1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNTY	OF ALAMEDA
10		
11	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH,	Case No. RG-16-834949
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT
13	v.	JUDGMENT AS TO MAX INTERNATIONAL CONVERTERS,
14	DEL TACO RESTAURANTS, INC., et al.,	INC. AND TAYLOR COMMUNICATIONS, INC., FKA STANDARD REGISTER, INC.
15	Defendants.	STANDARD REGISTER, INC.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-1-	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT – MAX AND TA	YLOR- CASE NO. RG-16-834949

## 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Parties to this Consent Judgment are plaintiff the Center for Environmental Health, a California non-profit corporation ("CEH"), and defendants MAX International Converters, Inc. ("MAX") and Taylor Communications, Inc., formerly known as Standard Register, Inc. ("Taylor"). CEH, Taylor and MAX are referred to as the Parties and Taylor and MAX are referred to as Settling Defendants. The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment to settle certain claims asserted by CEH against Settling Defendants as set forth in the operative complaint ("Complaint") in the above-captioned matter. This Consent Judgment covers thermal paper sold by Taylor and MAX for ultimate sale or use in California. Thermal paper is used in thermal printers to create transactional documents such as point of sale receipts. Some of the thermal paper provided by Settling Defendants to their customers in California was coated with bisphenol A, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm ("BPA").
- 1.2 On March 20, 2017, CEH provided a 60-day Notice of Violation under Proposition 65 to Taylor and MAX as well as the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every county in California and the City Attorneys of every California city with a population greater than 750,000, alleging that Taylor and MAX violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to BPA from thermal paper without first providing a clear and reasonable Proposition 65 warning.
- 1.3 Taylor and MAX are corporations or other entities that sold Thermal Paper containing BPA that was provided to California consumers.
- 1.4 On October 13, 2106, CEH filed the Complaint in the above-captioned matter. On June 26, 2017 CEH amended the operative Complaint to name Taylor and MAX as defendants in this action.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER

1.6 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in this action.

# 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

#### 2.1 **Definitions.**

- 2.1.1 The "Effective Date" is the date of entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 2.1.2 "California Thermal Paper" is thermal paper that will be used in California or otherwise provided to employees working in California or consumers located in California by a Settling Defendant or any direct or indirect downstream entity.
- 2.2 **Specification Compliance Date.** To the extent it has not already done so, no more than thirty (30) days after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment, before a Settling Defendant purchases any California Thermal Paper, it shall instruct in writing each supplier of California Thermal Paper that the California Thermal Paper supplied to that Settling Defendant must be BPA-free, as defined in Section 2.3 below. If in the future a Settling Defendant purchases California Thermal Paper from a supplier that it has not previously provided with instructions to provide BPA-free thermal paper, the Settling Defendant shall provide written instructions to such supplier prior to placing an initial order for California Thermal Paper that instruct the supplier to provide it with California Thermal Paper that is BPA-free. Each Settling

Defendant shall retain and make available to CEH upon reasonable written request records of communications sent to and received from suppliers of California Thermal Paper that are related to the requirement of this Section 2.1 for a period of three (3) years from the Effective Date.

2.3 **Reformulation of Thermal Paper**. After the Effective Date, no Settling Defendant shall purchase, distribute, sell or provide to any employee or any customer any California Thermal Paper that contains BPA that was intentionally added to the Thermal Paper in the manufacturing process. Thermal paper that contains less than 20 parts per million BPA by weight is deemed to contain no intentionally added BPA, such concentration to be determined by use of a test performed by an accredited laboratory using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) equipment.

### 3. ENFORCEMENT

2.1 **Enforcement Procedures.** Prior to bringing any motion or order to show cause to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, a Party seeking to enforce shall provide the violating party thirty (30) days advanced written notice of the alleged violation. The Parties shall meet and confer during such thirty (30) day period in an effort to try to reach agreement on an appropriate cure for the alleged violation. After such thirty (30) day period, the Party seeking to enforce may, by new action, motion, or order to show cause before the Superior Court of Alameda, seek to enforce Proposition 65 and the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

## 4. PAYMENTS

- 4.1 **Payments by Settling Defendants.** On or before five (5) days after the entry of this Consent Judgment, MAX shall pay the total sum of \$107,500 as a settlement payment as further set forth in this Section.
- 4.2 **Allocation of Payments.** The total settlement amount shall be paid in five (5) separate checks in the amounts specified below and delivered as set forth below. Any failure by Settling Defendants to comply with the payment terms herein shall be subject to a stipulated late fee to be paid by Settling Defendants in the amount of \$100 for each day the full payment is not received after the applicable payment due date set forth in Section 4.1. The late fees required

1	under this Section shall be recoverable, together with reasonable attorneys' fees, in an
2	enforcement proceeding brought pursuant to Section 3 of this Consent Judgment. The funds paid
3	by Settling Defendants shall be allocated as set forth below between the following categories and
4	made payable as follows:
5	4.2.1 Settling Defendants shall pay \$14,252 as a civil penalty pursuant to Health
6	& Safety Code §25249.7(b). The civil penalty payment shall be apportioned in accordance with
7	Health & Safety Code §25249.12 (25% to CEH and 75% to the State of California's Office of
8	Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA")). Accordingly, Settling Defendants shall
9	pay the OEHHA portion of the civil penalty payment for \$10,689 by check made payable to
10	OEHHA and associated with taxpayer identification number 68-0284486. This payment shall be
11	delivered as follows:
12	For United States Postal Service Delivery:
13	Attn: Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
14	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010, MS #19B
15	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010
16	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery: Attn: Mike Gyurics
17	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
18	1001 I Street, MS #19B Sacramento, CA 95814
19	
20	Settling Defendants shall pay the CEH portion of the civil penalty payment for \$3,563 by check
21	made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification
22	number 94-3251981. This payment shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero
23	Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.
24	4.2.2 Settling Defendants shall pay \$10,688 as an Additional Settlement
25	Payment ("ASP") to CEH pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and California Code
26	of Regulations, Title 11, § 3204. CEH intends to place these funds in CEH's Toxics in Food
27	Fund and use them to support CEH programs and activities that seek to educate the public about
28 Document Prepared	-5-
ON RECYCLED PAPER	

CONSENT JUDGMENT - MAX AND TAYLOR- CASE NO. RG-16-834949

BPA and other toxic chemicals in food, to work with the food industry and agriculture interests to reduce exposure to BPA and other toxic chemicals in food, and to thereby reduce the public health impacts and risks of exposure to BPA and other toxic chemicals in food sold in California. CEH shall obtain and maintain adequate records to document that ASPs are spent on these activities and CEH agrees to provide such documentation to the Attorney General within thirty days of any request from the Attorney General. The payments pursuant to this Section shall be made payable to the Center for Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. These payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

4.2.3 Settling Defendants shall pay \$82,560 as a reimbursement of a portion of CEH's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. The attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement shall be made in two separate checks as follows: (a) \$70,000 payable to the Lexington Law Group and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3317175; and (b) \$12,560 payable to the Center For Environmental Health and associated with taxpayer identification number 94-3251981. Both of these payments shall be delivered to Lexington Law Group, 503 Divisadero Street, San Francisco, CA 94117.

4.2.4 To summarize, Settling Defendant shall deliver checks made out to the payees and in the amounts set forth below:

Payee	Type	Amount	Deliver To
ОЕННА	Penalty	\$10,689	OEHHA per Section 4.2.1
Center For Environmental Health	Penalty	\$3,563	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	ASP	\$10,688	LLG
Lexington Law Group	Fee	\$70,000	LLG
Center For Environmental Health	Fee	\$12,560	LLG

DOCUMENT PREPARED

## 5. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

- 5.1 **Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express written agreement of the Parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court upon motion and in accordance with law.
- 5.2 **Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

## 6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

- 6.1 Provided each Settling Defendant complies in full with all of its obligations under Section 4 hereof, this Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between CEH on behalf of itself and the public interest and each Settling Defendant and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, agents, shareholders, successors, assigns, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to which a Settling Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells California Thermal Paper, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), of any violation of Proposition 65 based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to BPA contained in California Thermal Paper that was manufactured, distributed, or sold by MAX prior to the Effective Date.
- 6.2 Provided that each Settling Defendant complies in full with all of its obligations under Section 4 hereof, CEH, for itself, its agents, successors and assigns, releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all claims against each Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been asserted by CEH individually or in the public interest regarding the failure to warn about exposure to BPA arising in connection with California Thermal Paper that was manufactured, distributed, or sold by MAX prior to the Effective Date.

1	6.3 Provided that each Settling Defendant complies in full with all of its obligations
2	under Section 4 hereof, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by a Settling
3	Defendant shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 by that Settling Defendant, its
4	Defendant Releasees and its Downstream Defendant Releasees with respect to the failure to warn
5	about exposures to BPA in California Thermal Paper manufactured, distributed, or sold by
6	Settling Defendants.
7	7. PROVISION OF NOTICE
8	7.1 When CEH is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the
9	notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
10	Eric S. Somers Lexington Law Group
11	503 Divisadero Street
12	San Francisco, CA 94117 esomers@lexlawgroup.com
13	7.2 When a Settling Defendant is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent
14	Judgment, the notice shall be sent by first class and electronic mail to:
15	For MAX:
16	Mike Vigunas, President
17	MAX International Converters, Inc. 2360 Dairy Road
18	Lancaster, PA 17601 mav@maxintl.com
19	For Taylor:
20	Brian A. Mayer Assistant General Counsel
21	Taylor Corporation 1725 Roe Crest Drive
22	North Mankato, MN 56003 507-625-2828
23	
24	For both MAX and Taylor:
25	Jeffrey Margulies Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP
26	555 South Flower Street, 41st Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071
27	jeff.margulies@nortonrosefulbright.com
28	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-8-

CONSENT JUDGMENT – MAX AND TAYLOR– CASE NO. RG-16-834949

7.3 Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending the other Party notice by first class and electronic mail.

#### 8. COURT APPROVAL

- 8.1 CEH shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling Defendants shall support approval of such Motion.
- 8.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose, except that this Section 8.2 shall not preclude a Party from enforcing the obligations of another Party under Section 8.1.

# 9. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

9.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

#### 10. ATTORNEY'S FEES

- 10.1 A Party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing Party's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs unless the unsuccessful Party has acted with substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016.010, *et seq*.
- 10.2 Notwithstanding Section 10.1, a Party who prevails in a contested enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 3 may seek an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 against a Party that acted with substantial justification. The Party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the elements of § 1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.
- 10.3 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

-9-

11.

**ENTIRE AGREEMENT** 

2 3 4

1

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

# 17

**12.** 

13.

**14.** 

12.1

Consent Judgment.

13.1

14.1

in this Consent Judgment.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DOCUMENT PREPARED

ON RECYCLED PAPER

-10-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - MAX AND TAYLOR- CASE NO. RG-16-834949

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding

of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions,

negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein

and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties

except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied,

other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party

hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise,

contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the

Parties hereto only to the extent that they are expressly incorporated herein. No supplementation,

modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude CEH from resolving any claim

writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent

Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof

by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and

execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

against an entity that is not a Settling Defendant on terms that are different than those contained

whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO CONSENT JUDGMENT

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

NO EFFECT ON OTHER SETTLEMENTS

shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties hereto. Any agreements specifically

1	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS
2	15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
3	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to
4	constitute one document.
5	
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
8	Cin
9	Charlie Pizarro
10	Associate Director
11	,
12	MAX INTERNATIONAL CONVERTERS, INC.
13	
14	
15	Signature
16	
17	Printed Name
18	
19	
20	Title
21	
22	
23	
24	TAYLOR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
25	
26	Signature
27	DIGINITAL V
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-11-

CONSENT JUDGMENT – MAX AND TAYLOR – CASE NO. RG-16-834949

1	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS
2	15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
3	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to
4	constitute one document.
5	
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
8	
9	CI. II. Di
10	Charlie Pizarro Associate Director
11	
12	MAY INTERNATIONAL CONVERGED INC
13	MAX INTERNATIONAL CONVERTERS, INC.
14	Will Chalier
15	Signature
16	MICHAEL A. VIGUNAS
17	Printed Name
18	
19	*RESIDENT
20	Title
21	
22	
23	
24	TAYLOR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
25	
26	Signature
27	
28 DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-11-

CONSENT JUDGMENT – MAX AND TAYLOR – CASE NO. RG-16-834949

1	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS
2	15.1 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by
3	means of facsimile or portable document format (pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to
4	constitute one document.
5	:
6	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
7	CENTER FOR ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH
8	
9	
10	Charlie Pizarro Associate Director
11	
12	
13	MAX INTERNATIONAL CONVERTERS, INC.
14	
15	Signature
16	
17	
18	Printed Name
19	
20	Title
21	
22	
23	
24	TAYLOR COMMUNICATIONS, INC.
25	
26	HATTAIN /
27	Signature
28	<i>V '</i>
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-11- CONSENT JUDGMENT – MAX AND TAYLOR– CASE NO. RG-16-834949

1 2	JEFF (Rump Printed Name
3	
4	C.O.O.
5	Title
6	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED:
8	II IS SO ORDERED.
9	
10	Dated:, 2018
11	Judge of the Superior Court of California
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	-12-

CONSENT JUDGMENT - MAX AND TAYLOR- CASE NO. RG-16-834949