent (80%) for fees of investigation, purchasing and testing for Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals in various products, and for expert fees for evaluating exposures through various mediums, including but not limited to consumer product, occupational, and environmental exposures to Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals, and the cost of hiring consulting and retaining experts who assist with the extensive scientific analysis necessary for those files in litigation and to offset the costs of future litigation enforcing Proposition 65 but excluding attorney fees; twenty percent (20%) for administrative costs incurred during investigation and litigation to reduce the public's exposure to Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals by notifying those persons and/or entities believed to be responsible for such exposures and attempting to persuade those persons and/or entities to reformulate their products or the source of exposure to completely eliminate or lower the level of Proposition 65 Listed Chemicals including but not limited to costs of documentation and tracking of products investigated, storage of products, website enhancement and maintenance, computer and software maintenance, investigative equipment, CAG's member's time for work done on investigations, office supplies, mailing supplies and postage. Within 30 days of a request from the Attorney General, CAG shall provide to the Attorney General copies of documentation demonstrating how the above funds have been spent. CAG shall be solely responsible for ensuring the proper expenditure of such additional settlement payment. The payment shall be made payable to "Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc." and delivered to the address at §4.2 below.

Reimbursement of Attorney Fees and Costs: Soofer shall pay a total of Eighty thousand dollars (\$80,000.00) (hereinafter "Attorneys' Fees") in separate allotments following the payment plan set forth below to "Law Offices of Kenneth W. Ralidis, aplc," as

reimbursement for reasonable investigation fees and costs, attorneys' fees, and any other costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Soofer's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. The payment of the Attorneys' Fees shall be made payable to "Law Offices of Kenneth W. Ralidis, aplc" and delivered to the address at §4.1.4 below under the following payment plan: (a) Within two (2) months of the Effective Date, Soofer shall pay \$20,000.00 to Mr. Ralidis; (b) within one hundred and five (105) days of the Effective Date, Soofer shall pay \$30,000.00 to Mr. Ralidis; and (c) within five (5) months of the Effective Date, Soofer shall pay \$30,000.00 to Mr. Ralidis.

4.1.4 All payments pursuant to §4.1 shall be delivered via overnight mail to:

Kenneth Ralidis, Law Offices of Kenneth W. Ralidis, aplc, 3435 Wilshire Boulevard, 27th Floor,

Los Angeles, California 90010 or such other method agreed to by Soofer and CAG

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

- 5.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between CAG on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and also for the benefit of CAG, its agents, representatives, officers, directors and counsel, on the one hand, and Soofer and its officers, directors, insurers, employees, parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries, partners, affiliates, attorneys, sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), on the other hand, for all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to Lead from the Covered Products. Soofer's, Defendant Releasees', and Downstream Releasees' compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to Lead from the Covered Products. Nothing in this Section affects CAG's right to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than Soofer, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Releasees.
- 5.2 CAG, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the public interest, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands,

18 19

17

21

22

23

24

20

25

26 27

28

investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Soofer, Defendant Releasees, and each of their distributors, wholesalers, marketplace hosts, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, retailers, including but not limited to Valu Mart, Buy Low Market Corp., and K.V. Mart Co., and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities (collectively "Downstream Releasees") arising from the Notices, any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead from the Covered Products. Soofer acknowledges that CAG has entered separately into a collective Consent Judgment with Valu Mart, Buy Low Market Corp. and K.V. Mart Co., which requires that such defendants make their own separate settlement payments; and to the extent, if any, that such defendants do not make the required payments thereunder, they shall not receive the benefit of the waiver and/or release provisions of the instant Consent Judgment.

- 5.3 Additionally, CAG on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, only (i.e., not on behalf of the public), hereby waives all Claims against Soofer, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Releasees, arising from the Notices, any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead from the Covered Product.
- 5.4 The releases in this Section are limited to only those Covered Product sold, offered for sale, manufactured, and/or distributed by Soofer.
- 5.5 In furtherance of the foregoing, as to alleged exposures to Lead from the Covered Product, CAG on behalf of itself only, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to Claims arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead from the Covered Product as to Soofer and Defendant Releasees by virtue of the provisions of section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

PARTY.

7 8

9

1011

12

13

1415

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

19 | 6. ENFORCEMENT

negligence, or any other cause.

6.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto and no other person or entity shall have any right to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment. CAG may enforce any of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment only after it first provides sixty (60) days' notice to Defendant and attempts to resolve any failure to comply in an open and good faith manner.

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS THAT THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO

EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY

AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED

California Civil Code §1542 is that even if CAG suffers future damages arising out of or resulting

from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, Claims arising from any violation of

Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure

to Lead from the Covered Product, including but not limited to any exposure to, or failure to warn

claim for those damages against Soofer and Defendant Releasees. Further, CAG acknowledges that

Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law regarding the failure to warn about exposure to

Lead from the Covered Products as may exist as of the date of this release but which CAG does not

with respect to exposure to Lead from the Covered Product, CAG will not be able to make any

it intends these consequences for any such Claims arising from the Notices, any violation of

know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect its decision to enter into this Consent

Judgment, regardless of whether its lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance, oversight, error,

CAG understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of

6.2 No action to enforce this Consent Judgment may be commenced or maintained, and no Sixty Day Notice related to the Covered Product may be served or filed against Soofer,

Defendant Releasees and/or Downstream Releasees, unless CAG, in seeking enforcement or

28

Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program for the analysis of heavy metals, or (3) is

metals and/or uses methods in compliance with FDA regulations for the analysis of heavy metals. If CAG's confirmatory testing establishes that spice from the Lot from which the Covered Product(s) originates does not contain Lead in excess of 720 ppb, CAG shall withdraw its NOV and will take no further action regarding the alleged violation. The Parties agree that "Lot" is defined as the lot of spice ingredient purchased by Soofer from a third-party supplier from which the Covered Products originate. The Parties agree that "Lot" is not defined by the "best by" date printed on an individual spice package. The Parties agree that "Sample" of Covered Product is defined to include spice sourced from the same Lot from which the allegedly non-compliant Covered Product originates.

6.4 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing Party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

7. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 7.1 CAG shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f) and/or a Good Faith Settlement Motion, which shall require Defendant to assert by declaration of their principal(s) their financial conditions which formed the primary basis for the monetary portions of this Settlement and Consent Judgment (in the absence of which the monetary portions of this Settlement and Consent Judgment would not have been so low). The Parties agree to reasonably act in good faith to obtain Court approval of the Consent Judgment. Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, CAG and Defendant waive their respective rights to a hearing and trial on the allegations in the Notice and Complaint. Soofer hereby agrees that CAG's settlement and Consent Judgment with its co-defendants, KV Mart, Buy-Low and ValuMart, is in good faith within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure §887.6.
- 7.2 If this Consent Judgment is not approved in full by the Court: (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the actions shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment with respect to the matters covered by this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval. In the event of such non-approval by the Court and/or Attorney General, the parties agree that the time period between the dates on which this agreement are executed by CAG and Soofer and the date upon which the Court and/or Attorney General deny approval of this agreement will be excluded from the calculation of the Five-Year Rule during which a case must commence trial.

8. MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT

- 8.1 Except as specifically provided in §13.2 herein, this Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 8.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

9. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

- 9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement and enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment under Code of Civil Procedure §664.6.
- 9.2 In any proceeding brought by either Party to enforce this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

10. SERVICE ON THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

10.1 CAG shall, within five (5) days after final execution of this Consent Judgment serve a copy of the fully executed version of the Consent Judgment on the California Attorney General prior to its submittal to the Court for approval.

11. ATTORNEY FEES

Except as specifically provided in Sections 4.1.3. 5.2 and 6.4, each Party shall bear 11.1

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

13. GOVERNING LAW

- 13.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, without reference to any conflicts of law provision of California law.
- California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products or Chemical, if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or are no longer required as a result of any such relevant modification, repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Covered Product or Chemical, then any Defendant, Defendant Releasee and/or Downstream Releasee subject to this Consent Judgment may provide written notice to CAG of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Product is so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve a Defendant from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal law or regulation. Nothing herein prevents Soofer from filing motions to modify this Consent Judgment based on new facts or law, and nothing herein is a concession by CAG that such a motion would be proper, both sides fully reserving their rights.
- 13.3 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This

1	Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted		
2	and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or		
3	ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of		
4	the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment		
5	agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against		
6	the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in the		
7	regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code §1654.		
8	14. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS		
9	14.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsing	nile	
10	or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one		
11	document and have the same force and effect as original signatures.		
12	15. NOTICES		
13	15.1 Any notices under this Consent Judgment shall be by delivery of First Class Mai	1.	
14	If to Plaintiff CAG:		
15	Ken Ralidis		
16	LAW OFFICES OF KENNETH W. RALIDIS, A.P.L.C.,		
17	3435 Wilshire Blvd., 27 th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90010		
18	If to Defendant Soofer:		
19			
20	Carol Brophy STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP		
21	Steuart Tower 1 Market Street. 18th Floor		
22	San Francisco, California 94105		
23	16. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE		
24	16.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorize	ed	
25	by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of		
26	the Party represented and legally to bind that party.		
27			

1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
2	Soofer Company, Inc.	Consumer Advocacy Group
3	By: Dariush Soofer	By: Mil Marcus
4	Name: Dariush Soofer	By: Michael Marcus
5	Title: CEO	Title: Pinector
6 7	Dated: Feb 23, 2023	Dated: Feb 27, 2023
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Dated. J Co - C J Co
9	TI IS SO OILDEILED.	
10	Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
11		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
25 26		
27 27		
2.8		16
	[PROPO	SED] CONSENT JUDGMENT