1	MATTHEW C. MACLEAR (SBN 209228) ANTHONY M. BARNES (SBN 199048)						
2	AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP						
3	828 San Pablo Ave, Suite 115B Albany, CA 94706						
4	Ph: 415-568-5200 Email: mcm@atalawgroup.com						
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff						
6	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.						
7	DANA P. PALMER (SBN 232571) MCGUIREWOODS LLP						
8	1800 Century Park East, 8 th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067						
10	Telephone: (310) 315-8200 Facsimile: (310) 315-8210						
11	Email: dpalmer@mcguirewoods.com						
12	Attorney for Defendants						
13	MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster						
14	Healthier)						
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
16	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA						
17 18	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC., a non-profit California corporation,	CASE NO. RG17859480					
17 18 19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CASE NO. RG17859480 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT					
18	INC., a non-profit California corporation,	STIPULATED CONSENT					
18 19	INC., a non-profit California corporation, Plaintiff, vs. THE MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO.,	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 <i>et seq.</i> Action Filed: May 8, 2017					
18 19 20	INC., a non-profit California corporation, Plaintiff, vs. THE MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., INC., individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier), a Delaware	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 <i>et seq.</i>					
18 19 20 21	INC., a non-profit California corporation, Plaintiff, vs. THE MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., INC., individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier), a Delaware corporation; MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., individually and doing business as SFH	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 <i>et seq.</i> Action Filed: May 8, 2017					
 18 19 20 21 22 	INC., a non-profit California corporation, Plaintiff, vs. THE MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., INC., individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier), a Delaware corporation; MAINE NATURAL HEALTH	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 <i>et seq.</i> Action Filed: May 8, 2017					
 18 19 20 21 22 23 	INC., a non-profit California corporation, Plaintiff, vs. THE MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., INC., individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier), a Delaware corporation; MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier), a Maine corporation; MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO. WHICH WILL DO BUSINESS in	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 <i>et seq.</i> Action Filed: May 8, 2017					
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	INC., a non-profit California corporation, Plaintiff, vs. THE MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., INC., individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier), a Delaware corporation; MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier), a Maine corporation; MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO. WHICH WILL DO BUSINESS in CALIFORNIA as STRONGER FASTER HEALTHIER, a Maine Corporation; and	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 <i>et seq.</i> Action Filed: May 8, 2017					
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	INC., a non-profit California corporation, Plaintiff, vs. THE MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., INC., individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier), a Delaware corporation; MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier), a Maine corporation; MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO. WHICH WILL DO BUSINESS in CALIFORNIA as STRONGER FASTER HEALTHIER, a Maine Corporation; and DOES 1 – 25,	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 <i>et seq.</i> Action Filed: May 8, 2017					
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	INC., a non-profit California corporation, Plaintiff, vs. THE MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., INC., individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier), a Delaware corporation; MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier), a Maine corporation; MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO. WHICH WILL DO BUSINESS in CALIFORNIA as STRONGER FASTER HEALTHIER, a Maine Corporation; and DOES 1 – 25, Defendants.	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 <i>et seq</i> . Action Filed: May 8, 2017 Trial Date: None set					
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	INC., a non-profit California corporation, Plaintiff, vs. THE MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., INC., individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier), a Delaware corporation; MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier), a Maine corporation; MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO. WHICH WILL DO BUSINESS in CALIFORNIA as STRONGER FASTER HEALTHIER, a Maine Corporation; and DOES 1 – 25, Defendants.	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. Action Filed: May 8, 2017 Trial Date: None set					

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 On May 8, 2017, Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), a non-profit corporation, as a private enforcer and in the public interest, initiated this action by filing a Complaint for Permanent Injunction, Civil Penalties, and Other Relief (the "Complaint") pursuant to the provisions of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), against THE MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., INC., individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier); MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO.. individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier) (hereinafter "MAINE NAUTRAL HEALTH"); and MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO. WHICH WILL DO BUSINESS in CALIFORNIA as STRONGER FASTER HEALTHIER and DOES 1 - 25. THE MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., INC., individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier) and MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO. WHICH WILL DO BUSINESS in CALIFORNIA as STRONGER FASTER HEALTHIER were therafter MAINE NATURAL HEALTH and DOES 1-25 will hereinafter dismissed from the case. collectively be referred to as "Defendants."

1.2 In this action, ERC alleges that a number of products manufactured, distributed, or sold by Defendants contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin, and expose consumers to this chemical at a level requiring a Proposition 65 warning. These products (referred to hereinafter individually as a "Covered Product" or collectively as "Covered Products") are:

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- sfh Fuel Whey Protein Coconut
- sfh Recovery Whey Protein Vanilla (now reformulated as sfh Recover Whey Protein Vanilla)
- sfh Recovery Whey Protein Chocolate (now reformulated as sfh Recover Whey Protein Chocolate)
- sfh Push Pre-Workout Lemon Lime
 - sfh Pure Whey Protein Vanilla
 - sfh Pure Whey Protein Chocolate

Page 2 of 17

1.3 ERC and Defendants are hereinafter referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.4 ERC is a 501 (c)(3) California non-profit corporation dedicated to, among other causes, helping safeguard the public from health hazards by reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic chemicals, facilitating a safe environment for consumers and employees, and encouraging corporate responsibility.

1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties agree that MAINE NATURAL HEALTH is a business entity which has employed ten or more persons at all times relevant to this action, and qualifies as a "person in the course of business" within the meaning of Proposition 65.

10 1.6 The Complaint is based on allegations contained in ERC's Notice of Violation 11 dated February 24, 2017 that was served on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and MAINE NATURAL HEALTH ("Notice"). A true and correct copy of the 60-12 Day Notice dated February 24, 2017 is attached hereto as Exhibit A and is incorporated herein 13 by reference. More than 60 days have passed since the Notice was served on the Attorney 14 General, public enforcers, and MAINE NATURAL HEALTH and no designated governmental 15 entity has filed a complaint against MAINE NATURAL HEALTH with regard to the Covered 16 17 Products or the alleged violations.

18 1.7 ERC's Notice and Complaint allege that use of the Covered Products exposes
 19 persons in California to lead without first providing clear and reasonable warnings in violation
 20 of California Health and Safety Code section 25249.6. MAINE NATURAL HEALTH denies
 21 all material allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint.

1.8 The Parties have entered into this Consent Judgment in order to settle,
compromise, and resolve disputed claims and thus avoid prolonged and costly litigation.
Nothing in this Consent Judgment nor compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute or
be construed as an admission by any of the Parties or by any of their respective officers,
directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, franchisees,
licensees, customers, suppliers, distributors, wholesalers, or retailers of any fact, issue of law, or
violation of law.

Page 3 of 17

1.9 Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense the Parties may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings.

1.10 The Effective Date of this Consent Judgment is the date on which it is entered as a Judgment by this Court.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment and any further court action that may become necessary to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint, personal jurisdiction over MAINE NATURAL HEALTH as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Alameda County, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims up through and including the Effective Date which were or could have been asserted in this action based on the facts alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

14

15

16

17

18

19

3.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, REFORMULATION, TESTING AND WARNINGS

3.1 Beginning on the Effective Date, MAINE NATURAL HEALTH shall be permanently enjoined from manufacturing for sale in the State of California, "Distributing into the State of California," or directly selling in the State of California, any Covered Products which exposes a person to a "Daily Lead Exposure Level" of more than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day unless it meets the warning requirements under Section 3.2.

3.1.1 As used in this Consent Judgment, the term "Distributing into the State
of California" shall mean to directly ship a Covered Product into California for sale in
California or to sell a Covered Product to a distributor that MAINE NATURAL HEALTH
knows or has reason to know will sell the Covered Product in California.

3.1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the "Daily Lead Exposure
Level" shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula:
micrograms of lead per gram of product, multiplied by grams of product per serving of the
product (using the largest serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings
of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage

Page 4 of 17

appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day. If no recommended daily serving size is provided on the label, then the daily serving size shall equal one.

3.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

If MAINE NATURAL HEALTH is required to provide a warning pursuant to Section 3.1, the following warning must be utilized ("Warning"):

WARNING: Consuming this product can expose you to chemicals including lead which is known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov/food.

9 MAINE NATURAL HEALTH shall use the phrase "cancer and" in the Warning if the "Daily
10 Lead Exposure Level" is greater than 15 micrograms of lead as determined pursuant to the quality
11 control methodology set forth in Section 3.4 or if MAINE NATURAL HEALTH has reason to
12 believe another Proposition 65 chemical is present at levels requiring a cancer warning.

The Warning shall be securely affixed to or printed upon the container or label of each Covered Product. In addition, for any Covered Product sold over the internet, the Warning shall appear on the checkout page when a California delivery address is indicated for any purchase of any Covered Product. An asterisk or other identifying method must be utilized to identify which products on the checkout page are subject to the Warning.

The Warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety
warnings also appearing on its website or on the label or container of MAINE NATURAL
HEALTH's product packaging and the word "WARNING" shall be in all capital letters and in
bold print. No statements intended to or likely to have the effect of diminishing the impact of, or
reducing the clarity of, the Warning on the average lay person shall accompany the Warning.
Further, no statements may accompany the Warning that state or imply that the source of the listed
chemical has an impact on or results in a less harmful effect of the listed chemical.

MAINE NATURAL HEALTH must display the above Warning with such
conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, design of the label, container, or on
its website, as applicable, to render the Warning likely to be read and understood by an ordinary
individual under customary conditions of purchase or use of the product.

Page 5 of 17

3.3 Reformulated Covered Products

A Reformulated Covered Product is one for which the "Daily Lead Exposure Level" is no greater than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day as determined by the quality control methodology described in Section 3.4.

3.4 Testing and Quality Control Methodology

If a Warning is provided on the Covered Product, then the Testing And Quality Control Methodology in Sections 3.4.1 through and including Section 3.4.6 is not required. However, if a Warning is not provided on the Covered Product, then the Testing and Quality Control Methodology must be employed as stated in Sections 3.4.1 through and including Section 3.4.6.

3.4.1 Beginning within one year of the Effective Date, MAINE NATURAL HEALTH shall arrange for lead testing of the Covered Products at least once a year for a minimum of five consecutive years by arranging for testing of five randomly selected samples of each of the Covered Products, in the form intended for sale to the end-user, which MAINE NATURAL HEALTH intends to sell or is manufacturing for sale in California, directly selling to a consumer in California or "Distributing into the State of California." If tests conducted pursuant to this Section demonstrate that no Warning is required for a Covered Product during each of five consecutive years, then the testing requirements of this Section will no longer be required as to that Covered Product. However, if during or after the five-year testing period, MAINE NATURAL HEALTH changes ingredient suppliers for any of the Covered Products and/or reformulates any of the Covered Products, MAINE NATURAL HEALTH shall test that Covered Product annually for at least four (4) consecutive years after such change is made.

3.4.2 For purposes of measuring the "Daily Lead Exposure Level," the arithmetic mean lead detection result of the five (5) randomly selected samples of the Covered Products will be controlling.

3.4.3 All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed using a laboratory method that complies with the performance and quality control factors appropriate for the method used, including limit of detection, qualification, accuracy, and precision that

Page 6 of 17

meets the following criteria: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry ("ICP-MS") achieving a limit of quantification of less than or equal to 0.010 mg/kg or any other testing method subsequently agreed to in writing by the Parties and approved by the Court through entry of a modified consent judgment.

All testing pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be performed by an 3.4.4 independent third party laboratory certified by the California Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or an independent third-party laboratory that is registered with the United States Food & Drug Administration.

9 3.4.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit MAINE NATURAL HEALTH's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered 10 Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.

12 Within thirty (30) days of ERC's written request, MAINE NATURAL 3.4.6 13 HEALTH shall deliver lab reports obtained pursuant to Section 3.4 to ERC. MAINE 14 NATURAL HEALTH shall retain all test results and documentation for a period of five years 15 from the date of each test.

16

4.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

In full satisfaction of all potential civil penalties, additional settlement payments, 17 4.1 18 attorney's fees, and costs, MAINE NATURAL HEALTH shall make a total payment of \$90,000.00 ("Total Settlement Amount") to ERC, with 50 percent within 7 days of the 19 Effective Date ("First Due Date") and the remaining 50 percent within 60 days of the First Due 20 Date ("Second Due Date"). MAINE NATURAL HEALTH shall make this payment by wire 21 22 transfer to ERC's escrow account, for which ERC will give MAINE NATURAL HEALTH the 23 necessary account information. The Total Settlement Amount shall be apportioned as follows:

4.2 \$23,105.94 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and 24 25 Safety Code section 25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$17,329.46) of the civil penalty to 26 the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety 27 Code section 25249.12(c). ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$5,776.48) of the civil penalty. 28

Page 7 of 17

4.3 \$3,521.04 shall be distributed to ERC as reimbursement to ERC for reasonable costs incurred in bringing this action.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

4.4 \$17,329.42 shall be distributed to ERC as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP"), pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 11, sections 3203, subdivision (d) and 3204. ERC will utilize the ASP for activities that address the same public harm as allegedly caused by MAINE NATURAL HEALTH in this matter. These activities are detailed below and support ERC's overarching goal of reducing and/or eliminating hazardous and toxic chemicals in dietary supplement products in California. ERC's activities have had, and will continue to have, a direct and primary effect within the State of California because California consumers will be benefitted by the reduction and/or elimination of exposure to lead in dietary supplements and/or by providing clear and reasonable warnings to California consumers prior to ingestion of the products.

13 Based on a review of past years' actual budgets, ERC is providing the following list of 14 activities ERC engages in to protect California consumers through Proposition 65 citizen 15 enforcement, along with a breakdown of how ASP funds will be utilized to facilitate those activities: (1) ENFORCEMENT (65-80%): obtaining, shipping, analyzing, and testing dietary 16 17 supplement products that may contain lead and are sold to California consumers. This work includes continued monitoring and enforcement of past consent judgments and settlements to 18 19 ensure companies are in compliance with their obligations thereunder, with a specific focus on those judgments and settlements concerning lead. This work also includes investigation of new 20 21 companies that ERC does not obtain any recovery through settlement or judgment; (2) 22 VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE PROGRAM (10-20%): maintaining ERC's Voluntary Compliance Program by acquiring products from companies, developing and maintaining a case 23 24 file, testing products from these companies, providing the test results and supporting documentation to the companies, and offering guidance in warning or implementing a self-25 26 testing program for lead in dietary supplement products; and (3) "GOT LEAD" PROGRAM (up to 5%): maintaining ERC's "Got Lead?" Program which reduces the numbers of contaminated 27 28 products that reach California consumers by providing access to free testing for lead in dietary

Page 8 of 17

supplement products (Products submitted to the program are screened for ingredients which are suspected to be contaminated, and then may be purchased by ERC, catalogued, sent to a qualified laboratory for testing, and the results shared with the consumer that submitted the product).

ERC shall be fully accountable in that it will maintain adequate records to document and will be able to demonstrate how the ASP funds will be spent and can assure that the funds are being spent only for the proper, designated purposes described in this Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide the Attorney General, within thirty days of any request, copies of documentation demonstrating how such funds have been spent.

4.5 \$21,961.50 shall be distributed to Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group as
reimbursement of ERC's attorney's fees, while \$24,082.10 shall be distributed to ERC for its
in-house legal fees. Except as explicitly provided herein, each Party shall bear its own fees and
costs.

4.6 In the event that MAINE NATURAL HEALTH fails to remit the any payment 14 owed under Section 4 of this Consent Judgment on or before its respective Due Date, MAINE 15 NATURAL HEALTH shall be deemed to be in material breach of its obligations under this 16 Consent Judgment. ERC shall provide written notice of the delinquency to MAINE 17 18 NATURAL HEALTH via electronic mail. If MAINE NATURAL HEALTH fails to deliver the delinquent payment within five (5) days from the written notice, the Total Settlement 19 Amount shall become immediately due and owing and shall accrue interest at the statutory 20 judgment interest rate provided in the California Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010. 21 Additionally, MAINE NATURAL HEALTH agrees to pay ERC's reasonable attorney's fees 22 and costs for any efforts to collect the payment due under this Consent Judgment. 23

24

5.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

5.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified only as to injunctive terms (i) by
written stipulation of the Parties or pursuant to Section 5.4 and (ii) upon entry by the Court of a
modified consent judgment.

28

Page 9 of 17

STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

Case No. RG17859480

If MAINE NATURAL HEALTH seeks to modify this Consent Judgment under 1 5.2 2 Section 5.1, then MAINE NATURAL HEALTH must provide written notice to ERC of its 3 intent ("Notice of Intent"). If ERC seeks to meet and confer regarding the proposed modification in the Notice of Intent, then ERC must provide written notice to MAINE 4 NATURAL HEALTH within thirty (30) days of receiving the Notice of Intent. If ERC notifies 5 MAINE NATURAL HEALTH in a timely manner of ERC's intent to meet and confer, then the 6 Parties shall meet and confer in good faith as required in this Section. The Parties shall meet in 7 8 person or via telephone within thirty (30) days of ERC's notification of its intent to meet and confer. Within thirty (30) days of such meeting, if ERC disputes the proposed modification, 9 ERC shall provide to MAINE NATURAL HEALTH a written basis for its position. The Parties 10 shall continue to meet and confer for an additional thirty (30) days in an effort to resolve any 11 remaining disputes. Should it become necessary, the Parties may agree in writing to different 12 deadlines for the meet-and-confer period. 13

In the event that MAINE NATURAL HEALTH initiates or otherwise requests a
modification under Section 5.1, and the meet and confer process leads to a joint motion or
application of the Consent Judgment, MAINE NATURAL HEALTH shall reimburse ERC its
costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the time spent in the meet-and-confer process and filing
and arguing the motion or application.

5.4 Where the meet-and-confer process does not lead to a joint motion or
application in support of a modification of the Consent Judgment, then either Party may seek
judicial relief on its own. In any such contested court proceeding, ERC may seek any costs and
attorney's fees incurred in opposing the motion pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure
section 1021.5.

6. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION, ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

24

25

28

26 6.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate
27 this Consent Judgment.

6.2 If ERC alleges that any Covered Product fails to qualify as a Reformulated

Page 10 of 17

Case No. RG17859480

1 Covered Product (for which ERC alleges that no Warning has been provided), then ERC shall 2 inform MAINE NATURAL HEALTH in a reasonably prompt manner of its test results, 3 including information sufficient to permit MAINE NATURAL HEALTH to identify the 4 Covered Products at issue. MAINE NATURAL HEALTH shall, within thirty (30) days 5 following such notice, provide ERC with testing information, from an independent third-party laboratory meeting the requirements of Sections 3.4.3 and 3.4.4, demonstrating MAINE 6 7 NATURAL HEALTH's compliance with the Consent Judgment, if warranted. The Parties 8 shall first attempt to resolve the matter prior to ERC taking any further legal action.

9

7. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment may apply to, be binding upon, and benefit the Parties and their
respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries,
divisions, franchisees, licensees, customers (excluding private labelers), distributors, wholesalers,
retailers, predecessors, successors, and assigns. This Consent Judgment shall have no
application to any Covered Product which is distributed or sold exclusively outside the State of
California and which is not used by California consumers.

16

8.

BINDING EFFECT, CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

17 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, 8.1 18 on behalf of itself and in the public interest, and MAINE NATURAL HEALTH and its 19 respective officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, 20 divisions, suppliers, franchisees, licensees, customers (not including private label customers of 21 MAINE NATURAL HEALTH), distributors, wholesalers, retailers, and all other upstream and downstream entities in the distribution chain of any Covered Product, and the predecessors, 22 23 successors, and assigns of any of them (collectively, "Released Parties"). ERC, on behalf of itself and in the public interest, hereby fully releases and discharges the Released Parties from 24 any and all claims, actions, causes of action, suits, demands, liabilities, damages, penalties, 25 fees, costs, and expenses asserted, or that could have been asserted from the handling, use, or 26 27 consumption of the Covered Products, as to any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its

28

Page 11 of 17

implementing regulations arising from the failure to provide Proposition 65 warnings on the Covered Products regarding lead up to and including the Effective Date.

8.2 ERC on its own behalf only, and MAINE NATURAL HEALTH on its own behalf only, further waive and release any and all claims they may have against each other for all actions or statements made or undertaken in the course of seeking or opposing enforcement of Proposition 65 in connection with the Notice and Complaint up through and including the Effective Date, provided, however, that nothing in Section 8 shall affect or limit any Party's right to seek to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

It is possible that other claims not known to the Parties, arising out of the facts 9 8.3 alleged in the Notice and Complaint, and relating to the Covered Products, will develop or be 10 11 discovered. ERC on behalf of itself only, and MAINE NATURAL HEALTH on behalf of itself only, acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is expressly intended to cover and include 12 all such claims up through and including the Effective Date, including all rights of action 13 therefore. ERC and MAINE NATURAL HEALTH acknowledge that the claims released in 14 Sections 8.1 and 8.2 above may include unknown claims, and nevertheless waive California 15 Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 16 17 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

ERC on behalf of itself only, and MAINE NATURAL HEALTH on behalf of itself only,
acknowledge and understand the significance and consequences of this specific waiver of
California Civil Code section 1542.

8.4 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed to
constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by any release regarding alleged exposures to lead
in the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice and Complaint.

28

27

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Page 12 of 17

1	8.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended to apply to any occupational or					
2	environmental exposures arising under Proposition 65, nor shall it apply to any of MAINE					
3	NATURAL HEALTH's products other than the Covered Products.					
4	9. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS					
5	In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be					
6	unenforceable, the validity of the remaining enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.					
7	10. GOVERNING LAW					
8	The terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by and construed in					
9	accordance with the laws of the State of California.					
10	11. PROVISION OF NOTICE					
11	All notices required to be given to either Party to this Consent Judgment by the other shall					
12	be in writing and sent to the following agents listed below via first-class mail. Courtesy copies via					
13	email may also be sent.					
14	FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.:					
15	Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director, Environmental Research Center					
16	3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108					
17	Tel: (619) 500-3090 Email: chris erc501c3@yahoo.com					
18	With a copy to:					
19	MATTHEW C. MACLEAR					
20	ANTHONY M. BARNES AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP					
21	828 San Pablo Ave, Suite 115B Albany, CA 94706 Ph: 415-568-5200 Email: mcm@atalawgroup.com					
22						
23	MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., individually and doing					
24	business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier); and					
25	Patricia Leighton Chief Operating Officer					
26	Maine Natural Health Co.					
27	5855 Green Valley Circle Suite 110. Culver City, CA 90230 Tel: (310) 342-0041					
28	Email: patricia@sfh.com					
	Page 13 of 17 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. RG17859480					
	STILULATED CONSELVE JUDGIVIELLE Case INO. KG1/839480					

With a copy to:

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2 DANA P. PALMER

- MCGUIREWOODS LLP
- 3 1800 Century Park East, 8th Floor
- 4 Los Angeles, CA 90067
 - Telephone: (310) 315-8200
- 5 || Facsimile: (310) 315-8210
 - Email: dpalmer@mcguirewoods.com

12. COURT APPROVAL

12.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a Motion for Court Approval. The Parties shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment.

12.2 If the California Attorney General objects to any term in this Consent Judgment, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner, and if possible prior to the hearing on the motion.

12.3 If this Stipulated Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be void and have no force or effect.

13. EXECUTION AND COUNTERPARTS

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be construed to be as valid as the original signature.

14. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for each Party prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with legal counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation and construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption, or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' legal counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any portion of the Consent Judgment. It is conclusively presumed that all of the Parties participated equally in the preparation and drafting of this Consent Judgment.

Page 14 of 17

15. GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

If a dispute arises with respect to either Party's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment entered by the Court, the Parties shall meet and confer in person, by telephone, and/or in writing and endeavor to resolve the dispute in an amicable manner. No action or motion may be filed in the absence of such a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute beforehand.

16. ENFORCEMENT

ERC may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda
County, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. In any action
brought by ERC to enforce this Consent Judgment, ERC may seek whatever fines, costs,
penalties, or remedies as are provided by law for failure to comply with the Consent Judgment.
To the extent the failure to comply with the Consent Judgment constitutes a violation of
Proposition 65 or other laws, ERC shall not be limited to enforcement of this Consent Judgment,
but may seek in another action whatever fines, costs, penalties, or remedies as are provided by
law for failure to comply with Proposition 65 or other laws.

15

24

25

17. ENTIRE AGREEMENT, AUTHORIZATION

16 17.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and
17 understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter herein, and any and all
18 prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No
19 representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have
20 been made by any Party. No other agreements, oral or otherwise, unless specifically referred to
21 herein, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any Party.

22 17.2 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
23 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment.

18. REQUEST FOR FINDINGS, APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment has come before the Court upon the request of the Parties. The
Parties request the Court to fully review this Consent Judgment and, being fully informed
regarding the matters which are the subject of this action, to:

Page 15 of 17

(1)Find that the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment represent a fair and 1 2 equitable settlement of all matters raised by the allegations of the Complaint that the matter has 3 been diligently prosecuted, and that the public interest is served by such settlement; and 4 (2)Make the findings pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 5 25249.7(f)(4), approve the Settlement, and approve this Consent Judgment. 6 IT IS SO STIPULATED: Dated: _____, 2017 7 ENVIRONMENTAL RØSEARCH CENTER, IN 8 9 rector 10 11 Dated: 11.17, 2017 MAINE NATURAL HEALTH CO., 12 individually and doing business as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier) 13 By: H. SEFF Leighton 14 Its: Founder - Director 15 APPROVED AS TO FORM: 16 Dated: _____, 2017 AQUA TERRA AERIS LAW GROUP 17 By: Monolu 18 Matthew C. Maclear 19 Anthony M. Barnes Attorneys for Plaintiff Environmental 20 Research Center, Inc. 21 Dated: 八 20 ,2017 22 MCGUIREWOODS LL 23 By: Dana P. Palmer 24 Attorney for Defendant Maine Natural Health Co. individually and doing business 25 as SFH (Stronger Faster Healthier) 26 27 ORDER AND JUDGMENT 28 Page 16 of 17 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Case No. RG17859480

1	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Consent Judgment is					
2	approved a	approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.				
3	IT IS SO C	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.				
4						
5	Dated:	, 2018	Judge of the Superior Court			
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
		STIPULAT	Page 17 of 17 TED CONSENT JUDGMENT	Case No. RG17859480		