1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE S	TATE OF CALIFORNIA
9		
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Case No. RG-16-834949
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO POS SUPPLY
13	v.	SOLUTIONS, INC.
14	DEL TACO RESTAURANTS, INC., et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1-	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – POS SUPPI	LY – CASE NO. RG-16-834949

1. INTRODUCTION

The Parties to this Consent Judgment are the Center for Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and POS Supply Solutions, Inc., a Massachusetts corporation ("Settling Defendant"). The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendant as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned matter. This Consent Judgment covers thermal paper sold by Settling Defendant ("Thermal Paper") that contains bisphenol A, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm ("BPA"). Thermal Paper is used in thermal printers to create transactional documents such as point of sale, credit card and ATM receipts.

- 1.1 On March 20, 2017, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 to Settling Defendant, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California and the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, alleging that Settling Defendant violated California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65") by exposing persons to BPA from Thermal Paper without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.2 Settling Defendant is a person in the course of doing business under Proposition 65.
- 1.3 On October 13, 2106, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter. On November 2, 2016, CEH filed the First Amended Complaint in the above-captioned matter. On June 26, 2017, CEH amended the operative Complaint in the above-captioned matter to name Settling Defendant as a defendant.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the

Complaint based on the facts alleged therein with respect to Thermal Paper containing BPA sold by Settling Defendant.

1.5 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this Action.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 **Definitions.**

- 2.1.1 The "Effective Date" is the date of entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 2.1.2 "California Thermal Paper" is Thermal Paper that will be used in California or otherwise provided to employees working in California or consumers located in California by Settling Defendant or any direct or indirect downstream entity.
- 2.2 **Specification Compliance Date**. To the extent it has not already done so, no more than thirty (30) days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall instruct each supplier of California Thermal Paper that the California Thermal Paper supplied to Settling Defendant must be BPA free. If in the future Settling Defendant purchases California Thermal Paper from a supplier that it has not previously provided with instructions to provide BPA free Thermal Paper, Settling Defendant shall provide such instructions to said supplier prior to placing an initial order for California Thermal Paper. Settling Defendant shall retain and make available to CEH upon reasonable written request records of communications sent to and received from Thermal Paper Suppliers that are related to the requirement of this Section 2.2 for a period of five (5) years from the Effective Date.

- 2.3 **Reformulation of Thermal Paper.** After the Effective Date, Settling Defendant shall not purchase, ship, sell or offer for sale any California Thermal Paper that contains BPA that was intentionally added to the Thermal Paper in the manufacturing process. Thermal Paper that contains fewer than twenty (20) parts per million BPA by weight (the "Reformulation Level") is deemed to contain no intentionally added BPA, such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) equipment following solvent extraction and analysis with high performance liquid chromatography.
- 2.4 Additional Efforts to Reduce Use of Thermal Paper. Most of the alternatives to BPA used in Thermal Paper are other phenols that also have potentially adverse health effects. Settling Defendant further agrees to continue to use best efforts to reduce the use of all Thermal Paper that contains toxic chemicals. These efforts shall include but not be limited to efforts to sell Thermal Paper that is phenol free. Settling Defendant shall prepare a written report detailing the efforts made and results from this work on the reduction in use of Thermal Paper containing toxic chemicals that shall be submitted to CEH one year after the Effective Date.

3. ENFORCEMENT

3.1 **Enforcement Procedures.** Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce this Consent Judgment shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion, or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to enforce Proposition 65 or the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

4. PAYMENTS

4.1 **Payments by Settling Defendant.** Settling Defendant shall pay the total sum of \$53,000 as a settlement payment ("Settlement Payment") as further set forth in this Section

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

-5-

separate checks in the amount of \$875 each made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

4.2.2 Settling Defendant shall pay a total of \$5,200 as an Additional Settlement Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204 in two separate checks of \$2,600 each. CEH intends to place these funds in CEH's Toxics in Food Fund and use them to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about BPA and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to BPA and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to BPA and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any request from the Attorney General. The payments pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

- 4.2.3 Settling Defendant shall pay a total of \$40,800 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in two separate payments of \$20,400 each. Each of the attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement payments shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$17,300 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$3,100 payable to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. All of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
- 4.2.4 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

22

23

24 25

26

27

First Set of Payments Due May 11, 2018						
Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To			
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$2,625	OEHHA per Section 4.2.1			
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$875	СЕН			
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$2,600	СЕН			
Lexington Law Group	Fee	\$17,300	LLG			
Center For Environmental Health	Fee	\$3,100	СЕН			
Second Set of Payments Due August 9, 2018						
Payee	Туре	Amount	Deliver To			
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$2,625	OEHHA per Section 4.2.1			
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$875	СЕН			
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$2,600	СЕН			
Lexington Law Group	Fee	\$17,300	LLG			
Center For Environmental Health	Fee	\$3,100	СЕН			

MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT 5.

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE 6.

6.1 Provided that Settling Defendant complies in full with its obligations under Section 4 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents,

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

980 9th Street 16th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 mbaumann@scalilaw.com

Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party written notice by first class and electronic mail.

8. COURT APPROVAL

- 8.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective as a contract upon the date signed by CEH and Settling Defendant, whichever is later, provided however, that CEH shall also prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendant shall support approval of such Motion.
- 8.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

9. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

10. ATTORNEYS' FEES

- 10.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016.010, *et seq*.
- 10.2 Notwithstanding Section 10.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 3 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of § 1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.

ENTIRE AGREEMENT 11.

sanctions pursuant to law.

10.3

11.1

5 6

4

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

12.

13.

12.1

Consent Judgment.

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

-10-

Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of

of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions,

negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein

and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties

except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied,

other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party

hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise,

contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the

Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation,

modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized

writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent

Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof

by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and

execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding

1	14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS		
2	14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim		
3	against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in		
4	this Consent Judgment.		
5	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
6	15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
7	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to		
8	constitute one document.		
9	IT IS SO STIDIU ATED.		
10	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH		
12			
13			
14	Charlie Pizarro Associate Director		
15			
16	POS SUPPLY SOLUTIONS, INC.		
17			
18			
19	Signature		
20			
21	Printed Name		
22			
23	Title		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
25	TI IS SO GRADINA.		
26			
27	Dated:, 2018		
28	Judge of the Superior Court of California		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	3		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – POS SUPPLY – CASE NO. RG-16-834949		

1	14. NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS		
2	14.1 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim		
3	against an entity that is not Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained in		
4	this Consent Judgment.		
5	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS		
6	15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by		
7	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to		
8	constitute one document.		
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
10	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL		
11.	HEALTH		
12			
13	Charlie Pizarro		
14	Associate Director		
15			
16	POS SUPPLY SOLUTIONS, INC.		
17			
18	Signature		
19			
20	Printed Name		
21	Stephen Entield Printed Name President CEO		
22 23	Title		
23			
25	IT IS SO ORDERED:		
26			
27	Dated:, 2018		
28	Judge of the Superior Court of California		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-11- CONSENT JUDGMENT – POS SUPPLY – CASE NO. RG-16-834949		
	CONDENT FORGINE.		